

In this tutorial, we will learn even more about **mutable values**, illustrated with **lists**.

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

(**Note:** we use @ddd (e.g., @123, @200, and @100) to represent heap addresses. Heap addresses are *random*. The numbers don't mean anything.)

```
x = [2]
x[0] = 33
print(x)
```

Run ▶

- A. @100 = #(2); @200 = #(33)
- B. @200 = #(2)
- C. @200 = #(33)
- D. @200 = 33

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
@200 = [33]
```

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

You got it right! 🎉🎉🎉

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

Exactly one list was created. So, there must be at most one list on the heap. This rules out **A**.

For now, the heap maps addresses only to lists. This rules out **D**.

The heap looks like **B** after evaluating [2]. However, the subsequent mutation changes the list. So, the correct answer is **C**.

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
m = [1, 2]
m[1] = [3, 4]
```

Run ▶

- A. @100 = #(@200 1); @200 = #(3 4)
- B. @100 = #(1 @200); @200 = #(3 4)
- C. @100 = #(1 #(3 4))
- D. @100 = #(1 #(3 4)); @200 = #(3 4)
- E. @100 = #(1 2)
- F. @100 = #(1 2); @200 = #(3 4)

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
@100 = [1, [3, 4]]
```

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

The answer is `@100 = #(1 @200); @200 = #(3 4)`.

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

Two lists are created. So, there must be at least two things on the heap. This rules out **C** and **E**.

**D** is wrong because lists refer values (e.g., 1, 2, and @200). `[3, 4]` is not itself a value; it's the *printing* of the value that resides at @200. **F** can be the heap after the second list is created. However, the subsequent mutation changes @100. So, **F** is wrong.

The mutation replaces the 1-th (i.e., second) element rather than the 0-th element, so **B** is correct, while **A** is wrong.

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
x = [3]
v = [1, 2, x]
x[0] = 4
print(v)
```

Run ▶

- A.** `@100 = #(3); @200 = #(1 2 @100)`
- B.** `@100 = #(3); @200 = #(1 2 @300); @300 = #(4)`
- C.** `@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 @100)`
- D.** `@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 #(3))`
- E.** `@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 #(4))`
- F.** `@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 3)`
- G.** `@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 4)`

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
@100 = #(4); @200 = #(1 2 @100)
```

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

You got it right! 🎉🎉🎉

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

**D** and **E** are wrong because lists refer values. `[3]` and `[4]` are not values, although they can be the printed representation of a list.

**F** and **G** are wrong because the 2-th element of the 3-element list must be a list. The 3-element list is created by `[1, 2, x]`. The value of `x` is a list at that moment. This 3-element list is never mutated.


**B** is wrong because only two lists are created. There must be at most two lists on the heap.

**A** can be the heap after the two lists are created. However, the subsequent mutation changes the shorter list. So, **C** is the correct answer.

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
mv = [4, 5]
print(mv[0])
```

Run 

- A. @100 = #(@200 @300); @200 = 4; @300 = 5
- B. @100 = #(4 5)
- C. @100 = #(4 5); @200 = 4

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
@100 = [4, 5]
```

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

You got it right! 🎉🎉🎉

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

For now, the heap maps addresses only to lists. This rules out **A** and **C**.

So, **B** is correct.

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
v = [1, 2, 3]
vv = [v, v]
vv[1][0] = 6
print(vv[0])
```

Run 

- A. @100 = #(1 2 3); @200 = #(@100 @100)
- B. @100 = #(1 2 3); @200 = #(@100 @300); @300 = #(6 2 3)
- C. @100 = #(1 2 3); @200 = #(#(1 2 3) #(6 2 3))
- D. @100 = #(1 2 3); @200 = #(1 2 3); @300 = #(6 2 3); @400 = #(@200
- E. @100 = #(1 2 3); @200 = #(6 @100)
- F. @100 = #(6 2 3); @200 = #(@100 @100)
- G. @100 = #(6 2 3); @200 = #(#(1 2 3) #(6 2 3))

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

```
@100 = #(6 2 3); @200 = #(@100 @100)
```

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

You got it right! 🎉🎉🎉

Syntax: Lispy JS PY Scala 3

Lists refer values (e.g., `1` and `@200`). This rules out **C** and **G**.

Two lists are created. So, there must be two lists on the heap. This rules out **B** and **D**.

`vv` is bound to a 2-element list, and the 1-th element of the 2-element list must be the 3-element list. The mutation replaces the 0-th element in the 3-element list with `6`. So, **F** is the correct answer, while **A** does not reflect the effect of the mutation, and **E** mutates the wrong list.

Which choice best describes the heap at the end of the following program?

Syntax: [Lispy](#) [JS](#) [PY](#) [Scala](#) [3](#)

```
x = [1, 0, 2]
x[1] = x
print(len(x))
```

Run 

- A.** `@100 = #(1 @100 2)`
- B.** `@100 = #(1 @200 2); @200 = #(1 0 2)`
- C.** `@100 = #(1 #(1 0 2) 2)`
- D.** `@100 = #(1 0 2)`

Syntax: [Lispy](#) [JS](#) [PY](#) [Scala](#) [3](#)

```
@100 = #(1 @100 2)
```

Syntax: [Lispy](#) [JS](#) [PY](#) [Scala](#) [3](#)

You got it right! 🎉🎉🎉

Syntax: [Lispy](#) [JS](#) [PY](#) [Scala](#) [3](#)

**C** is wrong because lists refer values. `[1, 0, 2]` is not a value, although it can be some list values printed.

**B** is wrong because only one list is created. There must not be two lists on the heap.

**D** can be the heap after the list is created. But the subsequent mutation replaces the 1-th element of `@100` with `@100`. So, **A** is the correct answer.

You have finished this tutorial 🎉🎉🎉

Please `print` the finished tutorial to a PDF file so you can review the content in the future. **Your instructor (if any) might require you to submit the PDF.**

Start time: 1711098542560