Python Basics (1/2)

Computing Methods for Experimental Physics and Data Analysis

L. Baldini luca.baldini@pi.infn.it

Università and INFN-Pisa



- > You might have heard about the Python 2 vs. Python 3 diatribe
- - > print() is a function (vs. a statement)
 - ▷ Integer division returns a float (hurray!)
 - ▶ But really, the big change is the implementation of unicode support
 - > Although you probably don't care that much if you are a data scientist
- ▷ Python 3 is the future!
 - Python 2 EOL (End Of Life) is January 1, 2020
 - Hundreds of features introduced in Python 3 and not backported to Python 2
 - All third-party modules worth mentioning support both Python 2 and Python 3, these days
- ▷ One-line summary: we shall be using Python 3



The zen of Python

See PEP 20, https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0020/

```
1
    [lbaldini@nbbaldini slides]$ python
2
    Python 3.7.4 (default, Jul 9 2019, 16:32:37)
3
    [GCC 9.1.1 20190503 (Red Hat 9.1.1-1)] on linux
    Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
4
    >>> import this
    The Zen of Python, by Tim Peters
7
    Beautiful is better than ugly.
    Explicit is better than implicit.
10
    Simple is better than complex.
    Complex is better than complicated.
11
12
    Flat is better than nested.
    Sparse is better than dense.
13
14
    Readability counts.
    Special cases aren't special enough to break the rules.
15
    Although practicality beats purity.
16
17
    Errors should never pass silently.
18
    Unless explicitly silenced.
    In the face of ambiguity, refuse the temptation to guess.
19
    There should be one-- and preferably only one --obvious way to do it.
20
    Although that way may not be obvious at first unless you're Dutch.
21
22
    Now is hetter than never
    Although never is often better than *right* now.
23
24
    If the implementation is hard to explain, it's a bad idea.
25
    If the implementation is easy to explain, it may be a good idea.
    Namespaces are one honking great idea -- let's do more of those!
26
```



Coding conventions?

https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008

- ▷ Coding conventions are guidelines about how to write code
 - ▷ Different for different languages
 - ▷ i.e., you are encouraged to stick to them, but your code will happily run if you don't
- Then why should I care?
- Code is read much more often than it is written
- Done-line summary: think about it but don't be obsessed by it
- > There are automatic tools out there to help you



Variables and basic types

```
1
    i = 3
    x = 3.0
2
    print(i, type(i))
    print(x, type(x))
5
6
    s = 'Hi there!'
7
    print(s, type(s))
8
9
    1 = [1, 2, 'a string']
10
    print(1, type(1), 1[0])
11
    t = (1, 2, 'a string')
12
13
    print(t, type(t), t[0])
14
    d = {'kev1': 1, 'kev2': 2}
15
    print(d, type(d), d['kev1'])
16
17
18
    3 <class 'int'>
19
20
    3 O <class 'float'>
21
    Hi there! <class 'str'>
    [1, 2, 'a string'] <class 'list'> 1
22
23
    (1, 2, 'a string') <class 'tuple'> 1
    'key1': 1, 'key2': 2 <class 'dict'> 1
24
```



Digression: string formatting

```
1
    name = 'Luca'
2
    age = 42
3
    # The ualv wav.
4
    print('My name is ' + name + ' I am ' + str(age) + ' year(s) old.')
5
6
7
    # The old wav (% operator)
    print ('My name is %s I am %d year(s) old.' % (name, age))
9
10
    # The new way (.format)
11
    # This is actually *much* more powerful and flexible than implied here.
    print('My name is {} I am {} year(s) old.'.format(name, age))
12
13
14
    # The newer way---new in Python 3.6. This is awesome!
    print(f'My name is {name} I am {age} year(s) old.')
15
16
17
    My name is Luca I am 42 year(s) old.
18
    My name is Luca I am 42 year(s) old.
19
    My name is Luca I am 42 year(s) old.
2.0
21
    My name is Luca I am 42 year(s) old.
```

