

- $\text{CPU}_{\text{time}} = IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock cycle time}$ CCT
- $\text{CPI}^o = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{IC_i}{IC} \times CPI_i^o$; unde IC_i - no. of instructions in class i; CPI_i^o - CPI^o of class i.
- Performance of X = $\frac{1}{\text{Execution time X}}$
- Computer A is n times faster than computer B =>
- $\Rightarrow n = \frac{\text{Performance A}}{\text{Performance B}} = \frac{\text{Execution time B}}{\text{Execution time A}}$

Example: Pe că anumă 2 implementări ale acelasiui arhitecturii. Computer A: CCT = 250 ps și CPI = 2,0 / același program
 Computer B: CCT = 500 ps și CPI = 1,2 / același program (VB)

Care comp. e mai rapid și cu cat?

$$\text{CPU}_{\text{time A}} = IC \times 2,0 \times 250 = IC \times 500 \text{ ps}$$

||

$$\text{CPU}_{\text{time B}} = IC \times 1,2 \times 500 = IC \times 600 \text{ ps}$$

\Rightarrow Comp. A is $\frac{IC \times 600}{IC \times 500} = 1,2$ times faster than comp. B.

CURS 7

9.04.2019

2.1 Performance Equation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CPU}_{\text{time}} &= \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{Clock cycles per instruction} \times \\ &\quad \text{Clock cycle time} \\ &= IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock cycle time}\end{aligned}$$

Example: În 2 segmente de cod pl. care:

	CPI for each instruction class		
	A	B	C
CPI ^o	1	2	3

Code Sequence	Instruction Counts for each instruction class		
	A	B	C
1	2	1	2
2	4	1	1

$$\text{CPU time}_1 = \frac{\text{YC}_1 \times \text{CPI}_1 \times \text{Clock cycle time}}{\text{CPI}^0_1} \times \text{CCT}$$

$$= 10 \text{ clock cycles} \times \text{CCT}$$

$$\text{CPU time}_2 = \frac{\text{YC}_2 \times \text{CPI}_2 \times \text{CCT}}{\text{CPI}^0_2} \times \text{CCT}$$

$$= 9 \text{ clock cycles} \times \text{CCT}$$

Performance \leftarrow compilatorul mai bun

$$\frac{\text{CPU time}_1}{\text{CPU time}_2} = \frac{10}{9} \approx 1,1$$

Example: Fie un compilator vecchi (CV) și unul nou (CN)

$$\text{CV : CPU time}_{\text{CV}} = \text{YC}_{\text{old}} \times \text{CPI}_{\text{old}} \times \text{CCT}$$

$$\text{CN : CPU time}_{\text{CN}} = \text{YC}_{\text{new}} \times \text{CPI}_{\text{new}} \times \text{CCT}$$

$$\text{YC}_{\text{new}} = 0,6 \times \text{YC}_{\text{old}}$$

$$\text{CPI}_{\text{new}} = 1,1 \times \text{CPI}_{\text{old}}$$

$$\text{CPU time}_{\text{CV}} = 15 \text{ sec}$$

p CCT nu se schimbă pt.
c ar se reu-
liza pe acelă PC

$$\text{Deci } \text{CPU time}_{\text{CN}} = 0,6 \times \text{YC}_{\text{old}} \times 1,1 \times \text{CPI}_{\text{old}} \times \text{CCT} = \text{CPU time}_{\text{CV}} \times 0,6 \times 1,1$$

$$= 15 \times 0,6 \times 1,1 = 9,9 \text{ sec}$$

floating point operations

- Example:
- Frequency of FP op = 25%
 - Average CPI of FP op = 4,0
 - Avg. CPI of (+) other op = 1,33
 - Frequency of FPSQR = 2%
 - CPI of FPSQR = 20.

- Two options:
- to decrease CPI of FFSQR to 2
 - to decrease the avg. CPI of all FP op. to 0,25

Which option is the best? (CPU_{time1} vs. CPU_{time2})
 $\rightarrow CPI_1$ vs. CPI_2)

45%

$$CPI_{original} = 0,25 \times 4,0 + 0,45 \times 1,33 \xleftarrow{?} \text{2 clock cycles (c.c.)}$$

$$CPI_1 = CPI_{original} - 2\% \times (20-2) = 2 - 0,02 \times 18 \approx 1,64 \text{ c.c.}$$

$$CPI_2 = 0,25 \times 2,5 + 0,45 \times 1,33 \approx 1,625 \text{ c.c.}$$

\Rightarrow Second option is better.

