



CAIRO UNIVERSITY - FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

COMPUTER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

ADVANCED DATABASE SYSTEMS

Project Phase Two

Mohamed Shawky Zaky

SEC:2, BN:15

Remonda Talaat Eskarous

SEC:1, BN:19

Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed

SEC:2, BN:10

Mohamed Ramzy Helmy

SEC:2, BN:13

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Query Statistics | 1 |
| 1.1 | Query 1 | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | Execution Plan Before Optimization | 1 |
| 1.1.2 | Execution Plan After Optimization | 1 |
| 1.1.3 | Parallel Query Processing | 1 |
| 1.2 | Query 2 | 1 |
| 1.2.1 | Execution Plan Before Optimization | 1 |
| 1.2.2 | Execution Plan After Optimization | 1 |
| 1.2.3 | Parallel Query Processing | 1 |
| 1.3 | Query 3 | 1 |
| 1.3.1 | Execution Plan Before Optimization | 1 |
| 1.3.2 | Execution Plan After Optimization | 1 |
| 1.3.3 | Parallel Query Processing | 1 |
| 1.4 | Query 4 | 1 |
| 1.4.1 | Execution Plan Before Optimization | 1 |
| 1.4.2 | Execution Plan After Optimization | 1 |
| 1.4.3 | Parallel Query Processing | 1 |
| 1.5 | Query 5 | 1 |
| 1.5.1 | Execution Plan Before Optimization | 1 |
| 1.5.2 | Execution Plan After Optimization | 1 |
| 1.5.3 | Parallel Query Processing | 1 |
| 2 | Optimization Details | 2 |
| 2.1 | New Database Statistics | 2 |
| 2.2 | Schema Optimization | 3 |
| 2.3 | Memory Optimization | 3 |
| 2.4 | Index Tuning | 3 |
| 2.5 | Query Optimization | 3 |
| 2.5.1 | Query 1 | 3 |
| 2.5.2 | Query 2 | 3 |
| 2.5.3 | Query 3 | 3 |
| 2.5.4 | Query 4 | 3 |
| 2.5.5 | Query 5 | 3 |
| 3 | Validation Details | 4 |
| 3.1 | Time and Space Analysis | 4 |
| 3.2 | Database Size Effect | 6 |
| 3.3 | Optimized SQL vs. NoSQL | 7 |
| 3.4 | Hardware Effect | 7 |
| 4 | Final Remarks | 8 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Database Size Effect Without OS (Disk) Cache. | 6 |
| 2 | Database Size Effect After OS (Disk) Cache. | 7 |

1 Query Statistics

1.1 Query 1

1.1.1 Execution Plan Before Optimization

1.1.2 Execution Plan After Optimization

1.1.3 Parallel Query Processing

1.2 Query 2

1.2.1 Execution Plan Before Optimization

1.2.2 Execution Plan After Optimization

1.2.3 Parallel Query Processing

1.3 Query 3

1.3.1 Execution Plan Before Optimization

1.3.2 Execution Plan After Optimization

1.3.3 Parallel Query Processing

1.4 Query 4

1.4.1 Execution Plan Before Optimization

1.4.2 Execution Plan After Optimization

1.4.3 Parallel Query Processing

1.5 Query 5

1.5.1 Execution Plan Before Optimization

1.5.2 Execution Plan After Optimization

1.5.3 Parallel Query Processing

2 Optimization Details

In this section, we show the optimization details done through this work. We discuss the statistics of the new database and the schema changes. Moreover, other optimization techniques related to query, indexes and memory are discussed, as well.

2.1 New Database Statistics

In this subsection, we show the new database statistics after optimization. The record count is extracted from the database filled with 100000 records per table. Other filling sizes are considered through the analysis like 10000 and 1000000.

