General

- double *varName varName is a double pointer
- Incrementing a pointer increases by the size of variable type
- Every new must have a delete to free dynamically allocated mem
- Pass by value func. param and original variable are separated, changes within function not reflected in caller
- Pass by reference (&varName) func. parameter is an alias for original variable, changing func. param will modify original variable, &varName can't be NULL, can't be reassigned after initialization
- Pass by pointer (*varName) takes in mem addr (&varName) of original variable, and dereference (*param) to access item, changing func. param will modify original variable, *varName can be NULL
- Method Signature method name, number of parameters, type of each parameter, order of parameters
- Function overloading same method name, diff method signatures
- Access modifiers private, public, protected

00P

- Information Hiding private/protected fields, public methods
- Tell-Don't-Ask client should not perform computation

Inheritance

```
protected members can be accessed from inherited classes
class Vehicle { public: virtual void honk(); };
class Car: public Vehicle {
    public: virtual void honk(){...}; };
```

Polymorphism

- virtual keyword
- Dynamic binding
 - 1. Determine compile-time type of target
 - 2. Look for all available methods
 - 3. Choose the most specific method
 - 4. Determine run-time type of target

Friend

- Other classes can access its private & protected members and methods
- Not mutual List can access ListNode but not the other way
- Not inheritable children of List are not friends of ListNode
- Functions (global/local) can be friends as well

```
class ListNode {
   friend class List:
   friend int functionName(args);
   friend void className::functionName(args);
```

Abstract Data Types

Tells what operations can be performed and not reveal implementation

- Stack (LIFO) push() add to top, pop() remove from top
- Queue (FIFO) enqueue() add from back, dequeue() remove from | Shows the tightest bound time complexity of program front

Big O notation

Worst case - shows the upper-bound time complexity of program T(n) = O(f(n)) if:

- there exist a constant c > 0
- there exist a constant $n_0 > 0$, $n_0 = \text{value where } T(n) \leqslant cf(n) \text{ holds}$
- such that for all $n > n_0 : T(n) \le cf(n)$
- E.g. if $T(n) = 4n^2 + 16n + 2$, find a c and n_0 where $T(n) \le cf(n)$ holds
- $T(n) < 4n^2 + 24n^2 + 16n^2 = 44n^2 \Rightarrow c = 44, f(n) = n^2, n_0 = 1$
- So, $T(n) = O(n^2)$
- As n tends to infinity, the term with the highest degree will make up most of the value e.g. 99.999% of the result
- "Naive" way T(n) = O(highest degree)
- If T(n) = O(f(n)) & S(n) = O(g(n)), and $10n^2 = O(n^2)$, 5n = O(n): $-T(n) + S(n) = O(f(n) + g(n)) - 10n^2 + 5n = O(n^2 + n) = O(n^2)$ $-T(n) \times S(n) = O(f(n) \times g(n)) - 10n^2 \times 5n = O(n^2 \times n) = O(n^3)$
- If $T(n) = log_x n$, then $T(n) = O(log_y n)$ holds true for any x, y- E.g. $T(n) = log_2 n$, $log_2 n \le c \cdot log_8 n$, $c = log_2 8 = 3$ $-c \cdot log_8 n = 3 \cdot log_8 n = log_8 n^3$ $-T(n) = O(\log_8 n)$

Common f(n) in Big-O (ascending order of speed)

```
• Exponential - O(n!), O(2^n)
```

- Quadratic $O(n^2)$
- Log linear $O(n \cdot log n)$
- Linear O(n)
- Logarithm O(log n)
- Constant time O(1)

Algorithm Analysis

- Loops & Nested loops cost = (# iters) × (max cost of 1 iter)
- Sequential statements cost = (cost of 1st) + (cost of 2nd)
- If/else cost = max(cost of 1st, cost of 2nd) ≤ (cost of 1st) + (cost of 2nd)
- Recursive calls $T(n) = 1 + T(n-1) + T(n-2) = O(2^n)$

Divide-and-Conquer - Binary Search in Sorted array

- Find middle element (mid = (begin+end)/2)
- If mid element == target, return true
- If target > mid element, search on right side (set begin = 1+mid)
- Else, search on left side (set end = mid)

Time complexity - O(log(n)) as keep dividing by 2, array size = $2^{\# \text{times to cut}}$

Big Ω notation

Best case - shows the lower-bound time complexity of program $T(n) = \Omega(f(n))$ if:

- there exist a constant c > 0
- there exist a constant $n_0 > 0$, $n_0 = \text{value where } T(n) \ge cf(n) \text{ holds}$
- such that for all $n > n_0 : T(n) \ge cf(n)$
- E.g. if $T(n) = 4n^2 + 16n + 2$, find a c and n_0 where $T(n) \ge cf(n)$ holds
- $T(n) > 4n^2 \Rightarrow c = 4, f(n) = n^2, n_0 = 1$
- So. $T(n) = \Omega(n^2)$

Big Θ notation

 $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ if:

