
Game Engines Report

by
Alexander Kirk
and Emil Buhl

IT University of Copenhagen
MGAE, F2015
Dan Lessin
December 17, 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Scope and Context	2
2	Project Description	3
2.1	Design and Features	3
2.1.1	3d-Mechanics	3
2.1.2	4d-Mechanics	4
3	Week Structure	5
3.1	Time Table	5
3.2	Breakdown	5
3.2.1	Week one	5
3.2.2	Week two	6
3.2.3	Week three	6
3.2.4	Week four	6
3.2.5	Week five	7
3.2.6	Week six	7
4	Discussion and Conclusion	8
5	Bibliography	9

1 Introduction

This paper is an technical account of the the design and implementation of the game Redshift in the Unity game engine. This paper will present the initial concept idea and the vision behind the game, followed by a chronological account of the development process and finally an analysis of the implementation and of alternative solutions evaluating the final implementation.

1.1 Scope and Context

The development of Redshift was the subject of two exam projects at the IT-University of Copenhagen during the fall semester of 2015. These two projects belonged to two different course - Game Design and Game Engines. The scope of these two projects are very different, as game Design is focused on the design of mechanics and aesthetics of games, where as Game Engines is focused on working with game engines - coding, scripting, etc.

This paper is part of the project on Game Engines, thus the subjects pertaining to the game's design and it's mechanics is not within the scope of this paper. However the focus will be on the implementation of said mechanics. The projects done for Game Design are usually technically simple and often done with 2d-graphics. However merging the Game Design and Game Engines projects allowed for a more technically challenging features and mechanics.

The team on the Game Design project included two additional members. These members had only very limited technical experience and was assigned the roles of designers. Working asset creation, ie. sounds, texture, models. They did not work on the scripts, and did only limited work in the Unity editor. Any content created by these members has been gathered in a list(**SE APPENDIX**).

2 Project Description

The goal of this project was create a puzzle game using the Unity 3d-engine. This game should incorporate a fourth spatial dimension, making it a 4d-puzzle game, which require a fourth dimension being implemented in the Unity 3d-engine.

The fourth dimension is achieved by building several 3d-environments which inhabits the same 3d-space. Traversing the fourth axis, W, is to traverse the different environments. Thus the W-axis can only be traversed in incremental steps where each step moves the player from one 3d-environment to another.

2.1 Design and Features

The design and desired features of the game can be divided into two groups: 4d-mechanics and 3d-mechanics. This distinction separates the design into features that are unique to the 4d-design of the game, and the features which still functions with the absence of a fourth spatial dimension.

2.1.1 3d-Mechanics

These are the desired features which are not dependent on the existence of a fourth spatial dimension. The following list aims to define and clarify each feature and their dependence on other parts of the design.

- 3d-FPS movement: A player character controlled from a first person perspective using the keyboard and mouse. Allowing the player to traverse, perceive and interact with the game world. Unity offers several built-in prefabs consisting of game objects, cameras and scripts which fulfills the need of a character controller.
- Picking up and moving objects: The player must be able to pick up certain game objects. When an object is picked up, it must be held in front of the player character until dropped, allowing the player to move it from one place in the game world to another. Unity Lessons[1] offered scripts for a basic implementation that allows for a single objects to be picked up and moved. However it has to be expanded to allow for more complex objects to be used, eg. several objects linked as one. It also had to be tweaked since the original scripts made a picked up object kinematic allowing it go through walls.
- Moving objects: Certain objects like doors must be able to move or rotate. Using a single generic script allows for both moving, rotating and scaling objects.
- Interactable objects: The player must be able to interact with certain game objects. These objects can be used like buttons with various effects like opening a door or calling an elevator. The interactible objects must also have the ability to be locked and requiring a certain key to be present. The interactible objects must be implemented in such a way that it can be used in combination with other parts of the design eg. moving an object by pressing a button. Implementing both a generic script and an interface allows for easy interaction between objects and for special cases offers a template usable with the rest of the design.
- Display/Monitor: In-game displays, like a computer monitor or TV-screen, is a requirement of more advanced puzzles relying on multiple buttons and combinations, eg. the player has to operate a machine. Using the Unity's cameras and render textures this can be achieved in the Unity editor alone.

