Quantum information

Buisine Léo Ecole Normale Superieure of Paris

September 30, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Open Quantum System2.1 Quantum map (channel)2.2 Generalized POVN measurement2.3 Conclusion	10
3	Quantum Master equation	13
4	Entanglement	17

4 CONTENTS

Introduction

 $Email\ adress:\ jerome.esteve@cnrs.fr$

 $Romain\ Long:\ long@ekb.ens.fr$

Open Quantum System

We know how to build quantum transistors, quantum this, quantum that, we know how to do a lot of things. But right now, we are in the middle of the second quantum revolution \Leftrightarrow We want to use Entanglement as a ressource medskip

The question is: why is it so difficult? (spoiler alert: decoherence)

Consider a system which can only be in state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$. We expect the actuall state to be oscillating between $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, as a Rabi oscillation, oscillation which is a unitary evolution found by the Schrodinger equation. The Schrodinger equation tells us that the evolution is perfect, and that the oscillation never loses anything. However, in practice, we know that the oscillation decays and that the states become mixed and indistinguishable at some point. We want to know what is this decay.

- 1. The state is not pure, we need to describe it by a density matrix ρ
- 2. What is the physical origin of the decay? The decay comes from the fact that the qubit is always somewhat a little bit coupled to the environment (there is not perfect isolated environment). However, we do not know the environment.
- 3. We want to find closed equations to describe the qubit including the effect of the environment, although we don't know what the environment is like.
- 4. Main idea: $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_S \otimes \mathscr{H}_E$ where \mathscr{H}_S is the Hilbert space of the system, and \mathscr{H}_E is the Hilbert space of the environment. We want to predict environments which are $M \otimes 1$

Global state : $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,\mu} a_{i\mu} |i\rangle_S |\mu\rangle_E$

$$\langle \psi | M \otimes 1 | \rangle = \sum_{i,\mu,j,\nu} a_{i\mu} a_{j\nu}^* \langle j | \langle \nu | M \otimes 1 | i \rangle \mu \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,\mu} a_{i\mu} a_{j\mu}^* \langle j | M | i \rangle$$
(2.1)

We define

$$\rho = \operatorname{Tr}_{E}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{E}(\sum_{i,j,\mu} a_{i\mu} a_{j\mu}^{*} |i\rangle\langle j|)$$
(2.2)

We see that

$$\langle M \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho M)$$
 (2.3)

 ρ contains all the information about S.

Properties of density matrix

- 1. $Tr(\rho) = 1$
- 2. ρ is Hermitian
- 3. $\rho \geq 0$
- 4. It is a semidefinite operator
- 5. $\forall |\psi\rangle, \langle \psi|\rho|\psi\rangle \geq 0$
- 6. Eigenvalues of $\rho \geq$
- 7. ρ can be written

$$\rho = \sum \rho_{\mu} |\varphi_{\mu}\rangle \langle \rho_{\mu}| \tag{2.4}$$

where ρ_{μ} is the probability to find S

We can "purify" ρ by $|\psi\rangle$ as

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \tag{2.5}$$

One way to find such $|\psi\rangle$ is by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\mu} \sqrt{\rho_{\mu}} |\varphi_{\mu}\rangle |\mu\rangle \tag{2.6}$$

although this purification is not unique. ρ is pure iff it can be purified, iff

- 1. $\rho^2 = \rho$
- 2. $Tr(rho^2) = 1$
- 3. ρ has only one non-zero eigenvalue

2.1 Quantum map (channel)

Most general evolution of a density matrix

$$\rho \to^{\Lambda} \Lambda[\rho] \tag{2.7}$$

Expecting properties of Λ :

1. Trace preserving (TP)

9

- 2. Conserve positivity (P)
- 3. Linear application

PTP maps may not lead to valid evolution. The map Λ must CPTP where CP stands for completely positive. It means that the extension $1 \otimes \Lambda$ should also be positive for any extension.

