Logistic Regression Citizen-Candidate

Dario Trujano-Ochoa

December 2020

Regressions by variables in the games

I ran a random effects logit regression considering the treatments: PL, PH, RL, RH. Since they share the same positions (20, 30, 80) they are comparable and the regressions reflect the effect of the variables that change between the treatments. For the other two treatments the regression can only include the comparisson with early periods since they chare the same parameters and only defer in the positions they are holding.

In table 1, we can observe that the interaction effect between cost and voting rule is not significative, which means that the effect of the cost and voting rule could be independent. In table two, I present the same regression but considering only the first 15 trials in table 2.

In table 3, we observe that there is no effect of the behavior before and after the 8th trial, and only the constant is significative.

Table 1: Logit regressions for treatments: PL, PH, RL, RH

	Dependent variable:						
	se_postula						
	20	30	80	20	30	80	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
HighCost	-1.221***	0.072	-1.875***	-1.683^{***}	0.228	-2.621***	
	(0.277)	(0.321)	(0.284)	(0.387)	(0.394)	(0.412)	
RunOff	-0.199	1.896***	-2.862***	-0.583*	2.080***	-3.490***	
	(0.269)	(0.348)	(0.293)	(0.348)	(0.446)	(0.394)	
Period dum	-0.984***	1.118***	-1.922***	-0.991***	1.121***	-1.937***	
	(0.133)	(0.221)	(0.154)	(0.133)	(0.221)	(0.154)	
HighCostTRUE:RunOff				0.950*	-0.457	1.411**	
0				(0.550)	(0.668)	(0.554)	
Constant	0.237	2.043***	3.695***	0.447*	1.968***	4.088***	
	(0.236)	(0.277)	(0.294)	(0.264)	(0.294)	(0.343)	
Observations	1,918	1,918	1,918	1,918	1,918	1,918	
Log Likelihood	-1,009.365	-449.080	-892.903	-1,007.858	-448.848	-889.610	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,028.730	908.160	1,795.807	2,027.716	909.695	1,791.221	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	2,056.525	935.955	1,823.602	2,061.070	943.049	1,824.575	

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 2: Logit regressions for treatments: PL, PH, RL, RH, consideering only the first 15 trials.

	Dependent variable:					
	se_postula					
	20	30	80	20	30	80
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
HighCost	-0.992***	-0.033	-1.281^{***}	-1.499***	0.156	-1.651^{***}
	(0.287)	(0.333)	(0.265)	(0.406)	(0.411)	(0.408)
RunOff	0.043	1.729***	-2.229***	-0.398	1.966***	-2.556***
	(0.281)	(0.369)	(0.282)	(0.372)	(0.484)	(0.397)
earlyperiod	0.677***	-0.844***	0.784***	0.679***	-0.843***	0.782***
V 1	(0.169)	(0.249)	(0.176)	(0.169)	(0.250)	(0.177)
HighCostTRUE:RunOff				1.037*	-0.548	0.650
8				(0.576)	(0.695)	(0.532)
Constant	-0.408	2.690***	2.360***	-0.170	2.594***	2.580***
	(0.256)	(0.341)	(0.280)	(0.287)	(0.356)	(0.342)
Observations	1,055	1,055	1,055	1,055	1,055	1,055
Log Likelihood	-626.336	-322.659	-552.124	-624.675	-322.349	-551.367
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$1,\!262.673$	655.318	$1,\!114.247$	$1,\!261.350$	656.699	$1,\!114.735$
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	1,287.479	680.124	1,139.054	1,291.118	686.466	1,144.502

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 3: Logit regressions for treatments: PLCS, PLCA

		Dependent variable:					
		se_postula					
	30						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
earlyperiod	-0.449	0.108	0.556	-0.449	0.108	0.234	
	(0.319)	(0.216)	(0.551)	(0.319)	(0.216)	(0.362)	
Constant	3.234***	0.764***	2.776***	3.234***	0.764***	0.063	
	(0.377)	(0.197)	(0.437)	(0.377)	(0.197)	(0.265)	
Observations	660	660	330	660	660	330	
Log Likelihood	-185.893	-388.324	-102.176	-185.893	-388.324	-204.794	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	377.786	782.647	210.351	377.786	782.647	415.589	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	391.263	796.124	221.749	391.263	796.124	426.986	

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Regressions by relative positions

Table 4: Logit regressions for relative positions

	$D\epsilon$	pendent varia	ble:
		se_postula	
	Left	Center	Right
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TreatmentPH	-1.415***	0.002	-1.872***
	(0.383)	(0.404)	(0.345)
TreatmentRL	-0.550	2.080***	-2.946***
	(0.347)	(0.450)	(0.329)
TreatmentRH	-1.146***	1.706***	-3.814***
	(0.402)	(0.513)	(0.371)
TreatmentPLCS	3.167***	-2.566***	0.106
	(0.418)	(0.372)	(0.369)
TreatmentPLCA	3.243***	-0.518	-2.465***
	(0.420)	(0.379)	(0.339)
Constant	-0.086	2.503***	2.596***
	(0.254)	(0.282)	(0.264)
Observations	2,578	2,578	2,578
Log Likelihood	$-1,\!223.867$	-805.266	-1,312.756
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,461.734	1,624.533	2,639.511
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	2,502.717	1,665.516	2,680.494

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

PLCS and PLCA regression

Regression 2 letters

Table 5: Logit regressions for relative positions

	De	pendent varia	ıble:
		se_postula	
	Left	Center	Right
	(1)	(2)	(3)
TreatmentPLCA	0.079	2.048***	-2.444***
	(0.304)	(0.238)	(0.239)
treatment_codePLCS/PLCA	0.765	-0.488	0.385
	(0.589)	(0.448)	(0.315)
Constant	2.732***	0.177	2.325***
	(0.441)	(0.328)	(0.279)
Observations	660	660	660
Log Likelihood	-185.926	-341.896	-322.878
Akaike Inf. Crit.	379.852	691.792	653.755
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	397.821	709.761	671.724

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 6: Logit regressions for relative positions

	$Dependent\ variable:$			
		se_postula		
	Left	Center	Right	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
HighCost	-1.221***	0.072	-1.875***	
	(0.277)	(0.321)	(0.284)	
RunOff	-0.199	1.896***	-2.862***	
	(0.269)	(0.348)	(0.293)	
Period dum	-0.984***	1.118***	-1.922***	
	(0.133)	(0.221)	(0.154)	
Constant	0.237	2.043***	3.695***	
	(0.236)	(0.277)	(0.294)	
Observations	1,918	1,918	1,918	
Log Likelihood	-1,009.365	-449.080	-892.903	
Akaike Inf. Crit.	$2,\!028.730$	908.160	1,795.807	
Bayesian Inf. Crit.	$2,\!056.525$	935.955	1,823.602	

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01