



Acetylation Negatively Regulates Glycogen Phosphorylase by Recruiting Protein Phosphatase 1

Tengfei Zhang,^{1,2} Shiwen Wang,^{1,2} Yan Lin,² Wei Xu,^{1,2} Dan Ye,² Yue Xiong,^{1,2,4,*} Shimin Zhao,^{1,2,*} and Kun-Liang Guan^{1,2,3,5,6,*}

Fudan University, Shanghai 20032, China

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

*Correspondence: yxiong@email.unc.edu (Y.X.), zhaosm@fudan.edu.cn (S.Z.), kuguan@ucsd.edu (K.-L.G.)

DOI 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.12.005

SUMMARY

Glycogen phosphorylase (GP) catalyzes the ratelimiting step in glycogen catabolism and plays a key role in maintaining cellular and organismal glucose homeostasis. GP is the first protein whose function was discovered to be regulated by reversible protein phosphorylation, which is controlled by phosphorylase kinase (PhK) and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1). Here we report that lysine acetylation negatively regulates GP activity by both inhibiting enzyme activity directly and promoting dephosphorylation. Acetylation of GP Lys⁴⁷⁰ enhances its interaction with the PP1 substrate-targeting subunit, GL, and PP1, thereby promoting GP dephosphorylation and inactivation. We show that GP acetylation is stimulated by glucose and insulin and inhibited by glucagon. Our results provide molecular insights into the intricate regulation of the classical GP and a functional crosstalk between protein acetylation and phosphorylation.

INTRODUCTION

Glycogen phosphorylase (GP) catalyzes phosphorolytic cleavage of glycogen to produce glucose-1-phosphate for glucose-dependent tissues when body glucose is scarce. GP activity plays an important role in glucose homeostasis and glycogen metabolism. Defects in glycogen synthesis and breakdown in liver, muscle, and other glucose-dependent tissues often cause glycogen storage diseases (Stegelmeier et al., 1995). For example, McArdle disease is caused by mutations in muscle GP, and patients with this disorder are intolerant of exercise and show early fatigue (Andreu et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2003). Due to their critical roles in glucose homeostasis, regulations of glycogen synthase (GS) and GP activities have been extensively investigated in hopes of finding therapeutic strategy for type II diabetes.

GP was the first allosteric enzyme to be discovered by Carl and Gerty Cori, who demonstrated that GP existed in two interconvertible forms, referred to subsequently as an active a form or inactive b form, that are regulated by adenosine monophosphate (AMP) (Cori and Cori, 1936). GP is activated by the binding of AMP and IMP, whereas it is inhibited by the binding of ATP and glucose-6-phosphate (Barford et al., 1991; Barford and Johnson, 1989). These allosteric controls provide excellent means of GP activity regulation in response to energy and metabolic status. Moreover, GP activity is tightly regulated by reversible phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. In addition to allosteric regulation, GP is also regulated by posttranslational modifications (PTMs) (Johnson, 1992). In fact, GP was also the first protein discovered to be regulated by reversible protein phosphorylation (Fischer and Krebs, 1955; Sutherland and Wosilait, 1955), which exemplifies a signal transduction pathway by phosphorylation cascades. Under high serum glucose conditions, release of insulin indirectly activates protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), which dephosphorylates Ser-15 and converts the active a form of GP to the unphosphorylated inactive b form, leading to the inhibition of glycogen breakdown (Browner and Fletterick, 1992). Conversely, when glucose concentration is low, glucagon triggers a cascade of signal transduction that activates protein kinase A (PKA) which phosphorylates and activates phosphorylase kinase (PhK), which in turn activates GP by phosphorylating Ser-15 and leads to increased glycogen breakdown and ultimately higher glucose levels.

PP1 regulates glycogen metabolism by inhibiting GP activity and activating GS activity. The hepatic glycogen binding subunit G_L is a glycogen-metabolizing scaffold protein that binds to PP1, glycogen, GS, and GP (Armstrong et al., 1998). G_L targets PP1 to glycogen, where it dephosphorylates and inhibits GP, in addition to dephosphorylating and activating GS, thereby increasing glycogen synthesis and reducing glucose output (Alemany and Cohen, 1986). Therefore, the phosphorylation status of GP is critically regulated by its interaction with G_L .

Lysine acetylation has emerged as a common regulatory mechanism of diverse cellular processes, including metabolism (Choudhary et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). Acetylation modulates enzymes involved in fatty

¹State Key Lab of Genetic Engineering, School of Life Sciences

²Molecular and Cell Biology Lab, Institutes of Biomedical Sciences

³Department of Biochemistry, College of Medicine

⁴Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

⁵Department of Pharmacology

⁶Moores Cancer Center



acid metabolism, urea cycle, TCA cycle, and gluconeogenesis via different mechanisms such as inhibition, activation, and protein destabilization (Guan and Xiong, 2011; Kim and Yang, 2011). In the present study, we show that acetylation inhibits GP activity by promoting its dephosphorylation. This is accomplished by an acetylation-induced interaction between GP and the PP1-targeting subunit G_L . Our study provides an example of crosstalk between acetylation and phosphorylation in regulation of a key enzyme of the glycogen metabolism in response to different physiologic conditions.

RESULTS

Acetylation Negatively Regulates GP Catalytic Activity

In an attempt to profile liver protein acetylation, we previously enriched acetylated peptides of human liver proteins by affinity purification (Zhao et al., 2010). Among the many liver acetylated proteins identified, two peptides were found to contain acetylated lysine 470 (K470) and lysine 796 (K796) of GP (see Figure S1A available online). To confirm GP acetylation, we expressed Flag-tagged GP in Chang's liver cells and then treated cells with nicotinamide (NAM) and trichostatin A (TSA), two commonly used deacetylase inhibitors that inhibit all four classes of deacetylases (Xu et al., 2007). GP was found to be acetylated, and its acetylation was significantly elevated (approximately 2-fold) after NAM and TSA treatment (Figure 1A). Mutation of both K470 and K796 (GP^{K2R}) dramatically decreased GP acetylation (Figure 1B), indicating that K470 and K796 are the major, if not the sole, acetylation sites in GP.

Next, the functional significance of acetylation in GP catalytic activity was investigated. For GP assay, we adapted a published protocol (Jones and Wright, 1970) and confirmed that under the conditions used in our experiments, the assay was linear to GP enzyme concentrations and displayed a typical Michaelis-Menten response to glycogen concentrations (Figures S1B and S1C). Treatment with deacetylase inhibitors decreased the specific activity of GP by 75% (Figure 1C), suggesting that GP activity is negatively regulated by acetylation. Moreover, when both K470 and K796 of GP were changed to acetylation mimetic glutamines (GPK2Q), the GPK2Q mutant displayed significantly lower (about 55%) specific activity than the wild-type. Interestingly, the GPK2Q was no longer inhibited by deacetylase inhibitor treatment (Figure 1C), indicating that the K470 and K796 in GP are the primary acetylation sites for its enzymatic inhibition. To clarify the individual contribution of K470 and K796 acetylation to GP activity, we generated single lysine to glutamine substitution on either K470 (GPK470Q) or K796 (GPK796Q). GPK470Q and $\mbox{GP}^{\mbox{\scriptsize K796Q}}$ had about 30% and 45% less specific activity than wild-type GP, respectively (Figure 1D), suggesting that acetylation of either K470 or K796 contributed to GP inhibition. Notably, unlike GPK2Q, which had negligible response to deacetylase inhibitor treatment, both GPK470Q and GPK796Q activities were still partially inhibited by NAM and TSA treatment (Figure 1D), suggesting that both K470 and K796 acetylation contribute to GP catalytic activity regulation and that these two acetylation sites may function additively.

