Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?
Going through the 24 sessions in section 2, I find myself
more willing to make risks as the benefit outweight the cost.

2)

Risk vs neward wise #2 logically
makes more sense with multiple
gambles. #6 was more for but
risk does not mater reward.
I don't enjoy math-but if I did,
I don't enjoy math-but if I did, I would have calculated the monetary
Value of each gamble. & these visle ws
neward of each. Intuition hopefully worked out.
worked out.

,	-	-
1	2	,
		/
1		/

50/50 charge regardless of gamble, mother of preferen	0.
Feeling me like when the last gamble. My Initially ch	عو
Feeling more linky, shows the last gamble. Also Instrally the gamble I because it was your grananteen the good	
payment.	
payment	



I did not charge my decision, gonble 5 outrapo 36
points in the long our which was the rost of any
of the gambles. Not the satist gamble but the best in
the long run.

I decided that since the odds of getting an odd number : the
de district de
same as the odds of gotting an even number, then I might
, year, y an even name ,
as well choose Gamble b to maximize my payoff if I get an
odd number. Also, I would still be getting money from the other
sections, we even if I end up getting an even number,
" The second sec

I changed my decision from gamble 5 in first stage to gamble
A is the second of a line of the their their that
The been more likely to roll an odd event, I feel as though the still
Is a bet that is both risky cough for a higher payout his one
Sofe to recieve someont anyum a b was a bit too risky considering
it goes down to 12 units of pay. While I maybe wanted the chance to -
it goes down to 12 units of pay a While I maybe wanted the chance to - change, in order to add variety. I feel as though going down to
6 will likely lead to domost no payment.

averse in both stages. I think more money  would be a bether measure of human response  to risk.	I did not change my decision, It was nisk
would be a better measure of human response	- Averes in both change T 11314 man morey
to risk.	
	to risk.



I didn't. I go big or go home. I don't get that mu	ch
regardless, so might as well try to make the moit of	1h. >
	2
	¥



_ I drose gamble 3 for stage 3 so
I dose gamble 3 for stage 3 50 there's some chance of getting a ligher
payment and less chance of any
getting little for an even event.
For some rounds in stage 2 I wanted
to gamble a little and for other normals
I wanted to play it safe.

Depending on the results, just notice aluss more than event B (even).	thunt	the	event A	<u>(</u> # odd) —
much				
	_			
			-	

(11)

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?	
I wanted to take a bigger risk	
	(Sept.)
77. 4664	

		1
//	12	

Why did you ch									
my plun and one	from	the be	ginning	way	1	do	one	relatuel	y sate bet
and one	r18k	y bet	since p	nobabili	hes	ove	The S	iume re	gardless.
				-7					
						46 100			

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?
It's only I gamble so I went for the choice that may get a relatively large amount of money if it was odd but wasn't 516 because the amount of money for the even roll was too low.

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?	(1t
I didn't because it just felt right.	
	-

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?
Did not change decision.
STATISE CHECKSON

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?	(
I Changed from gamble, 4 to 5	
be eause I regimed 5 has the	
I Changed from gamble 4 to 5  because I realized 5 has the largest potential payoff.	

Regardless of the odds, Event A tends to have a higher
payout than Event B. I want to maximize the payou
while still having a sizeable payout of Event B.
Thus, I chose Gamble 5 from Gamble 3.

vvily did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?
- I didn't change my deusnon.

Why did you change your decision in stage 3 (compared to the first stage)?
idid not because , got more odd rons
idid not because j got more odd rous than even rous. Also I like
the number 4.
please slav dawn during me mus!

I did not dange ny decision in stege ?	
	7

I changed it because the results were lawding
on odd' therefore base on the results were lawlin
with an ab number.
with an of number.