| Table Name | Row Count | Main Key | Indexes | FK |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------|----|
| Disaster | 100000 | YES | 4 | 2 |
| Causes | 100000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Precautions | 100000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Incident | 100000 | YES | 4 | 3 |
| Descriptions | 100000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Casualty | 25000 | YES | 1 | 0 |
| Government_Representative | 25000 | YES | 1 | 0 |
| Govn_Rep_Credentials | 25000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Citizen | 25000 | YES | 1 | 0 |
| Citizen_Credentials | 25000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal | 25000 | YES | 1 | 0 |
| Report | 100000 | YES | 5 | 4 |
| Report_Content | 100000 | YES | 1 | 1 |
| Casualty_Incident | 100000 | YES (<i>Composite</i>) | 3 | 2 |

| Table Name | Identity Column | Max Row Size (Bytes) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Disaster | YES | 52 |
| Causes | YES | 65538 |
| Precautions | YES | 65538 |
| Incident | YES | 120 |
| Descriptions | YES | 65538 |
| Casualty | YES | 105 |
| Government_Representative | YES | 116 |
| Govn_Rep_Credentials | YES | 103 |
| Citizen | YES | 116 |
| Citizen_Credentials | YES | 103 |
| Criminal | YES | 106 |
| Report | YES | 23 |
| Report_Content | YES | 65538 |
| Casualty_Incident | NO | 6 |

2.2 Schema Optimization

2.3 Memory Optimization

2.4 Index Tuning

2.5 Query Optimization

2.5.1 Query 1

2.5.2 Query 2

2.5.3 Query 3

2.5.4 Query 4

2.5.5 Query 5

3 Validation Details

3.1 Time and Space Analysis

In this subsection, we evaluate both time and space improvements of each optimization on each query. We consider both before and after *disk cache*. Moreover, the space improvement is considering the **total size** of the transferred tables between memory and disk. Execution time is measured in *seconds*.

| Query 1 | Before Cache | | | After Cache | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | Time | Time % | Space % | Time | Time % | Space % |
| Initial Query | 16.78 | - | - | 1.77 | - | - |
| Index Opt. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Query Opt. | 10.87 | 35% | 25% | 0.39 | 78% | 25% |
| Schema Opt. | 8.8 | 19% | 99.8% | 0.33 | 18% | 99.8% |
| Memory Opt. | 7.8 | 11% | - | 0.3 | 9% | - |

| Query 2 | Before Cache | | | After Cache | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | Time | Time % | Space % | Time | Time % | Space % |
| Initial Query | 1535 | - | - | 1463 | - | - |
| Index Opt. | 9.49 | 99% | - | 0.78 | 99.9% | - |
| Query Opt. | 7.75 | 18% | - | 0.68 | 13% | - |
| Schema Opt. | 6.8 | 12% | 99.8% | 0.65 | 4% | 99.8% |
| Memory Opt. | 5.77 | 15% | - | 0.65 | 0% | - |

| Query 3 | Before Cache | | | After Cache | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | Time | Time % | Space % | Time | Time % | Space % |
| Initial Query | 0.36 | - | - | 0.07 | - | - |
| Index Opt. | 0.23 | 36% | - | 0.01 | 85.7% | - |
| Query Opt. | 0.19 | 17% | - | 0.01 | 0% | - |
| Schema Opt. | 0.14 | 26% | 99.8% | 0 | 100% | 99.8% |
| Memory Opt. | 0.12 | 14% | - | 0 | 0% | - |

| Query 4 | Before Cache | | | After Cache | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | Time | Time % | Space % | Time | Time % | Space % |
| Initial Query | 10.41 | - | - | 0.27 | - | - |
| Index Opt. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Query Opt. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Schema Opt. | 6.37 | 39% | 99.8% | 0.15 | 44% | 99.8% |
| Memory Opt. | 6.01 | 5.5% | - | 0.13 | 13% | - |

| Query 5 | Before Cache | | | After Cache | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|--------|---------|
| | Time | Time % | Space % | Time | Time % | Space % |
| Initial Query | 6.14 | - | - | 0.33 | - | - |
| Index Opt. | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Query Opt. | 4.39 | 28.5% | - | 0.26 | 21% | - |
| Schema Opt. | 2.94 | 33% | 99.85% | 0.21 | 19% | 99.85% |
| Memory Opt. | 2.34 | 20% | - | 0.2 | 4.7% | - |

3.2 Database Size Effect

The following plots show the effect of increasing database sizes on the execution time of our 5 queries. We consider both before and after *disk cache*.




Figure 1: Database Size Effect Without OS (Disk) Cache.




Figure 2: Database Size Effect After OS (Disk) Cache.

3.3 Optimized SQL vs. NoSQL

3.4 Hardware Effect

4 Final Remarks