Because GP can form homodimers, we investigated whether endogenous GP might interfere with our assays by forming heterodimers with the transfected mutant GP, and whether the mutant GP could dominantly inhibit wild-type GP. In the transfected cells, the ectopically expressed GP was much higher (about 10-fold) than the endogenous protein GP (Figure S1D), indicating that endogenous GP should have a negligible effect on our assay results. Moreover, we purified WT homodimer, WT-K2R heterodimer, and K2R homodimer and assayed their catalytic activity. The WT-K2R heterodimer exhibited activity that was the average of wild-type and K2R homodimers (Figure S1E), showing that the K2R mutant has no dominant inhibition on wild-type GP. Together, our results suggest that K470 and K796 are two major acetylation sites in GP responsible for its inhibition by acetylation. To further test the effect of acetylation on GP activity and glycogen metabolism, we determined cellular glycogen content in response to NAM and TSA treatment. Consistent with an inhibitory effect of acetylation on GP activity, we found that inhibition of deacetylases by NAM and TSA increased cellular glycogen levels (Figure S1F). Next, we determined glycogen hydrolysis in cells expressing different GP mutants. Expression of wild-type GP promoted a faster alycogen hydrolysis than the GP^{K2Q} mutant (Figure 1E), further supporting a lower enzymatic activity of the acetylation mimetic mutant GPK2Q.

GP Acetylation and Activity Are Regulated by Glucose, Insulin, and Glucagon

Given that glucose concentration is a key factor in GP regulation, we determined GP acetylation under different glucose concentrations. The acetylation level of ectopically expressed GP was low when cells were maintained in glucose-free medium, and increased significantly with elevated glucose concentrations in a dose-dependent manner by as much as 4-fold (Figure 2A), suggesting that acetylation of GP was upregulated by glucose. Moreover, acetylation of endogenous GP in L02 human hepatocytes was increased by glucose (Figure 2B, Figure S2A). NAM and TSA treatment of cells cultured in high-glucose medium could further increase the acetylation level of endogenous GP (Figure 2B), showing that deacetylation of GP occurs even under high glucose.

Next, the effect of glucose on GP activity was determined. As shown in Figure 2C, the activity of wild-type GP was significantly inhibited by increasing concentrations of glucose, while GP^{K2Q} was largely refractory to inhibition by glucose (Figure 2C). These results suggest that glucose inhibits GP activity through K470 and K796 acetylation. To further test the function of acetylation in mediating glucose-induced GP inhibition, we measured the effect of glucose on GP activity after NAM and TSA treatment. We hypothesized that since the inhibition of deacetylases would increase GP acetylation, high glucose may not have a significant effect on GP activity in the presence of deacetylase inhibitors. As expected, glucose increased GP acetylation and decreased GP activity in the absence of deacetylase inhibitors (Figure 2D, lanes 1-4). However, in the presence of deacetylase inhibitors, glucose had little effect on GP activity and did not further increase the elevated GP acetylation (Figure 2D, lanes 5-8). These results further support the notion that acetylation plays a major role in GP inhibition in response to glucose.

Both insulin and glucagon are important signals that regulate GP activity and glycogen metabolism in opposite manners






Figure 1. GP Activity Is Negatively Regulated by Acetylation

(A) GP acetylation is increased by deacetylase inhibitor. Flag-tagged GP was expressed in Chang's cells with or without nicotinamide and trichostatin A (NAM+TSA) treatment. Acetylation levels of Flag bead-purified GP were blotted with pan-anti-acetyllysine antibody (α -AcK). IB and IP denote immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation, respectively.

(B) K470 and K796 are the major acetylation sites in GP. Ectopically expressed Flag-GP and GP^{K2R} in Chang's cells were immunopurified and immunoblotted with pan-anti-acetyllysine antibody.

(C) GP catalytic activity is negatively regulated by acetylation. Both wild-type GP and GP^{K2Q} were expressed in Chang's liver cells with or without NAM+TSA treatment. Catalytic activity of affinity-purified GP proteins was determined and normalized to protein levels. Activity of wild-type GP under no treatment condition was set as 100%.

(D) The acetylation targets K470 and K796 are important for GP inhibition by the deacetylase inhibitor treatment. GP, GP^{K470Q}, GP^{K796Q}, and GP^{K2Q} were each expressed in Chang's liver cells with (hatched bars) or without (solid bars) NAM+TSA treatment as indicated. Specific activity of each purified enzyme was determined. (E) Wild-type GP degrades glycogen faster than K2Q mutant. Wild-type GP and mutant GP^{K2Q} were expressed at similar levels in Chang's liver cells maintained in regular DMEM medium. The medium was replaced by glucose-free DMEM at time 0, and the glycogen degradation rate was measured. All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3 for each experimental group.

(Lok et al., 1994; Massague and Guinovart, 1977). We tested whether these two hormones could regulate GP acetylation. In L02 human hepatocytes, the acetylation of endogenous GP

was increased with insulin treatment in time- and dose-dependent manners (Figure 2E, Figure S2B). Moreover, acetylation of ectopically expressed GP increased within 30 min of insulin






Figure 2. Regulation of GP Acetylation by Glucose, Insulin, and Glucagon

(A) Glucose increases GP acetylation. Acetylation levels of GP ectopically expressed in Chang's cells maintained in different glucose concentrations were probed by anti-acetyllysine antibody.

- (B) Glucose and deacetylase inhibitor increase endogenous GP acetylation. Human hepatic L02 cells were treated with various concentrations of glucose and deacetylase inhibitors as indicated. Endogenous GP was immunoprecipitated with a GP antibody. Acetylation levels of GP were determined by western blot. (C) Glucose decreases GP activity through acetylation. Relative specific activity of GP (solid bars) and GP^{K2Q} (hatched bars) expressed in Chang's cells
- maintained in different glucose concentrations was determined. Specific activity of GP from glucose-free medium was arbitrarily set as 100. (D) Deacetylase inhibitor treatment blocks the glucose inhibition on GP activity. Relative specific activities for GP expressed in Chang's cells maintained in different glucose concentrations with (hatched bars) and without NAM+TSA treatment (solid bars) were determined.
- (E) Insulin and deacetylase inhibitor increase endogenous GP acetylation. Human hepatic L02 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of insulin and treated with deacetylase inhibitors as indicated. Acetylation of immunoprecipitated endogenous GP was detected by western blot.
- (F) Insulin decreases GP activity through acetylation. Flag-GP (solid bars) and Flag-GP^{K2Q} (hatched bars) were expressed in Chang's cells and treated with increasing concentrations of insulin. GP acetylation and activity were determined.
- (G) Glucagon decreases GP acetylation. Acetylation levels of Flag-GP expressed in Chang's cells treated with 10 nM glucagon for different time periods (as indicated) were determined.

