

A note on the overfitting for the predicted values

By Guo-Bo Chen, 2020/06/24

Assuming y is the phenotype, $y \sim N(0,1)$; x_i is the standardized genotypes for the i^{th} locus, and $e \sim N(0,1)$, and heritability is zero. There are N individuals, and each individual has M genotypes.

The single-marker regression coefficient is estimated $\hat{b}_i = \frac{cov(y, x_i)}{var(x_i)} = cov(y, x_i)$.

Assuming the correlation between x_i and x_j is ρ_{ij} , and x_j can be decomposed as $x_j = \rho_{ij}x_i + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}z$, in which z is a variable from standard normal distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{b}_j &= \frac{cov(y, x_j)}{var(x_j)} = \frac{cov(y, \rho_{ij}x_i + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}z)}{var(x_j)} = cov(y, \rho_{ij}x_i) + cov(y, \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}z) \\ &= \rho_{ij}\hat{b}_i + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}\beta_j\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}cov(x_i\hat{b}_i, x_j\hat{b}_j) &= cov\left\{\hat{b}_i x_i, \left[\rho_{ij}\hat{b}_i + \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}\beta_j\right] x_j\right\} \\ &= cov(\hat{b}_i x_i, \rho_{ij}\hat{b}_i x_j) + cov\left(\hat{b}_i x_i, \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}\beta_j x_j\right) = \rho_{ij}^2 \hat{b}_i^2 + \rho_{ij} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2} \hat{b}_i \beta_j\end{aligned}$$

It should be noticed that $E[\rho_{ij} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2} \hat{b}_i \beta_j] = 0$

As $\rho_{ij} \sim N(0,1)$, and given the sample size N , the sampling variance of $\rho_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, and similar to \hat{b}_i^2 .

Eventually, $E[cov(x_i\hat{b}_i, x_j\hat{b}_j)] = \frac{1}{N^2}$.

The variance of the predicted value $\tilde{y} = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{b}_i x_i$ is

$$\begin{aligned}var(\tilde{y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^M var(\hat{b}_i x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j \neq i}^M cov(x_i \hat{b}_i, x_j \hat{b}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{b}_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j \neq i}^M \frac{1}{N^2} = \frac{M}{N} + \frac{M(M-1)}{N^2} \\ &\approx \frac{M}{N} + \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

Summary of the prediction accuracy under the null distribution

	Dataset		
	Training	Test	Mixed
$cov(\hat{y}, y)$	$\frac{M}{N}$	0	$w \frac{M}{N}$
$var(\hat{y})$	$\frac{M}{N} (1 + \frac{M}{N})$	$\frac{M}{N}$	$\frac{M}{N} + w \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^2$
R^2	$\frac{M}{M+N}$	0	$\frac{w^2 \frac{M}{N}}{1 + w \frac{M}{N}}$

Notes: M is the number of markers, and N is the sample size of the training set, and w is the proportion of the samples in the test set but eventually from the training set.

$\lambda = N_{tst} \frac{R^2}{1-R^2}$ is the NCP for χ_1^2 .

For the test set, $\lambda_T = 0$, and its 95% confidence interval is $\sqrt{\lambda_T} \pm 1.96$.

For the mixed set,

Given $h^2 = 0$, the accuracy of prediction when testing containing $w \times N_{Tst}$ samples from training.

N_{Tr}	N_{Tst}	M	w	R^2 (theoretical)	R^2 (simulation)
1000	500	100	0.1	0.001	0.001 ± 0.0044
			0.25	0.006	0.006 ± 0.020
			0.5	0.024	0.024 ± 0.014
1000	500	1000	0.1	0.009	0.0089 ± 0.0096
			0.25	0.05	0.051 ± 0.019
			0.5	0.167	0.168 ± 0.03
2000	500	100	0.1	0.0005	0.00034 ± 0.0034
			0.25	0.0031	0.0032 ± 0.0062
			0.5	0.012	0.012 ± 0.0088
1000	500	5000	0.1	0.033	0.035 ± 0.018
			0.25	0.139	0.134 ± 0.023
			0.5	0.357	0.352 ± 0.034

37 **When $h^2 \neq 0$**

38
$$\text{cov}\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \hat{b}_m x_m, y\right) = h^2 + \frac{M}{N}$$

