1 Correctly Predicted Examples

${f input}$	call the method CLASS_0 . FUNC_0 with an argument boolean True .	
$\mathbf{pred.}$	CLASS_0.FUNC_0(True)	
input	ANY_1 is an string STR_0 formatted with CLASS_0 . ANY_0 and result of	
	the method CLASS_0 . FUNC_0 , respectively .	
pref.	ANY_1 = 'STR_0' % (CLASS_0.ANY_0, CLASS_0.FUNC_0())	
input	remove last element for ANY_0 .	
pred .	$ANY_0 = ANY_0[:-1]$	
\mathbf{remark}	The fact that the model learned to map the token "last" in the query to "-1" in code,	
	tells us that the model has learnt to translate some semantics in the input query to	
	code.	

2 Incorrectly Predicted Examples

${f input}$	ANY_O is an tuple with 3 elements : None , result of method CLASS_O .		
	FUNC_0 and None .		
ref.	ANY_O = None, CLASS_O.FUNC_O(), None		
$\mathbf{pred.}$	ANY_O = None, (None,), CLASS_O.None		
remark	rk A typical form, XXX.YYY appears in code, whenever the user wants to		
	express that YYY is an attribute of entity. Here, we hypothesize that		
the model assigned ``None'' as CLASS_0's attribute due to the			
	the end of the sentence.		
input	call the function CLASS_0 . FUNC_0 -LSB- CLASS_0 . FUNC_0 -RSB- with		
	3 arguments : raw string STR_0 , ANY_2 and ANY_0 without the first and		
	last element		
ref.	ANY_1 = CLASS_0.FUNC_0('STR_0', ANY_2, ANY_0[1:-1])		
$\mathbf{pred.}$	ANY_0 = CLASS_0.FUNC_0('STR_0', ANY_0 + 1)		
remark	nark We observe that the model typically performs well on short phrases,		
	but on long phrases it fails to capture all the nuances expressed in		
	different parts of the sentences. In the following example, the model		
	completely fails to realise ANY_O as a list		

3 Interseting finds

input	ANY_0 is an empty dictionary .
ref.	ANY_0 = dict()
pred.	$ANY_0 = \{\}$
remark	Here, the model has correctly predicted an empty dictiona
	evaluation has missed this. input
ANY_0 is an list with an element ANY_1 .	
ref.	$ANY_0 = [ANY_1]$
pred.	$ANY_0 = [ANY_1]$
remark	Here, the model has correctly predicted an empty dictionate evaluation has missed this.