All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3-4 for each experimental group.



addition (Figure S2C). We then examined the combined effect of both glucose and insulin. GP acetylation in cells cultured in the presence of both glucose and insulin was higher than cells cultured in either glucose or insulin (Figure S2D). Consistent with the stimulatory effect of insulin on GP acetylation, we found that insulin inhibited GP activity in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2F, lanes 1–5). These effects required K470 and K796, as the GP^{K2Q} mutant showed little acetylation and its catalytic activity did not respond to insulin treatment (Figure 2F, lanes 6–10). Contrary to insulin treatment, glucagon decreased GP acetylation in a time-dependent manner (Figure 2G). Together, these results suggest that insulin and glucagon may regulate GP activity by affecting K470 and K796 acetylation.

Acetylation Decreases GP Phosphorylation

It is well-characterized that GP is activated by PhK-mediated serine phosphorylation at Ser-15 (Nolan et al., 1964). To investigate the mechanism of acetylation in regulating GP activity, we looked into a possible crosstalk between acetylation and phosphorylation by determining GP serine phosphorylation under different conditions that are known to affect GP acetylation levels. We found that deacetylase inhibitor treatment increased GP acetylation and at the same time decreased Ser-15 phosphorylation by 70% (Figure 3A). Similar results were observed when we utilized a panphosphoserine antibody to detect the GP serine phosphorylation level after NAM and TSA treatment (Figure S3A). The inverse relationship between endogenous GP acetylation and phosphorylation in responding to deacetylase inhibitor was also examined in L02 human hepatocytes and freshly isolated mouse primary hepatocytes. In both hepatocytes, endogenous GP showed a significant increase in acetylation and a concomitant decrease in Ser-15 phosphorylation upon NAM and TSA treatment (Figure 3B). Similar results were also observed in freshly isolated mouse skeletal muscle cells (Figure S3B). These observations demonstrate that acetylation negatively regulates GP phosphorylation.

To provide direct evidence that acetylation regulates GP phosphorylation, we examined GP phosphorylation status when GP was coexpressed with deacetylases. When GP was coexpressed with SIRT1 and SIRT2, two cytosolic deacetylases, an evident decrease in GP acetylation and concomitant increase in GP Ser-15 phosphorylation were observed, with SIRT2 being more potent in deacetylating GP and increasing Ser-15 phosphorylation (Figure 3C). Collaborating with these findings, the catalytic activity of GP was also activated by either SIRT1 or SIRT2 coexpression. This result indicates a causal role of acetylation in modulating GP phosphorylation.

To further elucidate the relationship between GP acetylation and phosphorylation, we determined the effect of deacetylase inhibitors on the activity of GP^{S15D}, which had Ser-15 replaced by an aspartic acid and displayed a lower activity (Buchbinder et al., 1997). Interestingly, the activity of GP^{S15D} mutant was not inhibited by deacetylase inhibitor treatment, whereas the wild-type GP was potently inhibited by a similar treatment (Figure 3D). Of note, glucose still increased the acetylation of GP^{S15D} mutant (Figure 3E). Therefore, Ser-15 phosphorylation is not required for high-glucose-stimulated GP acetylation; rather, acetylation may downregulate Ser-15 phosphorylation and activity of GP.

Because of the inhibitory effect of acetylation on phosphorylation, the above data were unable to show whether acetylation may directly affect GP activity. To address this question, we prepared GP with or without acetylation and with or without phosphorylation. Hyperacetylated GP was obtained by expressing Flag-GP in Chang's liver cells maintained in high-glucose (25 mM) medium supplemented with NAM and TSA, while hypoacetylated GP was obtained by glucose-free medium without NAM and TSA. The immunopurified GP proteins were treated with λ-phosphatase or PhK in vitro. After verifying both the acetylation and the Ser-15 phosphorylation of GP by western blotting (right panel, Figure 3F), GP activity was determined. We found that phosphorylation by GP kinase (PhK) dramatically increased GP activity regardless of whether GP was hyperacetylated (lane 1 versus lane 2, left panel, Figure 3F) or hypoacetylated (lane 3 versus lane 4). Acetylation did not affect GP activity when GP was hypophosphorylated (lanes 1 versus lane 3). However, when GP was hyperphosphorylated, acetylation exhibited an inhibitory effect on GP in vitro (lane 2 versus lane 4). These results indicate that acetylation inhibits GP only when it is hyperphosphorylated and active.

GP is also regulated by allosteric effect, including activation of inactive b form by AMP. To explore the effect of acetylation on allosteric regulation of GP, we determined the activity of hypo-versus hyperacetylated GP in response to AMP. We found that AMP induced a similar activation to both the hyperacetylated (lane 1 versus lane 1A, 20.5-fold, Figure 3F) and hypoacetylated GP (lane 3 versus lane 3A, 20.4-fold) when GP was hypophosphorylated, indicating that acetylation does not directly affect allosteric activation of GP by AMP. However, when GP was fully activated (hypoacetylated/hyperphosphorylated), AMP could not activate GP further (lanes 4 versus 4A). To provide more direct evidence to determine the effect of acetylation on allosteric regulation, we treated immunopurified GP with recombinant deacetylase CobB in vitro and measured its allosteric activation by AMP after confirming the decrease of acetylation (right panel, Figure 3G). We found that AMP activated GP equally regardless of the levels of GP's acetylation (5.54-fold, 5.58-fold, and 5.50-fold, left panel, Figure 3G). We therefore conclude that acetylation does not directly affect allosteric activation of GP by AMP.

Physiological Stimuli Regulate GP Acetylation-Induced Dephosphorylation

The notion that acetylation negatively regulates GP-Ser-15 phosphorylation was further pursued by analyzing acetylation and phosphorylation levels in response to physiological stimuli. We found that glucose increased GP acetylation and decreased phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4A), indicating an inverse relationship between GP acetylation and phosphorylation. Moreover, insulin treatment increased GP acetylation and decreased GP phosphorylation (Figure 4B), whereas glucagon treatment decreased GP acetylation and increased GP phosphorylation (Figure 4C). A time-dependent inverse correlation between acetylation and phosphorylation in GP was observed in response to glucose, insulin, and glucagon (Figure 4D, Figures S4A and S4B), supporting the notion that acetylation may inhibit GP phosphorylation. It is worth noting that glucagon can regulate GP activity through a direct signaling






Figure 3. Acetylation Decreases GP Ser-15 Phosphorylation

(A) Deacetylase inhibitor treatment inhibits GP phosphorylation. Flag-GP was expressed in Chang's liver cells and treated with or without NAM+TSA. Acetylation and GP-Ser-15 phosphorylation levels of Flag-GP proteins were determined by western blot.

(B) Deacetylase inhibitor treatment increases acetylation and decreases phosphorylation of hepatic GP. Human hepatic L02 cells and mouse primary hepatocytes were treated with or without NAM+TSA as indicated. Acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation of GP proteins were determined by western blot (L02, $^{\#}$ p = 0.0094, n = 3, and $^{\#\#}$ p = 0.0107, n = 3; primary hepatocytes, $^{\#}$ p = 0.0090, n = 3, and $^{\#\#}$ p = 0.0270, n = 3).

