Basics

Motivations Manage resources and coordination through process synchronisation and resource sharing, simplify programming through abstraction of hardware, enforce usage policies, security and protec- Callee restores saved SP tion, user program portability, efficiency through Step 5: Transfer of control back to Caller sophisticated implementations

OS Roles Abstraction, Resource Allocator, Control

Implementation Machine independent HLLs, machine dependent HLLs, machine dependent assembly code Heap memory

Monolithic - kernel as one big program

Layered system - generalisation of monolithic system. with components organised into hierarchy

Microkernel - kernel only provides basic and essential facilities, uses IPC

Client-server - microkernel, with client process requesting service from server process, server processes built on top of microkernel

Hypervisors

Type I	Type II
Runs directly on hardware	Runs on host OS
Can directly access hardware	Negotiates with host OS
Isolated	Not isolated

Motivation for VMs Running multiple OSes, debugging & monitoring

Process Abstraction: Memory context

Text (for instructions), Data (for global vars, and static vars), **Heap** (for dynamic allocs), **SP** (at top of stack), Stack (for function invocations)

Stack frame Return address of caller, arguments (pa- Event occurs (Blocked \rightarrow Ready) Process can continue rameters) of function, storage for local variables, other after event requested occurs information

Return address (allows for nested calls), other information could be saved registers

Stack pointer points to top of stack

Frame pointer points to a fixed location in a stack Process Interaction with OS frame (platform-dependent)

Calling convention

Step 1: Preparation to make function call

Caller passes parameters with registers and/or stack Caller saves return PC on stack

Step 2: Transfer of control to <u>Callee</u>

Callee saves register used by the Callee, and saves old FP and SP

Callee allocates space for local variables of caller Callee adjusts SP to point to new stack top

Step 3: Execution of call

Step 4: Returning from call

Callee restores saved registers

Callee places return result on stack (if applicable)

Caller utilises return result (if applicable) Caller continues execution in caller

Register spilling Limited amount of GPRs → save registers in stack memory

Memory blocks only allocated at runtime, cannot be placed in Data. Memory blocks no definite deallocation timing, cannot be placed in Stack.

Challenges variable size of memory, variable of allocation/deallocation is variable

Process Abstraction: OS Context

Distinguish between each other PIDs

5-Stages

New Process just created

Ready Process is waiting to run

Running Process is being executed on CPU

Blocked Process is waiting for event, and cannot execute until available

Terminated Process finished execution, may require cleanup

Transitions

Create (NIL \rightarrow New) Create process

Admit (New → Ready) Process ready to schedule Switch: Scheduled (Ready → Running) Process is se-

lected to run Switch: Scheduled (Running → Ready) Process gives up CPU voluntarily/preempted by scheduler

Event wait (Running → Blocked) Process request event/resource/service not available/in progress

Process Control Block and Table

Challenges Scalability (amount of concurrent processes), Efficiency (minimum space wastage)

Unix system calls Function wrapper, or function adapter

System call mechanism

- 1. User program invokes library call \rightarrow
- 2. Library call places system call number in a designated location like register →
- 3. Library call executes special instruction to switch from user to kernel mode (TRAP) →
- 4. Determine appropriate system call handler →
- 5. Execute system call handler to carry out request \rightarrow

6. System call handler ended, control return to library Solutions Decrease priority gradually, temporary incall and switch back to user mode →

7. Library call returns to user program

Exception Synchronous, exception handler Interrupt Asynchronous, interrupt handler

Unix

fork() returns 0 for child, PID for parent Child differs in process ID, parent.

exec*() replaces current executing process image with new one, only replaces code (PID and other remains)

init() Root processes - PID = 1

exit() Status returned - 0 for normal termination

Zombie process

parent process terminates before child → child adopted by init and becomes **orphan** process child process terminates before parent and parent does not call wait() \rightarrow child becomes **zombie** process zombie processes still occupy resources

Process Scheduling

Criterias Fairness (fair share of CPU time per process/user, no starvation), balance (all parts utilised)

Preemptiveness Process is given fixed time quota to

Scheduling for Batch Processing

Criteria Turnaround time finish-start, Throughput (number of tasks/unit time). CPU utilisation

FCFS No starvation as number of tasks in front of task is always decreasing

Disadvantages Not optimal waiiting, convoy effect

SJF Shortest Job First - needs prediction of CPU time (exponential average)

Advantages Reduces avg. waiting time

Disadvantages Short processes can block long proces-

SRF Shortest Remaining Time - preemptive selects job with shortest remaining (expected) time.