② Example:

CPU_A

CM_P R₁, R₂

BECQ FOO

:

FOO: ADD R₆, R₅, R₆

:

$$CPU_{timeA} = CCT_A \times YCA \times CPI_A$$

$$CPI_A = 20\% \times 2 + 80\% \times 1 \approx 1,2 \text{ c.c.}$$

\downarrow
2 c.c.
 \downarrow
pt. branchuri
conditionante

$$\Rightarrow CPU_{timeA} = \cancel{1,2} \times YCA \times CCT_A \approx 1$$

$$CPU_{timeB} = \underbrace{0,8 \times YCA \times CPI_B}_{YCB} \times \underbrace{1,25 \times CCT_A}_{CCT_B}$$

\hookrightarrow 80% pt. ca au disparut cele 20% de la instr. de comparare

$$CPI_B = 0,25 \times 2 + 0,75 \times 1 = 1,25 \text{ c.c.}$$

$$CPU_{timeB} = YCA \times \cancel{1,25} \times \frac{CCT}{4}$$

\Rightarrow din se merita optimizarea de optimizare B.

◎ Example :

A

$CPI = 2$ ADD $r_1, r_2, \# \text{mem. loc}$ (memory location)

LDR $r_1, \# \text{mem. loc}$

$CPI = 1$ ADD r_2, r_2, r_1

STR $r_2, \# \text{mem. caddr}_2$

B

$$\text{CPU time}_A = \text{YC}_A \times CPI_A \times CCT_A = \text{YC}_A \times 1,57 \times CCT_A$$

↳ neoptimizat

$$CPI_A = 0,43 \times 1 + 0,57 \times 2 = 1,57 \text{ c.c.}$$

↳ 43% durează un clock ↳ 57% durează 2 clocki

instructiunile care nu se mai execute

$$\text{CPU time}_B = \text{YC}_A \underbrace{(1 - 0,43 \times 0,25)}_{\substack{\text{YC}_B \\ \text{instructiuni ce rămân să se execute pt. 1 c.c.}}} \times CPI_B \times CCT_A$$

↳ care nu se schimbă

loadurile

$$CPI_B = \frac{(0,43 \times 0,43) \times 1 + (0,25 \times 0,43) \times 2 + (0,21 - 0,25 \times 0,43) \times 2}{1 - 0,43 \times 0,5} +$$

store-while branchuri \rightarrow nr. nou de instructiuni

$$+ \frac{(0,12 \times 2) + (0,24 \times 3)}{1 - 0,43 \times 0,5} \approx 1,4$$

Deci $\text{CPU time}_B = \text{YC}_A \times 1,4 \times CCT_A$

2.2 Real-world benchmarks

MOR DE PICTI SEALA KK

" "

" "

KK,

nu bumb facultal!

"

" "

KK

buc KK

" "

KK

KK

" "

PAPA pic ! " "

A. Synthetic benchmarks

B. Toy programs

C. Kernels

D. Real programs mix

SPEC (Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation)

— Benchmark suites

$$\text{SPEC}_A = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \text{SPEC}_{Ai}}$$

} — SPEC matrix

under SPEC_{Ai} = execution time on machine A of
program i from the SPEC Benchmark Suite

$$\frac{\text{SPEC reference}}{\text{SPEC}_A} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \text{SPEC reference}_i}}{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \text{SPEC}_{Ai}}} = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{SPEC reference}_i}{\text{SPEC}_{Ai}}}$$

$$\frac{\text{SPEC relative}}{\text{SPEC reference}} = \frac{\text{Performance A}}{\text{Performance Reference}} = \text{SPEC Ratio}_A$$

$$\frac{\text{Performance A}}{\text{Performance B}} = \frac{\text{SPEC Ratio}_A}{\text{SPEC Ratio}_B} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \text{SPEC}_B_i}}{\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \text{SPEC}_A_i}}$$