(C) Coexpression of deacetylases reduces GP acetylation and increases GP phosphorylation. Flag-GP was expressed alone or coexpressed with Sirt1 and Sirt2 in Chang's liver cells as indicated. Acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation of affinity-purified GP were measured. Relative specific activities of GP were determined by normalizing GP activity against GP protein.

(D) GP Ser-15 is required for deacetylase inhibitor-induced GP inactivation. Wild-type GP and GPS15D mutant GP were expressed in Chang's liver cells, with or without NAM+TSA treatment. Activities of affinity-purified GP were determined.



pathway. Of note, although Ser-15 phosphorylation occurred rapidly and was evident as early as 30 min after glucagon treatment, it increased continuously throughout the 4 hr of experimental duration (Figures 2G and 4D). Thus, it is possible that deacetylation may not be required for the acute GP phosphorylation by glucagon but rather may play a role to maintain the phosphorylated and active GP in the active state (Figure S7).

We next examined the response of Ser-15 phosphorylation in GPK2R, the nonacetylatable form of GP, to different glucose concentrations. Contrary to wild-type GP, which showed increased acetylation and decreased Ser-15 phosphorylation by glucose, Ser-15 phosphorylation of GPK2R was largely unaffected by increasing glucose concentrations (Figure S4C), indicating an essential role of acetylation in regulating Ser-15 phosphorylation. Notably, Ser-15 phosphorylation remained constitutively high in GPK2R regardless of the glucose concentrations, suggesting that the deacetylated GP favors being phosphorylated at Ser-15. This notion was supported by the observation that GP^{K2Q}, the acetylation mimetic mutant, not only showed a very weak phosphorylation level but that its phosphorylation was unresponsive to varying glucose concentrations (Figure S4D). Similarly, insulin did not influence the phosphorylation levels of either GP^{K2Q} or GP^{K2R} (Figure S4E). Collectively, the above data indicate that acetylation of K470 and K796 is required for GP phosphorylation regulation by glucose and insulin.

To obtain in vivo data to support the inverse relationship between GP acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation, mouse experiments were performed. Upon feeding, a state that causes high glucose or high insulin, mouse liver GP acetylation level was high, whereas the level of Ser-15 phosphorylation was low (Figure 4E, Figure S4F). After overnight fasting, hepatic GP acetylation was decreased, while hepatic Ser-15 phosphorylation was inversely increased. Furthermore, when mice were intraperitoneally injected with glucose (1 g/kg), insulin (5 U/kg), or glucagon (0.2 mg/kg), in line with our observations in vitro, glucose and insulin injection increased endogenous GP acetylation and decreased Ser-15 phosphorylation (Figures 4F and 4G, Figures S4G and S4H). In contrast, glucagon injection decreased endogenous GP acetylation and increased Ser-15 phosphorylation in mouse livers (Figure 4H, Figure S4I). Together, these data indicate an inverse coregulation of GP acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation, and the regulation of these two PTMs under physiological conditions.

Acetylation Increases the GP-PP1α Interaction

After establishing a causal relationship between acetylation and phosphorylation, a key question is how acetylation modulates GP phosphorylation, which is controlled by the PhK and PP1. The interaction between GP and PP1 is important for GP dephosphorylation. As expected, coexpression of PP1 α , the phosphatase that dephosphorylates P-Ser-15 of GP, largely abolished the inhibitory effect of deacetylase inhibitor on GP activity (Figure S5A). We thus investigated whether acetylation affected the PP1 α -GP interaction. When PP1 α and GP were coexpressed in Chang's cells, the interaction between PP1a and GP was readily detectable (Figure 5A). Interestingly, the PP1α-GP interaction was increased (by more than 100%) after deacetylase inhibitor treatment (Figure 5A), suggesting that acetylation enhances the recruitment of PP1 α to GP. Consistent with a role of acetylation in promoting the interaction between PP1α and GP, deacetylase inhibitor treatment did not further increase the interaction between PP1 α and GP^{K2Q} (Figure 5B).

To further confirm the function of acetylation in enhancing the PP1 α -GP interaction, we compared the binding of PP1 α to GP and the acetylation mimic $GP^{\mbox{\scriptsize K2Q}}$ mutant. When $PP1\alpha$ and GPproteins were coexpressed in Chang's cells, the amount of PP1α protein coimmunoprecipitated with GP^{K2Q} was about 2fold more than that associated with the wild-type GP protein, suggesting that the acetylation of GP may enhance its interaction with PP1 α . Consistently, the phosphorylation level of GP^{K2Q} was about 60% weaker than that of the wild-type GP (Figure 5C). Moreover, deacetylase inhibitor treatment decreased Ser-15 phosphorylation of GP that was already reduced by PP1α coexpression (Figure 5A, Figure S5B). Furthermore, coexpression of SIRT2 impaired the interaction between GP and PP1 α and at the same time stimulated GP serine phosphorylation (Figure 5D). Taken together, the above data support a model in which acetylation of K470 and K796 in GP enhances its interaction with PP1α, thereby resulting in GP dephosphorylation and inactivation (Figure 7).

K470 Acetylation Increases GP-G_L Interaction

The PP1-GP interaction is mediated by G_L, a substrate-targeting subunit of PP1 (Armstrong et al., 1998). To determine whether the observed PP1α-GP interaction resulted from increased $PP1\alpha$ - G_L , Flag- $PP1\alpha$ and HA- G_L were coexpressed in Chang's cells, and the interaction between PP1 α and G_L was determined. We found that the association between PP1 α and G_L was not altered by deacetylase inhibitor treatment (Figure S6A), indicating that acetylation does not regulate interaction between PP1 and G_L. On the other hand, the interaction between GP and G₁ was increased upon deacetylase inhibitor treatment (Figure 6A, lanes 3 and 4). G_L binds to GP through its C-terminal 269-284 residues, and deletion of these five residues in G_L diminishes its interaction with GP (Kelsall et al., 2007; Pautsch et al., 2008).

(E) The effect of glucose on GP activity but not acetylation depends on Ser-15. GPS15D-transfected Chang's liver cells were treated with different concentrations of glucose. Acetylation, phosphorylation, and relative activity of GPS15D were determined. Activity of GP from glucose-free medium was set as 100% arbitrarily. (F) Effect of acetylation and phosphorylation on GP activity and activation by AMP. Hyperacetylated GP was immunoprecipitated from Flag-GP-transfected Chang's liver cells maintained in high-glucose (25 mM) medium supplemented with NAM+TSA. Hypoacetylated GP was immunoprecipitated from Flag-GP-transfected cells cultured in glucose-free medium without NAM+TSA. The immunopurified GP was incubated with λ-phosphatase (λ) or phosphorylase kinase (PhK) in appropriate buffers for 2 hr. Both phosphatase and kinase were removed by washing with PBS, and the treated GP was measured for enzyme activity with (hatched bars) or without AMP (5 mM, solid bars) as indicated. Relative enzyme activity was normalized against GP protein. Ser-15 phosphorylation and lysine acetylation levels were determined by western blotting.