39 and

40
$$\text{var}\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \hat{b}_m x_m\right) = \sum \hat{b}_m^2 \text{var}(x_m) + \sum_{m_1=1}^M \sum_{m_2 \neq m_1}^M \hat{b}_{m_1} \hat{b}_{m_2} \text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2})$$

41
$$= \left[h^2 + \frac{M}{N}\right] + \sum_{m_1=1}^M \sum_{m_2 \neq m_1}^M [b_{m_1} b_{m_2} + b_{m_1} e_2 + b_{m_2} e_1 + e_1 e_2] \text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2})$$

42 For $[b_{m_1} b_{m_2} + b_{m_1} e_2 + b_{m_2} e_1 + e_1 e_2] \text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2})$, assume all the markers are independent,
 43 $\text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}) = r_{m_1 m_2}$, and $E(r_{m_1 m_2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$.

44 $[b_{m_1} b_{m_2} + b_{m_1} e_2 + b_{m_2} e_1 + e_1 e_2] \text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}) = [b_{m_1} b_{m_2} + b_{m_1} e_2 + b_{m_2} e_1 + e_1 e_2] r_{m_1 m_2}$

45 $E(b_{m_1} b_{m_2} r_{m_1 m_2}) = 0, E(b_{m_1} e_2 r_{m_1 m_2}) = b_{m_1}^2 r_{m_1 m_2}^2 = \frac{h^2}{M} \frac{1}{N}, E(b_{m_2} e_1 r_{m_1 m_2}) = b_{m_2}^2 r_{m_1 m_2}^2 = \frac{h^2}{M} \frac{1}{N},$

46 and $E(e_1 e_2 r_{m_1 m_2}) = \frac{1}{N^2}$

47 So, $\sum_{m_1=1}^M \sum_{m_2 \neq m_1}^M [b_{m_1} b_{m_2} + b_{m_1} e_2 + b_{m_2} e_1 + e_1 e_2] \text{cov}(x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}) = M(M-1) \left(\frac{2h^2}{MN} + \frac{1}{N^2} \right).$

48 In total, $\text{var}(\sum_{m=1}^M \hat{b}_m x_m) = \left[h^2 + \frac{M}{N}\right] + M(M-1) \left(\frac{2h^2}{MN} + \frac{1}{N^2} \right) = h^2 \left(1 + 2 \frac{M}{N} \right) + \frac{M}{N} \left(1 + \frac{M}{N} \right).$

49

50 **Summary of the prediction accuracy**

	Dataset		
	Training	Test	Mixed
$\text{cov}(\hat{y}, y)$	$h^2 + \frac{M}{N}$	h^2	$h^2 + w \frac{M}{N}$
$\text{var}(\hat{y})$	$h^2 \left(1 + 2 \frac{M}{N} \right) + \frac{M}{N} \left(1 + \frac{M}{N} \right)$	$h^2 + \frac{M}{N}$	$wh^2 \frac{M}{N} + \left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right) \left(1 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)$
R^2	$\frac{\left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right)^2}{h^2 \left(1 + 2 \frac{M}{N} \right) + \frac{M}{N} \left(1 + \frac{M}{N} \right)}$	$\frac{(h^2)^2}{h^2 + \frac{M}{N}}$	$\frac{\left(h^2 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)^2}{wh^2 \frac{M}{N} + \left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right) \left(1 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)}$

51 Notes: M is the number of markers, and N is the sample size of the training set, and w is the
 52 proportion of the samples in the test set but eventually from the training set.

53
$$\lambda = N_{tst} \frac{R^2}{1 - R^2}$$

54

55
$$\lambda_0 = N_{tst} \frac{R_{tst}^2}{1 - R_{tst}^2} = \frac{N_{tst} \frac{(h^2)^2}{h^2 + \frac{M}{N}}}{1 - \frac{(h^2)^2}{h^2 + \frac{M}{N}}} = N_{tst} \frac{(h^2)^2}{h^2 + \frac{M}{N} - (h^2)^2}$$
 under the null hypothesis of no mixed samples.