"The density matrix of the universe should remain positive"

Model the map through the coupling to an environment and show that the resulting evolution is CPTP

$$\rho \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|_E \to_{\text{unitary evolution}} U(\rho \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|_E) U^{\dagger}$$
 (2.8)

Decompose U as

$$U = \sum_{\mu\nu} U_{\mu\nu} \otimes |\mu\rangle\langle\nu|_E \tag{2.9}$$

We obtain $\Lambda(\rho)$ by tracing over E

$$\Lambda(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr}_{E}(U(\rho \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{E})U^{\dagger})$$

$$= \sum_{\mu} U_{\mu 0} \rho U_{\mu 0}^{\dagger} \tag{2.10}$$

We obtain a "Kraus decomposition"

$$\Lambda(\rho) = \sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} \rho K_{\mu}^{\dagger} \tag{2.11}$$

The K_{μ} s are called Kraus operators. They verify a normalisation property

$$\sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} K_{\mu}^{\dagger} = 1 \tag{2.12}$$

Kraus form of a Q map

- 1. $\rho \to \sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} \rho K_{\mu}^{\dagger}$
- 2. $K_m u$ can be any operator and are in general not unitary
- 3. They verify $\sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} K_{\mu}^{\dagger} = 1$

If one K_{μ} is unitary, then it is the unique operator in the Kraus decomposition \Leftrightarrow the map is a unitary evolution.

A map in the Kraus form is CP. Conversely, any CPTP map can be written as a Kraus map.

Example. A qubit coupled to an environment which is a second qubit. Model the evolution going from a state

$$\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle
\rho_i = |\alpha|^2|0\rangle\langle 0| + \beta^2|1\rangle\langle 1| + \alpha\beta^*|0\rangle\langle 1| + \alpha^*\beta|1\rangle\langle 0|$$
(2.13)

to a state

$$\rho_f = |\alpha|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| + \beta^2 |1\rangle\langle 1| \tag{2.14}$$

Guess a possible final state of qubit + environment such that there is decoherence

$$\alpha|0\rangle \otimes |\tilde{0}\rangle + \beta|1\rangle \otimes |\tilde{1}\rangle \tag{2.15}$$

From this, we can imagine for exemple

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.16}$$

with basis $(|0\tilde{0}\rangle, |1\tilde{0}\rangle, |0\tilde{1}\rangle, |1\tilde{1}\rangle,)$

Kraus operators are

$$K_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$K_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = |1\rangle\langle 1|$$
(2.17)

This completely kills the coherence. However, this is quite violent. We can try to have Kraus operators to obtain non-zero final coherence

$$K_{0} = 1 \otimes \sqrt{1 - p}$$

$$K_{0}\rho_{i}K_{0}^{\dagger} \rightarrow (1 - p)\rho_{i}$$

$$K_{1} = \sqrt{p}|0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$K_{2} = \sqrt{p}|1\rangle\langle 1|$$

$$(2.18)$$

This way, we can more or less slowly kill the coherence by varying p.

This modelizes very well errors in qubit, it is the "standard error" channel for a qubit

$$K_0 = \sqrt{1 - p} 1$$

$$K_1 = \sqrt{p\sigma_i}$$
(2.19)

where σ_i is X, Y, Z (Pauli matrices).

- 1. if $\sigma_i = X$: bit flip error
- 2. if $\sigma_i = Z$: phase flip error
- 3. if $\sigma_i = Y$: combined bit and phase flip error

Any quantum map (error channel) for a qubit can be decomposed as a series of the "standard error channels". W

2.2 Generalized POVN measurement

After the evolution of the system and the environment, we obtained

$$\rho \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|_E \to \sum_{\mu\mu'} U_{\mu 0} \rho U_{\mu 0}^{\dagger} \otimes |\mu\rangle \langle \mu'|_E$$
 (2.20)