(G) GP allosteric activation by AMP is not affected by acetylation. Flag-GP was purified from Chang's liver cells and then treated for 1 or 2 hr with buffer or CobB as indicated. Relative activity of purified GP was measured in the absence (solid bars) or presence of 5 mM AMP (hatched bars) and normalized by protein quantity. All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3 for each experimental group.






Figure 4. GP Acetylation and Phosphorylation Are Inversely Regulated by Physiological Stimuli

(A-C) The effect of glucose, insulin, and glucagon on GP acetylation and phosphorylation. Flag-GP-expressing Chang's cells were treated with different conditions as indicated. Acetylation and serine phosphorylation of Flag-GP were determined by western blotting.

(D) Time course of glucagon on GP acetylation and phosphorylation. Flag-GP-expressing Chang's liver cells were treated with glucagon (10 nM) for different times as indicated. Levels of GP acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation were determined by western blotting.

(E) Fasting decreases GP acetylation and increases GP phosphorylation in mouse liver. Mice were fasted overnight before sacrifice, and GP proteins were immunoprecipitated from the liver. Acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation levels of GP were determined by western blot ($^{\#}p = 0.0021$, n = 3; $^{\#\#}p = 0.0064$, n = 3). (F and G) Both glucose and insulin increase acetylation and decrease phosphorylation of GP in mouse liver. Glucose (1 g/kg) or insulin (5 U/kg) was intraperitoneally injected into overnight-fasted mice. At 30 min postinjection, mice were sacrificed and liver samples were harvested. GP proteins were immunoprecipitated from the liver, and acetylation and Ser-15 phosphorylation levels of GP proteins were determined by western blot (glucose, $^{\#}p = 0.0191$, n = 3, and $^{\#\#}p = 0.0013$, n = 3; insulin, $^{\#}p = 0.0021$, n = 3, and $^{\#\#}p = 0.0036$, n = 3).

(H) Glucagon decreases acetylation and increases phosphorylation of GP in mouse liver. Glucagon (0.2 mg/kg) was intraperitoneally injected into fed mice, and the mice were sacrificed at 30 min postinjection. GP protein was immunoprecipitated from liver, and acetylation and serine phosphorylation were determined by western blotting ($^{\#}p = 0.0200$, n = 3; $^{\#\#}p = 0.0100$, n = 3).

All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3 for each experimental group.






Figure 5. Acetylation Increases GP-PP1a Interaction

(A) Deacetylase inhibitor treatment enhances GP binding to $PP1\alpha$ and decreases GP phosphorylation. Flag-GP was coexpressed with HA-PP1 α in Chang's liver cells and treated with or without NAM+TSA. GP coprecipitation with PP1 α and serine15 phosphorylation was determined by western blot.

(B) Deacetylase inhibitor treatment has no affect on the interaction between GPK2Q and PP1a. Flag- GP^{K2Q} was coexpressed with HA-PP1 α in Chang's liver cells, and NAM+TSA treatment was indicated. GPK2Q coprecipitation with PP1a was determined.

(C) GP^{K2Q} binds more strongly to $PP1\alpha$ than wildtype GP. HA-PP1α was coexpressed with Flag-GP or Flag-GP^{K2Q} in Chang's liver cells. PP1 α -GP and $PP1\alpha$ - GP^{K2Q} interactions were detected by coimmunoprecipitation. Phosphorylation of GP and GP^{K2Q} were determined by panphosphoserine antibody.

(D) Ectopic expression of SIRT2 decreases $PP1\alpha$ -GP binding and increases GP serine phosphorylation. Flag-GP was expressed alone or coexpressed with HA-PP1 α or Myc-SIRT2 in Chang's liver cells as indicated; PP1α-GP interaction and GP serine phosphorylation level were determined


All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3 for each experimental group.

We thus generated a G_I ^{ΔC5} mutant by deleting the C-terminal five residue deletion of G_L and confirmed that the deletion weakened the binding of G_L with GP. Notably, inhibition of deacetylases increased the interaction between $G_L^{\Delta C5}$ and GP to a level similar to that of wild-type GP (Figure 6A). This result suggests that the acetylation enhances the GP-G_I interaction through a site in $G_{\boldsymbol{L}}$ that is independent of the C-terminal five residues in G_L . Consistently, when GP^{K2R} was coexpressed with $G_L^{\Delta C5}$ in Chang's cells, virtually no interaction was detected between them in either the presence or the absence of deacetylase inhibitors (Figure S6B). Meanwhile, we characterized another protein, PTG (protein targeting to glycogen), which also functions as a molecular scaffold targeting GP to PP1 (Brady et al., 1997), and investigated whether acetylation regulates GP and PTG interaction. The result demonstrated that GP-PTG binding could also be stimulated by NAM and TSA treatment (Figure S6C).

To obtain direct evidence to support a role of acetylation in promoting GP-G_L interaction, we performed in vitro deacetylation and binding experiments. Hyperacetylated GP was purified from cells treated with deacetylase inhibitors, and the purified GP was deacetylated in vitro by incubating with the NAD+dependent bacterial deacetylase CobB. We found that CobB treatment decreased GP acetylation and also reduced the interaction of GP-G_L in a NAD⁺-dependent manner (Figure 6B), providing direct biochemical evidence that acetylation of GP enhances its interaction with G_L. We extended these experiments in mouse liver. Plasmids encoding epitope-tagged GP and G_L were intravenously injected into mouse tail veins to express the two proteins in liver. The interaction of the ectopically expressed GP and G_I in mouse liver was determined in response to glucose signal. When glucose (1 g/kg) was injected intraperitoneally into fasted mice, we found that the hepatic GP-G_L interaction was increased significantly (Figure 6C). As expected, glucose injection indeed increased blood alucose concentration (Figure S6D). These results further support the notion that acetylation of GP increases GP-GL interaction in vivo.

We next investigated the importance of K470 and K796 acetylation sites in regulating the GP-GL interaction. HA-GL with Flag-GP^{K470Q} or GP^{K796Q} was coexpressed in Chang's cells. As a positive control, deacetylase inhibitors increased the interaction between wild-type GP and HA-G_L (Figure 6D, lanes 3 and 4). In contrast, a similar treatment did not increase the interaction between Flag-GPK470Q and GL, and the mutant $\mathsf{GP}^{\mathsf{K470Q}}$ displayed a stronger interaction with $\mathsf{G_L}$ than the wildtype GP (Figure 6D, lanes 6 and 7). On the other hand, the binding between GPK796Q and GL was increased by NAM+TSA treatment, and GP^{K796Q} showed a basal G_L interaction similar to the wild-type protein (Figure S6E). These results suggest that the acetylation-enhanced interaction between GP and GL is predominantly mediated by K470, but not K796. We performed iTRAQ mass spectrometry analyses to quantify GP K470 acetylation. Our results showed that as much as 50% of GP was acetylated at K470 in this assay and that inhibition of deacetylases resulted in an increase in the ratio of acetylated K470 versus unacetylated K470 from roughly 1:1 to 2:1 (Figure 6E, Figures S6F-S6I), suggesting that a substantial fraction of K470 in GP is acetylated in the cell.