56
$$\lambda_M = N_{tst} \frac{R_M^2}{1 - R_M^2}$$

57
$$\lambda_M = \frac{N_{tst} \frac{\left(h^2 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)^2}{wh^2 \frac{M}{N} + \left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right) \left(1 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)}}{1 - \frac{\left(h^2 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)^2}{wh^2 \frac{M}{N} + \left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right) \left(1 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)}} = \frac{N_{tst}}{\frac{wh^2 \frac{M}{N} + \left(h^2 + \frac{M}{N} \right) \left(1 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)}{\left(h^2 + w \frac{M}{N} \right)^2} - 1} \approx w N_{tst}$$

58 The p-value $\chi_{\lambda_0}^2(\lambda_M)$

59 The statistical power given type I error rate of α is $1 - \phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\lambda_0} - \sqrt{\lambda_1}\right) +$
60 $\phi\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\lambda_0} - \sqrt{\lambda_1}\right).$
61

62 Given $h^2 = 0.5$, the accuracy of prediction when testing containing $w \times N_{Tst}$ samples from
63 training.

N_{Tr}	N_{Tst}	M	w	R^2 (theoretical)	R^2 (simulation)
1000	500	100	0.1	0.427	0.432 ± 0.047
			0.25	0.439	0.448 ± 0.046
			0.5	0.462	0.456 ± 0.048
1000	500	1000	0.1	0.212	0.210 ± 0.035
			0.25	0.281	0.278 ± 0.035
			0.5	0.40	0.401 ± 0.034
2000	500	100	0.1	0.459	0.455 ± 0.044
			0.25	0.466	0.461 ± 0.048
			0.5	0.478	0.478 ± 0.052
1000	500	5000	0.1	0.118	0.118 ± 0.026
			0.25	0.236	0.238 ± 0.030
			0.5	0.439	0.439 ± 0.033

64
65

66 Case-control design

67 For case-control studies, the accuracy can be measure by $AUC = \phi\left(\frac{D_s}{\sqrt{\sigma_{cs}^2 + \sigma_{cl}^2}}\right)$, in which D_s is the
 68 difference between the mean of the risk scores between the cases and controls, σ_{cs}^2 and σ_{cl}^2 are
 69 sampling variance for risk scores for the cases and controls, respectively.

70
 71 For a case-control study, which has N_{cs} cases and N_{cl} controls, the odds ratio of a locus can be
 72 estimated as

$$73 \quad OR = \frac{p_{cs} q_{cl}}{p_{cl} q_{cs}}$$

74 in which p_{cs} and p_{cl} are the frequency of the reference allele in the cases and controls, $q_{cs} =$
 75 $1 - p_{cs}$, and $q_{cl} = 1 - p_{cl}$. $p_{cs} \sim N(p_{cs}, \frac{p_{cs}q_{cs}}{2N_{cs}})$, and $p_{cl} \sim N(p_{cl}, \frac{p_{cl}q_{cl}}{2N_{cl}})$.

76 In prediction, $\beta = \log_e(OR)$ is used. When OR is close to 1, $\log_e(OR) \approx OR - 1$. So we have
 77 $\beta \approx OR - 1 = \frac{p_{cs} - p_{cl}}{p_{cl}q_{cs}}$. The sampling variance for $\sigma_{\beta_i}^2 = (\frac{1}{2N_{cs}p_{cs}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cs}q_{cs}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cl}p_{cl}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cl}q_{cl}})$

78
 79 D_s can be calculated as below if the training and the testing are the same data.

$$80 \quad D_s = \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_i (2p_{cs,i} - 2p_{cl,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{2(p_{cs,i} - p_{cl,i})^2}{p_{cl,i}q_{cs,i}}$$

81 $(p_{cs} - p_{cl}) \sim N(p_{cs} - p_{cl}, \frac{p_{cs}q_{cs}}{2N_{cs}} + \frac{p_{cl}q_{cl}}{2N_{cl}})$, but for a null locus, $p_{cs} \approx p_{cl}$, $(p_{cs} -$

82 $p_{cl}) \sim N(0, p_{cs}q_{cs} \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{2N_{cs}N_{cl}})$. $D_s \approx M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}$, which is determined by the number of loci and the
 83 numbers of the cases and the controls.