- T(n) is O(f(n))
- T(n) is $\Omega(f(n))$
- constants c and n_0 don't need to be the same for O(f(n)) and $\Omega(f(n))$

Sorting Algorithms

Properties of Sorting Algorithms

- Stability items with same keys stay in same relative positions after sorting - e.g. $10_1, 10_2, 30, 20 \rightarrow 10_1, 10_2, 20, 30$
- In-place sorting algos sorting occurs directly within the original memory location, only needing a constant amount of extra mem space

Bubble sort (comparison sort)

- General idea iterate till end from start, if right element > current, swap
- Invariant sorted from the end
- Big-O
 - O(n) finish after 1st loop
 - $O(n^2)$ total running time = $(n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- stable algo, don't require add, mem space $/O(n^2)$ time complexity (slow for large datasets)

```
for i in range(n):
   swapped = false
   for j in range (0, n-1-i):
        if A[j] > A[j+1]:
            swap(A[j], A[j+1]), swapped = true
   if swapped == false: break
```

Variations - Cocktail sort

- General idea bubble sort forward and backwards
- more efficient than bubble sort / same Big-O as bubble sort, need keep track of start & end indices

Selection sort (comparison sort)

- General idea at a given index, find the smallest element from the right of index and swap
- **Invariant** sorted from the start
- **Big-O** $O(n^2)$, $O(n^2)$ O(n) to check every index in list, O(n) to compare with every element after current index
- Memory 1
- less writes than others, good for small lists / not stable, $O(n^2)$ time

```
for i in range (n-1):
    min_index = i
    for j in range (i+1, n):
        if A[j] < A[min_index], min_index = j
   swap(A[i], A[min_index])
```

Insertion sort (comparison sort)

- General idea LHS is sorted, RHS is not sorted, iterate through list and insert elements from RHS into correct order in LHS
- Invariant sorted within LHS chunk, e.g. items in A[0] A[mid] are sorted
- Big-O
 - O(n) list is already sorted, only 1 iteration
- $-O(n^2)$ list is randomly ordered or in reverse order

- Memory 1
- stable, efficient for small & nearly sorted lists, space-efficient / inefficient for large lists

```
for i in range (1, n):
    key = A[i], i = i-1
   // reverse bubble sort
    while j >= 0 and key < A[j]:
        A[j+1] = A[j]
        j -= 1
   A[i+1] = key
```

Merge sort (comparison sort)

- General idea divide list recursively into small halves and sort from there
- Invariant items are sorted within 2^x indices
- Big-O $O(n \cdot loq n)$, $O(n \cdot loq n)$ recursive depth is loq n, each level need O(n) to merge
- Memory N, to store levels being sorted currently
- stable, worst-case of $O(n \cdot log n)$, good for parallel processing / need add. mem space to store intermediate sorted data, slower than quicksort
- Note
 - inside merge sort, insertion sort is used when n < 1000
 - stable ver. in merge(), take from left array if elements are identical

```
mergeSort(A, n):
    if (n=1): return
    else:
        left = mergeSort(A[0, n/2], n/2)
        right = mergeSort(A[(n/2)+1, n], n/2)
        return merge(left, right)
merge(left , right):
    result = [], i = j = 0
    while i < len(left) and j < len(right):
        if left[i] < right[j]:</pre>
            result.append(left[i]), i++
        else:
            result.append(right[j]), j++
    result.append(left[i:])
    result.append(right[i:])
    return result
```

Quick sort (comparison sort)

- General idea choose an element x (pivot), rearrange the array around xwhere LHS is $\leq x$ and RHS is > x, recursively repeat on LHS & RHS
- Invariant LHS of pivot is ≤ pivot, RHS of pivot is > pivot
- $O(n \cdot log \ n)$ pivot divides array into equal halves every time
- $-O(n^2)$ smallest/largest element is the pivot every time
- Memory
 - $O(\log n)$ equal halves $\Rightarrow \log n$ call stack
 - O(n) unbalanced partitioning $\Rightarrow n$ call stack
- efficient on large datasets, cache friendly as work on same array / not stable, $O(n^2)$ time, not suitable for small datasets

```
quickSort(A, n):
    if (n=1): return
    else:
```

```
p = partition(A, n)
        left = quickSort(A[0, p], p-1)
        right = quickSort(A[p+1,n], n-p)
partition (A, size):
    pivot = 0, packDuplicates(A, size, pivot)
    low = 1, high = size+1
    while low < high:
        while A[low] \le A[pivot] and low < high:
        while A[high] > A[pivot] and low < high:
            high —
        if low < high: swap(A[low], A[high])
    swap(A[pivot], A[low - 1])
    return low -1
packDuplicates(A, size, pivotIndex):
    pivot = A[pivotIndex], index = 1
    while index < pivotIndex:
        if A[index] == pivot:
            pivotIndex —
            swap(A[index], A[pivotIndex])
        else: index++
```

Math series

- Harmonic series $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{x} = n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{3} + \cdots = O(n \cdot log \ n)$ Geometric series $\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{r^x} = n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \frac{n}{8} + \cdots = O(n)$