Finally, to investigate whether the GP-G_L interaction is regulated by physiological stimuli, we determined the interaction between G_L with either wild-type or K470Q mutant GP in response to glucose concentration and insulin stimulation. We found that the GP-GL interaction was increased by approximately 100% after switching from glucose-free medium to 25 mM glucose medium (Figure 6F). However, a similar glucose switch did not affect the interaction between GPK470Q and GL. Furthermore, insulin stimulated the interaction between the wild-type GP, but not GP $^{\text{K470Q}}$, and G_{L} (Figure 6G). These results support the notion that GP-G_L interaction is regulated by K470 acetylation in response to nutrient and hormonal signals.

Figure 6. Acetylation Increases Interaction

- (A) Acetylation enhances GP-G₁ interaction. Flag-GP was transfected into Chang's liver cells either alone or with HA-tagged G_L or $G_L{}^{\Delta C5}.$ NAM+TSA treatment was indicated. GP-G_I and GP-G_I ^{ΔC5} interactions were determined by coimmunoprecipitation
- (B) Deacetylation of GP decreases GP-GL interaction in vitro. Flag-GP was expressed in HEK293 cells in the presence of deacetylase inhibitor, and HA-G_L was separately expressed. Both proteins were affinity purified. Flag-GP was deacetylated with purified bacterial deacetylase CobB in vitro as indicated. In vitro binding between Flag-GP and HA-G_L was performed. Acetylation level of Flag-GP and the amount of HA-G_L coprecipitated by Flag-GP were determined by western blot.
- (C) Glucose increases GP-G_L interaction in vivo. Human Myc-GP and HA-G_L plasmids were intravenously injected into mice. The injected mice were fasted overnight and then intraperitoneally injected with glucose (1 g/kg). The mice were sacrificed at 30 min postinjection. GP and GL proteins expressed in the injected mouse liver were immunoprecipitated by Myc beads for Myc-GP. The amount of GP and coprecipitated GL proteins was measured, and the G_L/GP ratio was calculated.
- (D) K470 is required for deacetylase inhibitors to stimulate the GP-G_L interaction. Flag-GP and Flag-GPK470Q were transfected in Chang's liver cells, either alone or with HA-tagged G_L. Cells were treated with or without NAM+TSA. GP-G_I interactions were determined by coimmunoprecipitation.
- (E) Quantification of GP K470 acetylation. Acetylation levels of K470 of GP expressed in Chang's liver cells treated with or without NAM+TSA were quantified by iTRAQ.
- (F and G) K470 in GP is required for regulation of GP-G₁ interaction by glucose and insulin. Flag-GP and Flag-GPK470Q were transfected in Chang's liver cells, either alone or with HA-tagged G_L. Cells were treated with or without glucose (F) or insulin (G). GP-G_I interactions were determined by coimmunoprecipitation.

All error bars represent standard deviation (SD). n = 3-4 for each experimental group.

DISCUSSION

Following the initial discovery of histone acetylation (Allfrey et al., 1964; Phillips, 1963), extensive studies over the last four decades have identified not only the enzymes that catalyze reversible acetylation, the protein lysine acetyltransferases (KATs, formerly termed histone acetyltransferases, HATs), and deacetylases (commonly known as histone deacetylases, or HDACs) but also many nonhistone substrates. Until relatively recently, nearly all well-characterized acetylation substrates were nuclear proteins, including transcription factors and coregulators (Yang and Seto, 2008). Recent studies, in particular






Figure 7. Acetylation Negatively Regulates GP Activity by Promoting the Binding of Phosphatase and the Dephosphorylation of GP

The acetylation of GP is stimulated by glucose and insulin and inhibited by glucagon. The acetylation enhances the binding of G_L subunit to GP and dephosphorylation of GP by PP1.

genetic studies of various strains of SIRT mutants (Finkel et al., 2009) and proteomic studies of acetylomes in different organisms (Guan and Xiong, 2011; Kim and Yang, 2011), have revealed important and broad roles of acetylation in the regulation of nontranscriptional processes, including especially the regulation of metabolic enzyme activity. In this study we have uncovered an important function of acetylation in the regulation of GP, the key enzyme in glycogen breakdown. Our study has gained new molecular insights into the regulation of this extensively investigated and historically significant enzyme.

Crosstalk between different protein PTMs, such as phosphorylation-targeted protein ubiquitylation (Hunter, 2007), plays an important role in coordinating and connecting different cellular processes. As metabolism needs to respond to a variety of intraand extracellular conditions such as cell growth signals and nutrient availability, metabolic enzymes, which have now been found to be frequently modified by acetylation, are expected to be subject to such crosstalk regulation between acetylation and other type of PTMs. We have demonstrated recently that acetylation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK1), a rate-limiting enzyme in gluconeogenesis, promotes its association with UBR5/EDD1 HECT E3 ligase and thus its degradation in the presence of high glucose (Jiang et al., 2011). The current study adds another distinct example-promoting protein dephosphorylation by facilitating the recruitment of a phosphatase-of crosstalk between acetylation and phosphorylation. Our results support a model in which acetylation of GP modulates its phosphorylation status by enhancing GP's binding with the G_L subunit of PP1 phosphatase, thereby stimulating GP dephosphorylation by PP1 and inhibiting GP activity (Figure 7). Although it remains to be elucidated how the acetylation of GP, mostly on K470, facilitates the binding of G_L to GP, we speculate that controlling protein-protein interaction by acetylation could be a broad mechanism for acetylation to regulate activities of other metabolic enzymes or proteins.

Beside reversible phosphorylation, another remarkable feature of GP regulation is its interaction with several allosteric effectors, including glucose and AMP, and regulation by hormones, such as insulin and glucagon, thereby allowing cells to integrate different cellular conditions and energy status to the regulation of GP. The finding that GP acetylation and activity are controlled by glucose, insulin, and glucagon places acetylation downstream of these physiological stimuli. Binding of glucose shifts GP in liver from a more relaxed and thus active state (R) to a tense and less active state (T). As a result, low glucose would lead to decreased glucose binding and higher GP activity, resulting in an increased production of glucose from glycogen. Under such low glucose conditions, GP is hypoacetylated in the cell and its interaction with GL (hence PP1) is

weakened, which in turn would increase GP Ser-15 phosphorylation and activity (Figure 7). Conversely, in cells with high glucose concentration, GP is hyperacetylated and the acetylation enhances GP's binding with $G_{\rm L}$ and thus PP1, leading to decreased Ser-15 phopshorylation and activity of GP and eventually reduced glycogen degradation. Therefore, it appears that high glucose can downregulate GP activity by two different mechanisms: a conformational change by the direct binding of glucose and acetylation-mediated dephosphorylation. It will be important to determine whether these two regulations operate separately or sequentially, and whether GP conformational change brought by the initial glucose binding facilitates the acetylation.