- > String formatting in a nutshell:

 - ▷ Try and avoid using the % operator



Defining functions

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Don%27t_repeat_yourself

▷ DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself) is better than WET (Write Every Time)

```
import math
 1
2
3
    def square(x):
4
         """Return the square of x.
5
6
         return x * x
7
    def cartesian to polar(x=1., v=1.):
8
9
         """Convert cartesian to polar coordinates.
10
11
         r = math.sgrt(x**2. + v**2.)
         phi = math.atan2(v, x)
12
13
         return r. phi
14
15
    print (square (2.))
16
    print (cartesian to polar (0., 1.))
17
    print (cartesian_to_polar())
18
19
    4.0
2.0
2.1
    (1.4142135623730951, 0.7853981633974483)
22
```

.. Baldini (UNIPI and INFN) Compiled on September 28, 2019 Page



Variadic functions

- > Variadic functions accept a variable number of arguments

 - → How the heck is that implemented?

```
1
    import os
2
3
    p1 = os.path.join('path', 'to', 'my', 'file')
    p2 = os.path.join('howdy', 'partner')
4
5
6
    print (p1)
7
    print (p2)
8
9
    s1 = sum([1, 2])
    s2 = sum([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
10
11
12
    print(s1)
13
    print(s2)
14
15
    path/to/mv/file
16
17
    howdv/partner
18
19
```



Arbitrary argument lists

```
import os
 1
2
3
    def ioin1(*args):
4
         """Horrible: do not use the + operator with strings in a loop.
5
6
        out = ''
7
         for arg in args:
             out += '%s/' % arg
8
         return out.rstrip('/')
9
10
11
    def join2(*args):
         """This a more sensible version---and you get the idea of the \star\star.
12
13
14
         return '/'.join(args)
15
16
    def join3(*args, sep=os.path.sep):
17
         """Even better --- this will work on any OS.
18
19
         return sep.join(args)
2.0
21
    print(join1('path', 'to', 'file'))
    print(join2('path', 'to', 'file'))
22
    print(join3('path', 'to', 'file'))
23
24
25
26
    path/to/file
27
    path/to/file
28
    path/to/file
```



A real life example

```
import numpy as np
2
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    from scipy.optimize import curve fit
3
4
5
    x = np.linspace(0., 10., 11)
6
    v = 2.5 + 3.2 * x
7
8
    def model(x, m, q):
9
        return m * x + q
10
11
    popt, pcov = curve_fit (model, x, y)
12
    plt.errorbar(x, v, fmt='o')
13
    # Overlay the model without unpacking the best-fit parameters.
14
15
    plt.plot(x, model(x, *popt))
16
    # Compare with
17
18
    # mhat, ghat = popt
19
    # plt.plot(x, model(x, mhat, ghat))
```



Keyword arguments

1 def func(**kwargs): 3 print(kwargs.get('verbose', False)) 4 5 6 func (verbose=True) func(verbose=False) 9 func(verbose=True, num_events=3) 10 11 func(True) 12 13 14 Traceback (most recent call last): 15 File "snippets/func kwargs.py", line 11, in <module> 16 func (True) 17 TypeError: func() takes 0 positional arguments but 1 was given



Basic control flow

```
1
    i = 2
2
    # Conditional expressions
3
4
    if i == 2:
5
         print('Apple')
6
    elif i == 3:
7
         print('Peach')
8
    else:
         print('Cheese')
9
10
11
    # For loops
12
    for i in [1, 2, 3]:
13
         print(i)
14
15
    # While loops
    while i != 0:
16
17
         print(i)
18
         i -= 1
19
20
21
    Apple
22
    1
    2
23
    3
24
25
    3
26
27
```



