
STAT406- Methods of Statistical Learning Lecture 9

Matias Salibian-Barrera

UBC - Sep / Dec 2018



We are interested in estimating

$$f(x) = E(Y|X=x)$$

• Given a sample $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$

$$\hat{f}(x) = average\{y_j : x_j = x\}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = average\{y_j : x_j \text{ is close to } x\}$$

More formally

$$\hat{f}(x) = \operatorname{average} \left\{ y_j : |x_j - x| \le h \right\}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n_x} \sum_{i:|x_i-x|\leq h} y_i$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i:|x_i-x|\leq h} y_i}{\sum_{i:|x_i-x|\leq h} 1}$$

More formally

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(x_i, x, h) y_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K(x_j, x, h)}$$


where

$$K(x_j, x, h) = W\left(\frac{x_j - x}{h}\right)$$

and

$$W(t) \ = \ \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{if} \ |t| \leq 1 \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Kernel smoothers - h = 0.07




- Discontinuities come from W(t)
- Use a smooth kernel

$$K(x_j, x, h) = W\left(\frac{x_j - x}{h}\right)$$

with

Kernel smoothers - h = 0.03





Other kernels...


$$K(x_j, x, h) = W\left(\frac{x_j - x}{h}\right)$$


with

$$W(t) = \phi(t) \propto \exp\left(-t^2/2\right)$$











Small bandwidth



Larger bandwidth



Note that the locally weighted average

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(x_i, x, h) y_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K(x_j, x, h)}$$

solves

$$\hat{f}(x) = \arg\min_{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(x_i, x, h) (y_i - \mu)^2$$

"Local constant" fit

A Taylor expansion suggests

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + R$$

for small $|x - x_0|$

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) =$$

 $\beta_0(x_0) + \beta_1(x_0)x$

a "local" line

• "Local linear regression"

At each point x we find

$$\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{X}) = \arg\min_{\beta_0, \beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(x_i, \mathbf{X}) \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i \right)^2$$

which is just weighted least squares

• There is a closed form expression for $\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{x})$

• We have that $\hat{\beta}(x)$ is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{x}} = \text{diag } (K_h(x_1, \mathbf{x}), \dots, K_h(x_n, \mathbf{x}))$$

• An alternative representation, $\hat{\beta}(x)$ solves

$$\arg\min_{\beta_{0},\beta_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h}(x_{i},x) (y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} (x_{i} - x))^{2}$$


then the fit at x is $\hat{f}(x) = \hat{\beta}_0(x)$

Note that


$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{e}_1' \left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \mathbf{W}_X \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \mathbf{W}_X \mathbf{Y}$$

 Local linear fits work better at the boundaries

Local mean - h = 0.07



Local mean & local linear - h = 0.07



22

- These fits are linear
- In other words:

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \ell(\mathbf{x})' \mathbf{Y}$$

hence, if Y_i are independent with $Var(Y_i) = \sigma^2$, $1 \le i \le n$, then:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x})) = \|\ell(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \sigma^2$$

• We can also consider quadratic fits

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(x_i, x, h) (y_i - \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{Z}_i)^2$$

where


$$\mathbf{Z}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_i - x \\ (x_i - x)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and again

$$\hat{f}(x) = \hat{\beta}(x)_1 = \mathbf{e}'_1 \hat{\beta}(x)$$
 (the intercept)

• Better fit for "valleys" and "peaks"

Local lin. & quad. - h = 0.07



• We can use higher degrees:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K(x_i, x, h) (y_i - \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{Z}_i)^2$$

where


$$\mathbf{Z}_i = egin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i - x & & & \ x_i - x & & & \ (x_i - x)^p \end{pmatrix} \ \hat{eta}(x) \ = \ (\mathbf{Z}' \, \mathbf{W} \, \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \, \, \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} \ \hat{f}(x) \ = \ \mathbf{e}_1' \, \hat{eta}(x) \ = \ \ell(x)' \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Nearest neighbours?
- Use a different h for each x₀


$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h(x_0)}(x_i, x_0) (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1(x_i - x_0))^2$$

- Make the window wider to avoid "empty" or "sparse" windows
- For example, we want 10% of our sample in every window

Varying window, $\alpha = 0.10$



Varying window, $\alpha = 0.10$



• More in general: let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and choose

$$h(\mathbf{x_0}) = d_{([n\,\alpha])}$$

where


$$d_j = |x_j - x_0|$$
 $j = 1, \ldots, n$

- Always have α 100 % of the points in each window
- This, again, is a linear smoother:


$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{e}_1' \hat{\beta}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \ell(\mathbf{x}_0)' \mathbf{Y}$$

- This is what loess does in R
- Pay attention to the argument family
- What kernel does it use?
- Can you reproduce what predict does?


Degree=2, span = 0.2



Degree=2, span = 0.5



Degree=2, span = 1



Degree=2, span = 2?