The role of acetylation in recruiting PP1 is clearly consistent with the inverse correlation between acetylation and phosphorylation of GP. Glucagon can induce a direct signaling pathway to phosphorylate and activate GP in a manner independent of acetylation. However, we speculate that the decrease of GP acetylation induced by glucagon may contribute to the magnitude and duration of GP activation in response to glucagon. Glucagon stimulates GP by activating the GP kinase via the classical phosphorylation cascade and also by dissociating GP phosphatase via acetylation (Figure S7). It should be noted that acetylation appears to have no direct role in GP allosteric activation by AMP, although AMP cannot further activate GP when GP is fully active. Although the precise mechanism by which glucose regulates GP acetylation remains to be elucidated, using acetylation machinery to control GP adds another layer of regulation and thus lends cells further versatility in integrating multifaceted signaling pathways and nutrient conditions to this enzyme that is not only central to the glycogen metabolism, but is also interlocked with multiple energy metabolic pathways.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mouse Liver Collection and Primary Hepatocytes and Muscle Cell Isolation

Male BALB/c mice (4–6 weeks old, 20–25 g) were divided into two groups, fed, and overnight fasted. Fasting started from late afternoon and lasted for 16 hr before experiments. The fasted mice were further subdivided into glucose-and insulin-treated groups, and the fed mice were treated with glucagon. Mice blood glucose levels were measured by Accu-Chek Active Blood Glucose Meter (Roche). At 30 min postinjection, mice were sacrificed and liver samples were harvested. In addition, mouse primary hepatocytes and muscle cells were isolated. Please refer to the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for detailed information. Animal experiments were performed at Fudan Animal Center in accordance with the animal welfare guidelines.

Glycogen Content Measurement

Cells were washed twice and then lysed with supersonic. The cell lysate in 1 ml PBS (pH 4.8) was heated at a boiling point for 10 min to liberate stored



glycogen and to inactivate enzymes, which may produce extra glucose. After centrifugation for 15 min at 13,000 rpm, 4U amyloglucosidase (Sigma) was added into the supernatant. The resulting mixture was incubated for 1 hr at 50°C and followed by boiling for 10 min at 99°C. The cell lysate without amyloglucosidase was included as a control. Subsequently, the glycogen content was colorimetrically measured using a glucose assay kit (GAGO20, Sigma). The mixtures were incubated for 30 min at 37°C, and absorbance at 540 nm was measured by using a UV/Visible spectrophotometer reader (Ultrospec 3100 pro, Amersham Biosciences).

iTRAQ Quantification

Flag-GP proteins were expressed in Chang's liver cells and quantified by iTRAQ following the method modified according to Zhao (Zhao et al., 2010). GP was immunopurified from cells untreated or treated with NAM and TSA. resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE, and stained by Coomassie blue and sliced. The dye of gel slice was removed by soaking with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and 50% acetonitrile, followed by water wash twice and removing water by acetonitrile. The gel was dried and digested in 100 µl 50mM NH₄HCO₃ with trypsin (trypsin:protein at 1:30) at 37°C overnight. The trypsin-treated peptides were extracted by a volume containing 50% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and then followed by vacuum dry. Standard control peptides and GP samples were separately labeled with different iTRAQ-labeling reagents (ABI) as indicated in Table S1 and then subjected to LTQ-OrbiTrap MS analysis. Quantification of peptides was calculated by comparing relative intensity of the iTRAQ tags.

Cell Treatment

TSA (0.5 μ M) and NAM (5 mM) were added to the culture medium 18 and 6 hr before cell harvest, respectively. Glucose-free medium was prepared with DMEM base (GIBCO, #11966) and supplemented with glucose (Sigma), insulin (Sigma), and glucagon (Sigma) of different concentrations as indicated. Glucose, insulin, and glucagon treatments were carried out by culturing cells in DMEM medium for 24 hr before the desired medium was used to replace DMEM medium.

Glycogen Phosphorylase Activity Assay and CobB Treatment

Flag-tagged proteins were expressed in Chang's liver cells, eluted by Flag peptides (Gilson Biochemical), and measured using the method of Jones and Wright (Jones and Wright, 1970). The GP activity assay consists of 50 mM sodium glycerol-phosphate (pH 7.1), 10 mM potassium phosphate, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM NAD⁺, 1 mM DTT, 1.6 unit phosphoglucomutase, 1.6 unit glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, and 0.2% glycogen in a total volume of 0.3 ml. The reaction was started by adding GP into the volume and assayed at 25°C. The reaction was monitored by measuring the increase of fluorescence (excitation 350 nm, emission 470 nm, HITACHI F-4,600 fluorescence spectrophotometer) for NADH generation.

CobB deacetylation treatment was performed by using a method modified elsewhere (Hallows et al., 2006). CobB was expressed in E. coli, purified with nickel beads, and stored at 80°C in 10% glycerol. The CobB deacetylation assay buffer consists of 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 6 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM NAD⁺, and n1 mM DTT in a total volume of 0.1 ml. The reaction was started by adding 10 μg CobB and GP into the volume and was assayed at 37°C for 1 hr.

λ-Phosphatase and Phosphorylase Kinase Treatment

Flag-GP was ectopically expressed in Chang's liver cells and immunoprecipitated by Flag beads. Subsequently, the bead-linked GP was washed by PBS (pH 7.4) three times before being treated with phosphatase and kinase (PhK). The λ -phosphatase assay consists of NEBuffer Pack for Protein MetalloPhosphatases (50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.01% Brij 35 [pH 7.5]) and 1 mM MnCl₂ in a total volume of 0.4 ml. The reaction was started by adding 50 units lambda protein phosphatase (#P0753S, NEB) and GP into the volume and assayed at 30°C for 2 hr by shaking. The PhK reaction assay consists of 41 mM glycerophosphate, 41 mM Tris, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, 3 mM ATP, MgCl₂ (pH 8.2). Reaction was started by adding 2U PhK (#P2014, Sigma) and GP into the volume and assayed at 30°C for 2 hr by shaking. The reaction was terminated by washing the beads three times by PBS and eluting by Flag peptide, and the activity was determined by fluorescence spectrophotometer (Cohen, 1973; Shenolikar et al., 1979).

Flag-GP purified by Flag beads was subject to in vitro deacetylation by CobB before it was mixed with purified HA-G_L. The binding was allowed in 4°C for 4 hr before the beads were washed three times by PBS. Proteins on beads were denatured by SDS loading buffer and detected by western blot.

Statistical Analysis

Statistics were performed with a two-tailed unpaired Student's t test. All data shown represent the results obtained from triplicated independent experiments with standard deviations (mean ±SD). The values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes seven figures, one table, Supplemental Experimental Procedures, and Supplemental References and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011.12.005.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Fudan MCB laboratory for discussions throughout this study. We appreciate Dr. P.T. Cohen for providing the GP Ser-15 phospho-antibody. This work was supported by the 985 Program, 973 Program (grant numbers 2009CB918401, 2011CB910600, 2012CB910101, and 2012CB910103), NSFC (grant numbers 30971485/C0706, 31030042, 31071192), Shanghai key project (grant numbers 09JC1402300 and 11JC1401100), the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (project number B110), and National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants to Y.X. and K.-L.G.

Received: January 24, 2011 Revised: July 7, 2011 Accepted: December 9, 2011 Published online: January 3, 2012

REFERENCES

Alemany, S., and Cohen, P. (1986). Phosphorylase a is an allosteric inhibitor of the glycogen and microsomal forms of rat hepatic protein phosphatase-1. FEBS Lett. 198, 194-202.

Allfrey, V.G., Faulkner, R., and Mirsky, A.E. (1964). Acetylation and methylation of histones and their possible role in the regulation of RNA synthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 51, 786-794.