84 As the real genetic effect of each locus is zero, the estimated effect is due to sampling
 85 variance. $var(\hat{\beta}_i x_i) = var(e_i x_i)$.

$$86 \quad \sigma_{cs}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^M var(x_i e_i)$$

$$87 \quad = \sum_{i=1}^M 2p_{cs,i} q_{cs,i} var(e)$$

$$88 \quad = \sum_{i=1}^M 2p_{cs,i} q_{cs,i} \left(\frac{1}{2N_{cs}p_{cs,i}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cs}q_{cs,i}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cl}p_{cl,i}} + \frac{1}{2N_{cl}q_{cl,i}} \right) = M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}$$

$$89 \quad AUC = \phi\left(\frac{D_s}{\sqrt{\sigma_{cs}^2 + \sigma_{cl}^2}}\right) = \phi(T)$$

$$91 \quad \text{in which } T = \sqrt{\frac{M}{2} \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}}.$$

92
 93 For null model, if the testing set is independent from the training set,

$$94 \quad D_s = \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_i (2\tilde{p}_{cs,i} - 2\tilde{p}_{cl,i}) = 0$$

95 in which \tilde{p} is the frequency in the testing set.

96

97 The z score test for the different between two risk scores are $\frac{D_s}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{cs}^2}{N_{cs}} + \frac{\sigma_{cl}^2}{N_{cl}}}} \approx T \sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{N}_{cs}\tilde{N}_{cl}}{\tilde{N}_{cl} + \tilde{N}_{cs}}}$, and

98 $T \sim N(0, \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{N}_{cl} + \tilde{N}_{cs}}{2\tilde{N}_{cs}\tilde{N}_{cl}}})$, in which \tilde{N}_{cs} and \tilde{N}_{cl} are the numbers of cases and controls in the testing set.

99 For significant test, the p-value is $\chi_1^2(\lambda)$, in which $\lambda = \left(\frac{D_s}{\sqrt{\sigma_{cs}^2 + \sigma_{cl}^2}} \right)^2 = M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{2N_{cs}N_{cl}}$.

100

101 Inflation of AUC under the null for case-control study

Data	D_s	$var(s)$	AUC	
Training	$M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}$	$M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}$	$\phi(\sqrt{M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{2N_{cs}N_{cl}}})$	
Testing	0	$M \frac{N_{cl} + N_{cs}}{N_{cs}N_{cl}}$	0.5	

102
103

104 MAF=0.5

N_{cs}	N_{cl}	M	λ	AUC (theory)	AUC (simulation) MAF=0.5	AUC (simulation) MAF=0.05~0.5
100	100	100	1	0.841	0.843 ± 0.018	0.838 ± 0.019
100	200	100	0.75	0.807	0.805 ± 0.020	0.808 ± 0.020
200	100	100	0.75	0.807	0.808 ± 0.019	0.809 ± 0.023
1000	1000	100	0.1	0.624	0.625 ± 0.0092	0.623 ± 0.0099
1000	1000	1000	1	0.841	0.842 ± 0.0064	0.842 ± 0.0078
1000	2000	100	0.075	0.608	0.608 ± 0.0070	0.608 ± 0.0078
1000	2000	1000	0.75	0.807	0.807 ± 0.0064	0.807 ± 0.0058

105

106

107 From GWAS meta-analysis to GWAS mega-analysis

108

109
$$\beta_{meta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C \beta_i W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^C W_i}$$

110 in which $W_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta_i}^2} = n_i \frac{var(x_i)}{var(y_i)}$

111
$$\beta_{meta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C \frac{cov(x_i, y_i)}{var(x_i)} n_i \frac{var(x_i)}{var(y_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i \frac{var(x_i)}{var(y_i)_i}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i \frac{cov(x_i, y_i)}{var(y_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i \frac{var(x_i)}{var(y_i)}}$$

112 when $var(y_i) = var(y_j)$

113
$$\beta_{meta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i cov(x_i, y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i var(x_i)}$$

114 when $var(x_i) = var(x_j)$

115
$$\beta_{meta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C n_i cov(x_i, y_i)}{n var(x_i)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^C \omega_i cov(x_i, y_i)}{var(x)}$$

116 in which $\omega_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$.

117 When $cov(x_i, y_i) = cov(x_j, y_j)$

118
$$\beta_{meta} = \frac{cov(x, y)}{var(x)} = \beta_{mega}$$

119