- **UI Mini-map:** In order to help the player navigate the game world a mini-map is required, offering a top down view of the environment. Using cameras and render textures like the in-game displays this is achieved inside the Unity editor. Suspending a downwards facing camera over the player and linking it to a render texture in the UI.

2.1.2 4d-Mechanics

- **Collisions:** Objects in the same 3d-space but on different points on the fourth axis must not collide. Meaning that even though two objects are intersecting in the 3d-environment they should only collide if they also intersect on fourth axis. Using Unity's 3d-collision detection and expanding it by using Unity's layers system as collision layers an incremental 4th axis can be achieved. This requires objects to change layers according to their W position in order to for the collisions to work correctly. Using scripts to add a W coordinate and handle the layering offers a fairly simple implementation. However many objects require this mechanism thus it is important that its optimized both in terms of CPU time and memory usage and also for use in level design in Unity. Therefore a script which can handle multiple nested game objects was also required.
- **Moving:** In relation to Collisions and interaction the player controller must also change layer according to its W-position. The controller must also offer the ability to change the W position. This requires the mechanism of detecting interactible objects must be filtered according to W position.
- **Rendering/Coloring:** Objects must be rendered differently according to their W location, otherwise the player would be able to see everything at all times, which would only confuse the player there would be no difference on what walls the player can or can not collide with. Changing colors, fading objects making them transparent and making them completely invisible are all different ways of helping the player to perceive the 4d-nature of the game world. Using scripts to detect the change of the players W position and change the rendering of the game objects facilitates the world changing around the player as they traverse the fourth axis.

3 Week Structure

In this section, we will describe the time table and weekly progress throughout the project. We will go over problems and some design decisions, especially those that had consequences for the overarching work flow.

3.1 Time Table

This time table reflects who took responsibility of what tasks. The table itself was developed without any assignment of responsibilities before beginning the project.

Week number	Task	Owner
31-10-2015	4D-Movement Colour switching Pickupable Objects	Both Alex Emil
7-11-2015	Composite objects, tuning of carrying implementation Interactive objects	Emil Alex
14-11-2015	AI, Hazards and In-game displays	Both
21-11-2015	Doors and locks, Refactoring of code Elevator, level design	Alex Emil
28-11-2015	4D-lighting, sound effects	Alex
05-12-2015	Polish	Both

Table 1: Work schedule separated into weeks

3.2 Breakdown

3.2.1 Week one

Initially, we needed to decide upon what approach to take in regards to the core mechanics of our game. Using the standard asset 'FPSController' shipping with Unity, we only needed a way to explore the fourth dimension. We figured that this movement would take on either of two possibilities; integral or fluid.

To better explore these possibilities, Emil started working with the integral approach, while Alex explored the fluid approach.

Our efforts revealed that a fluid approach would be much more faithful to the mathematical concept of dimensional movement, but would be exceedingly complicated to implement properly in the Unity engine, since the collision detection is handled by Unity behind the scenes. Fluid 4D-movement would require the collision detection system to be extended with a fourth coordinate, which was simply not feasible.

On the other hand, integral movement - being the more 'unrealistic' approach - would be much easier to implement even without compromising the core idea of the game. Additionally, it complimented the collision detection system of Unity through the use of collision layers, which with little interpretation could be transformed into a working 4D-collision engine.

With the movement system in place, Emil implemented the first version of pickupable objects, inspired by unitylessons.com[1]. Meanwhile, Alex implemented the first version of the colour switching algorithms.