Scheduling for Interactive Systems

Criterias Response time, predictability

Timer interrupt Interrupt goes off periodically Time quantum Execution duration given to process

Round-Robin Preemptive FCFS - (n-1)q response time. Bigger quantum = better CPU utilisation, longer waiting time (No starvation)

Priority scheduling Preemptive - higher priority process can preempt and non preemptive - late coming through region has to wait for next round of scheduling Can starve if high priority process keeps hogging

Priority inversion: Medium priority process block In POSIX: Create/locate region, attach to space, read low priority process

crease in priority

MLFQ Adaptive, minimising both response and turnaround time

 $p(A) > p(B) \implies A runs, p(A) = p(B) \implies RR$ New job given highest priority, reduce priority if TQ fully utilised time slice, retain priority if not

Disadvantages Can be exploited: Process designed to give up right before TQ, bad response time if jobs homogeneous

Lottery Scheduling Randomised - gives out lottery ticket

Responsive - allows for immediate participation in next lottery, gives good level of control, no starvation*

Threads

Unique information thread ID, registers, stack

Benefit Multiple threads require much less resources (economy), resource sharing, appear responsive, scalable w.r.t CPUs

Problems Parallel system calls possible

Thread Models

User thread User library - kernel unaware Pros OS-independent, operations are library calls, more configurable and flexible

Cons OS not aware of threads (scheduling at process level), single thread blockage = process blocked = all blocked, prevents optimal utilisation of multiple CPUs

Kernel thread OS - kernel aware

Pros Scheduling on thread levels, allows more than 1 thread to run simultaneously

Cons Thread operations as syscall makes it slower and more resource intensive, less flexible

Hybrid OS scheduling on kernel, user thread binding to kernel threads

POSIX threads (pthread)

pthread_create (pthread_t*, ptrhead_attr_t*, void* (void *), void*) - threadId of created thread, threadAttributes, startRoutine, args for start routine

pthread_exit (void *) - pointer to exit value to return to whoever syncs (If not used, terminates when end of startRoutine reached)

pthread_join (pthread_t, void**) - threadID to wait for, exit value returned by pthread

IPC p_create and p_join returns 0 if success, !0 if error

Shared memory Share memory and communicate

Pros Efficient, easy to use

Cons Synchronisation, hard to implement

high priority process requiring resources blocked by from/write to space, detach, destroy (from one process)

Message passing Process sends message, and other

process receives

Allows for naming and synchronisation

Pros Portable, easier synchronisation

Cons Inefficient, harder to use

Naming schemes: direct (name other party), indirect
Semaphore protected integers/list to keep track of (from common message storage)

Synchronisation

sync (blocking) - simplify programming, ensures sync but can be inefficient, and may block indefinitely async (non-blocking) - responsive, efficient, no dead- Synchronisation Problems lock/unresponsiveness, but complex if operations cannot complete immediately, and can lead to busy waiting/spinning

Unix Pipes

Input written into end of pipe, output read from other end. Implicit synchronisation (writers wait when buf- must write alone. fer full, readers wait when buffer empty)

Variants Multiple readers/writers, half-duplex (unidirectional, one W, one R), duplex (bidirectional, both RW)

pipe(int pipeFd[2]) Takes in array of file descriptors, [readEnd, writeEnd] (0 success, !0 error)

close(pipeFd[i])

write(pipeFd[write_end], str, len(str) + 1

read(pipeFd[read_end], buf, sizeof(buf)

 $dup(oldfd), dup2(oldfd, newfd) \ creates \ copies \ of \ gi- \ Implementations \ in \ UNIX$ ven file descriptor.

dup2() can be used for input/output redirection

Signal Kill, Stop, Continue, Memory Error, Arithmetic pthread Has additional broadcast method Error

Synchronisation

Race conditions Situation where order of events is important, but system doesn't enforce order

Possible issues Deadlock, livelock, starvation

Critical section Only one process can execute, prevent other processes from entering while executing

Properties of correct CS

Mutual exclusion (no two process simultaneously in critical section)

Progress (no process in CS, one waiting process should be granted access)

Bounded wait (no process should wait forever to enter CS)

Independence (process running outside critical section cannot block)

Implementations of CS

Assembly Level Implementations TestAndSet Peterson's Algorithm Keep track of Turn and Want an array to represent which process wants the process. Can cause busy waiting, not general (only addresses mutex)

Want[n] Array Independent, but deadlock

Violate independent, Turn but mutex Disable interrupts Buggy CS can stall, permissions needed

waiting processes

 $S_{init} \ge 0 \implies S_{cur} = S_{init} + \#signal(S) - \#wait(S)$

Conditional variables related to monitoring

Producer-Consumer Producers share bounded buffer, and only produces items when buffer not full, and consumers remove items when not empty.

Readers-Writers Processes share data structure where readers retrieve and writer modifies. Writers

No reader should be kept waiting until writer has obtained permission

Once writer is ready, performs write as soon as possible.

Dining Philosophers 5 philosophers with 5 chopsticks. Philosopher thinks → tries to pick up two chopsticks closest \rightarrow eats without releasing \rightarrow continue Remedies Limited Eater (allow at most 4 to sit simultaneously), Mutex (only pickup if both available), Assymmetric (odd pick up left than right, even vice-versa)

POSIX Semaphore Initialise semaphore, perform wait() or signal()

Response time = time of first execution - time of arrival

Turnaround time = time of completion - time of arrival = total waiting time + total burst time

Waiting time = turnaround time - burst time (For RR) = time of last execution - arrival time - (# of pre-emptions x time quantum)