Advanced iteration

```
https://bitbucket.org/lbaldini/programming/src/tip/snippets/iteration.pv -
    list1 = ['a', 'b', 'c']
1
    list2 = [10, 11, 12]
2
3
4
     # Horrible (and very un-Pythonic, too)!
    for i in range(len(list1)):
5
        print(i, list1[i])
6
7
8
     # Nice-looking.
9
    for i, item in enumerate(list1):
10
        print(i, item)
11
    # Zipping iterables
12
    for item1, item2 in zip(list1, list2):
13
14
        print(item1, item2)
15
16
    # List comprehension
17
    print([x**2 for x in list2])
18
19
    0 a
20
2.1
    1 h
22
23
    0 a
24
    1 h
25
    2 c
   a 10
26
   h 11
2.7
28
   c 12
29
    [100, 121, 144]
```



Challenge of the day

```
1   [lbaldini@nbbaldini slides]$ python
2   Python 3.7.4 (default, Jul 9 2019, 16:32:37)
3   [GCC 9.1.1 20190503 (Red Hat 9.1.1-1)] on linux
4   Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information.
5 >>> 0.1 + 0.2 == 0.3
6   False
7   >>> 0.2 + 0.2 == 0.4
8   True
```

▶ What the hell?



Floating point representation

IEEE 754 standard

IEEE Floating Point Representation						
s	exponent	mantissa				
1 bit	8 bits		23 bits			
IEEE Double Precision Floating Point Representation						
1 bit	11 bits		52 bits			
s	exponent	mantissa				

- - \triangleright sign (s, 1 bit, $0 \rightarrow -$, $1 \rightarrow +$)

 - ⊳ significand or mantissa (m, 23 or 52 bit)
- > The exponent does not have a sign
 - \triangleright An exponent bias b is subtracted from it (127 or 1023)
- $\,ert$ The significand MSB is assumed to be 1, unless the exponent is 0

$$x = s \times m \times 2^{e-b} \tag{1}$$

A simple example

https://babbage.cs.qc.cuny.edu/IEEE-754/index.xhtml

> Take a floating-point number with an exact binary representation

$$0.75_{10} = 0.11_2 = 0 \times 2 + 1 \times 2^{-1} + 1 \times 2^{-2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} = 1.5 \times 2^{-1}$$
 (2)

▷ The representation of any floating point number is equivalent to the ratio of two integers, where the denominator is a power of 2

$$x = \frac{m}{2^{23-e}} = \frac{12582912}{2^{24}} = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75 \tag{3}$$

. Baldini (UNIPI and INFN) Compiled on September 28, 2019 Pc



Floating point representation in Python

```
a = 0.75
    num, den = a.as integer ratio()
    print (num, den)
    print('{:.30f}'.format(num / den))
5
6
    print()
7
    b = 0.1
    num, den = b.as integer ratio()
    print (num, den)
10
    print('{:.30f}'.format(num / den))
11
12
13
14
    3 4
15
16
17
    3602879701896397 36028797018963968
18
```

- ▷ as_integer_ratio() returns the internal representation of a float
- Mind this is not guaranteed to be the closest rational approximation



Floating point representation

IEEE 754 standard

- Good properties:
 - > numbers at wildly different magnitudes
 - > same relative accuracy at all magnitudes
 - > allow calculations across magnitudes
- \triangleright Dynamic range dictated by the number n_e of bits in the exponent Range: $2^{2^{n_e-1}}$
- \triangleright Precision dictated by the number n_s of bits in the significand Precision: $log_{10}(2^{n_s+1})$

Precision	Bits	Dynamic range	Digits of precision
Single	1 + 8 + 23 = 32	$\approx 2^{128} \text{ or } 10^{38}$	7
Double	1 + 11 + 52 = 64	$\approx 2^{1024} \text{ or } 10^{308}$	15



References

- https://scipy-lectures.org/
- https://sebastianraschka.com/Articles/2014_python_2_3_key_diff.html
- https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0020/
- https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008/
- https://docs.python-guide.org/writing/style/
- https://docs.python.org/3/library/stdtypes.html
- https://docs.python.org/3/tutorial/controlflow.html#defining-functions

- b https://www.itu.dk/~sestoft/bachelor/IEEE754_article.pdf