3.2.2 Week two

During the second week, we started working with especially composite objects; objects that stretched across multiple collision layers (had a w-width larger than 1). These objects proved to become a problem, given that they seemingly did not follow the laws of physics, detaching from each other seemingly at random.

Investigating, we concluded that this was a problem founded in our PickupObject script[citation needed], where we forced the displacement of carried objects instead of applying forces to it, hence bypassing the inherent physics inside Unity. This problem also meant that objects could displace through walls. Emil began solving these issues, while Alex started working with interactive elements, such as buttons.

Multiple problems were fixed during the week, with both issues related to PickupObject being solved. Button functionality was also added to the code. Regrettably a new problem appeared, where carried objects started floating around the player erratically, increasing in speed as it went. This problem was solved later in the process.

In the end, composite objects achieved a working state. While they did carry some complexity during implementation, their behaviour was reasonable.

3.2.3 Week three

With most of our core functionality implemented, we made an attempt to implement a simple AI and in-game monitors.

The AI was thought to be used as an opponent, but was never fully implemented to fulfil this position. Likewise, the in-game monitors were thought to relay information that was not obviously available to the player.

Due to misunderstandings, we thought the week to be imminently before feature freeze and focused our efforts implementing whatever features we found was still lacking. This reasoning was of course flawed, since we had no need for neither an AI or in-game monitors, and these never appeared in the final product.

The implementation of these minor, irrelevant features took time away from refactoring of our currently implemented code, which could have spared us many troubles later in the development.

3.2.4 Week four

Having gathered all of the features we deemed necessary, we started this week by distributing work between us. Alex became responsible for refactoring all of the currently available code, additionally creating the first implementation of the doors and locks that would later be used. Emil switched focus, and started development of content and designing levels, and was responsible for implementing the first elevator used in the game.

This week was undoubtedly the worst in work flow, and arguably catastrophic for the end result.

Given that the code implementation up until this point had been very specific as to its use, most of the refactoring was concerned with making the code more generic and

easily accessible for the designers. Yet unintentionally, the refactoring created a multitude of new problems, breaking all of the previous functionality and thereby rendering most of the code useless. While it did fulfil its purpose regarding core functionality, many tangential features were utterly useless after the refactoring, e.g. the elevator and socketing system were broken, and buttons were volatile.

Upon realizing the situation, we decided to start fixing a multitude of problems, eventually resulting in working, albeit unstable, code. The fixes included correctly rendering trees and bushes, reimplementing pickupables and inventory items, fixing interaction between multiple 4D-objects, adding additional algorithms for animated doors and similar objects, and fixing the floating of carried objects.

3.2.5 Week five

While our time table suggested that we were to add 4D-lighting and sound effects, most of the fifth week went by with content creation and bug fixing.

Being behind mostly on content, we opted to attempt to create as much as possible within this week. Sadly, our code was less generic than expected, and the designers on our team had a hard time applying functionality to their scenes, which in turn made content generation very slow.

When week five concluded, we had a sketch of what we envisioned, but had not been able to apply most of our planned features. The sketch was a level consisting of three layers, where the objective was to carry a teddy bear through the level.

This level was made to be a beta-presentation of the game for 'Game Design', and hence was tied heavily by time.

3.2.6 Week six

During the beta-presentation, we were panned by our lack of game puzzles and features. For this reason, we decided to drastically change approach.

Instead of a "One-large-level" approach, we switched towards multiple scenes that were strung together loosely, more akin to what one would experience in Portal.[2]

This meant that we scrapped most of our previous work in an attempt to recreate a better representation of the game, resulting in what the final product looks like.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

5 Bibliography

References

- [1] unitylessons.com. 2014. *Unity3D Tutorial - Pickup and Carry Objects*.
[Online]. [Accessed 16 December 2015].
Available from: <https://youtu.be/runW-mf1UH0>
- [2] *Portal* (standard edition). 2007.
PC / Mac, Playstation® 3, Xbox 360 [Game].
Valve: Bellevue, Washington.