Andreu, A.L., Nogales-Gadea, G., Cassandrini, D., Arenas, J., and Bruno, C. (2007). McArdle disease: molecular genetic update. Acta Myol. 26, 53-57.

Armstrong, C.G., Doherty, M.J., and Cohen, P.T. (1998). Identification of the separate domains in the hepatic glycogen-targeting subunit of protein phosphatase 1 that interact with phosphorylase a, glycogen and protein phosphatase 1. Biochem. J. 336, 699-704.

Barford, D., and Johnson, L.N. (1989). The allosteric transition of glycogen phosphorylase. Nature 340, 609-616.

Barford, D., Hu, S.H., and Johnson, L.N. (1991). Structural mechanism for glycogen phosphorylase control by phosphorylation and AMP. J. Mol. Biol.

Brady, M.J., Printen, J.A., Mastick, C.C., and Saltiel, A.R. (1997). Role of protein targeting to glycogen (PTG) in the regulation of protein phosphatase-1 activity. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 20198-20204.

Browner, M.F., and Fletterick, R.J. (1992). Phosphorylase: a biological transducer. Trends Biochem. Sci. 17, 66-71.

Buchbinder, J.L., Luong, C.B., Browner, M.F., and Fletterick, R.J. (1997). Partial activation of muscle phosphorylase by replacement of serine 14 with acidic residues at the site of regulatory phosphorylation. Biochemistry 36, 8039-8044.

Choudhary, C., Kumar, C., Gnad, F., Nielsen, M.L., Rehman, M., Walther, T.C., Olsen, J.V., and Mann, M. (2009). Lysine acetylation targets protein complexes and co-regulates major cellular functions. Science 325, 834-840.

Cell Metabolism

Acetylation Inhibits Glycogen Phosphorylase



Cohen, P. (1973). The subunit structure of rabbit-skeletal-muscle phosphorylase kinase, and the molecular basis of its activation reactions. Eur. J. Biochem. 34, 1-14.

Cori, C.F., and Cori, G.T. (1936). Mechansim of formation of hexosemonophosphate in muscle and isolation of a new phosphate ester. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 34, 702-705.

Finkel, T., Deng, C.X., and Mostoslavsky, R. (2009). Recent progress in the biology and physiology of sirtuins. Nature 460, 587-591.

Fischer, E.H., and Krebs, E.G. (1955). Conversion of phosphorylase b to phosphorylase a in muscle extracts. J. Biol. Chem. 216, 121-132.

Guan, K.L., and Xiong, Y. (2011). Regulation of intermediary metabolism by protein acetylation. Trends Biochem. Sci. 36, 108-116.

Hallows, W.C., Lee, S., and Denu, J.M. (2006). Sirtuins deacetylate and activate mammalian acetyl-CoA synthetases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103. 10230-10235.

Hunter, T. (2007). The age of crosstalk: phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and beyond. Mol. Cell 28, 730-738.

Jiang, W., Wang, S., Xiao, M., Lin, Y., Zhou, L., Lei, Q., Xiong, Y., Guan, K.-L., and Zhao, S. (2011). Acetylation regulates gluconeogenesis by promoting PEPCK1 degradation via recruiting the UBR5 ubiquitin ligase. Mol. Cell 43,

Johnson, L.N. (1992). Glycogen phosphorylase: control by phosphorylation and allosteric effectors. FASEB J. 6, 2274-2282.

Jones, T.H., and Wright, B.E. (1970). Partial purification and characterization of glycogen phosphorylase from Dictyostelium discoideum. J. Bacteriol. 104, 754-761.

Kelsall, I.R., Munro, S., Hallyburton, I., Treadway, J.L., and Cohen, P.T. (2007). The hepatic PP1 glycogen-targeting subunit interaction with phosphorylase a can be blocked by C-terminal tyrosine deletion or an indole drug. FEBS Lett. 581, 4749-4753.

Kim, G.W., and Yang, X.J. (2011). Comprehensive lysine acetylomes emerging from bacteria to humans. Trends Biochem. Sci. 36, 211-220.

Kim, S.C., Sprung, R., Chen, Y., Xu, Y., Ball, H., Pei, J., Cheng, T., Kho, Y., Xiao, H., Xiao, L., et al. (2006). Substrate and functional diversity of lysine acetylation revealed by a proteomics survey. Mol. Cell 23, 607-618.

Lok, S., Kuijper, J.L., Jelinek, L.J., Kramer, J.M., Whitmore, T.E., Sprecher, C.A., Mathewes, S., Grant, F.J., Biggs, S.H., Rosenberg, G.B., et al. (1994). The human glucagon receptor encoding gene: structure, cDNA sequence and chromosomal localization. Gene 140, 203-209.

Massague, J., and Guinovart, J.J. (1977). Insulin control of rat hepatocyte glycogen synthase and phosphorylase in the absence of glucose. FEBS Lett. 82, 317-320.

Nolan, C., Novoa, W.B., Krebs, E.G., and Fischer, E.H. (1964). Further studies on the site phosphorylated in the Phosphorylase B to a reaction. Biochemistry

Pautsch, A., Stadler, N., Wissdorf, O., Langkopf, E., Moreth, W., and Streicher, R. (2008). Molecular recognition of the protein phosphatase 1 glycogen targeting subunit by glycogen phosphorylase. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 8913-8918.

Phillips, D.M. (1963). The presence of acetyl groups of histones. Biochem. J. 87, 258-263.

Shenolikar, S., Cohen, P.T.W., Cohen, P., Nairn, A.C., and Perry, S.V. (1979). The role of calmodulin in the structure and regulation of phosphorylase kinase from rabbit skeletal muscle. Eur. J. Biochem. 100, 329-337.

Stegelmeier, B.L., Molyneux, R.J., Elbein, A.D., and James, L.F. (1995). The lesions of locoweed (Astragalus mollissimus), swainsonine, and castanospermine in rats. Vet. Pathol. 32, 289-298.

Sutherland, E.W., Jr., and Wosilait, W.D. (1955). Inactivation and activation of liver phosphorylase. Nature 175, 169-170.

Tang, N.L., Hui, J., Young, E., Worthington, V., To, K.F., Cheung, K.L., Li, C.K., and Fok. T.F. (2003). A novel mutation (G233D) in the glycogen phosphorylase gene in a patient with hepatic glycogen storage disease and residual enzyme activity. Mol. Genet. Metab. 79, 142-145.

Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Yang, C., Xiong, H., Lin, Y., Yao, J., Li, H., Xie, L., Zhao, W., Yao, Y., et al. (2010). Acetylation of metabolic enzymes coordinates carbon source utilization and metabolic flux. Science 327, 1004-1007.

Xu, W.S., Parmigiani, R.B., and Marks, P.A. (2007). Histone deacetylase inhibitors: molecular mechanisms of action. Oncogene 26, 5541-5552.

Yang, X.J., and Seto, E. (2008). Lysine acetylation: codified crosstalk with other posttranslational modifications. Mol. Cell 31, 449-461.

Zhao, S., Xu, W., Jiang, W., Yu, W., Lin, Y., Zhang, T., Yao, J., Zhou, L., Zeng, Y., Li, H., et al. (2010). Regulation of cellular metabolism by protein lysine acetylation. Science 327, 1000-1004.