2.3. CONCLUSION 11

Instead of tracing out E, we do a projective measurement of the environment. We find the outcome $|\mu\rangle$ with probability

$$P_{\mu} = \text{Tr}(U_{\mu 0} \rho U_{\mu 0}^{\dagger})$$

= \text{Tr}(U_{\mu 0} U_{\mu 0}^{\dagger} \rho) (2.21)

We thus define $E_{\mu}=U_{\mu0}U_{\mu0}^{\dagger}$. The set of operators E_{μ} such that $E_{\mu}\geq0$, $\sum_{\mu}E_{\mu}=1$ define a generalized measurement. With outcome μ with probability P_{μ} such that $\rho_{\mu}=\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\mu}\rho)$

- 1. Special case: Von Neumann projective measurement E_{μ} are orthogonal projectors
- 2. But they can be something else, in particular they can be non-orthogonal projectors
- 3. As befor, any generalized measurement can be constructed as a Von Neumann measurement in a bigger space

2.3 Conclusion

Closed system:

- 1. State = $|\psi\rangle$
- 2. Evolution is unitary $|\psi_f\rangle = U|\psi_i\rangle$
- 3. projective measurement to obtain the probability to be in state $|1\rangle$

Open system:

- 1. Density matrix ρ
- 2. Evolution is given by CPTP linear application $\rho_f = \Lambda \rho_i$
- 3. Useful decomposition: $\rho_f = \sum_{\mu} K_{\mu} \rho_i K_{\mu}^{\dagger}$
- 4. Generalised measurement with probability operatr E_{μ} .

properties of open systems are obtained by considering a bigger space

In general, Λ has no inverse. So we cannot revert the evolution of the state, unless Λ is unitary. Tracing over E leads to irreversibility. Decoherence happens when the environment is entangled to the system.

Quantum Master equation

In open quantum systems. Given an evolution operator

$$\rho(t=0) \to_t^{\Lambda} \rho(t>0) \tag{3.1}$$

we want to find the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dots? \tag{3.2}$$

Infinitesimal evolution from t to $t + \delta t$

$$\rho(t + \delta t) = \Lambda[\rho(t)] \tag{3.3}$$

From last week

$$\Lambda[\cdot] = \sum_{i} K_{i} \cdot K_{i}^{\dagger}$$

$$K_{0} = 1 - L_{0} \qquad ||L_{0}|| = O(\delta t)$$

$$K_{i>0} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_{i}} A_{i} \qquad \varepsilon_{i} = O(\sqrt{\delta t})$$
(3.4)

Normalisation:

$$\sum_{i} K_{i}^{\dagger} K_{i} = 1$$

$$(1 - L_{0}^{\dagger})(1 - L_{0}) + \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i} = 1$$

$$L_{0}^{\dagger} + L_{0} = \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_{i} A_{i}^{\dagger} A_{i}$$

$$(3.5)$$

We decompose

$$L_0 = A + iH (3.6)$$

with A and H hermitian, such that the normalisation condition gives

$$2A = \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_i A_i^{\dagger} A_i \tag{3.7}$$

We arrive at

$$\rho(t+\delta t) = (1-A-iH)\rho(1-A+iH) + \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_i A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger}$$

$$= \rho(t) - i[H,\rho] - A\rho - \rho A + \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_i A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger}$$

$$= \rho(t) - i[H,\rho] + \sum_{i>0} \varepsilon_i \left[A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} A_i^{\dagger} A_i \rho - \frac{1}{2} \rho A_i^{\dagger} A_i \right]$$
(3.8)

We set

$$\varepsilon_i = \gamma_i \delta t
H \to \tilde{H} \delta t$$
(3.9)

which gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} = -i[\tilde{H}, \rho] + \sum_{i>0} \gamma_i \left[A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{ A_i^{\dagger} A_i, \rho \} \right]$$
(3.10)

This form of master equation is called "Lindblad" form. The operator ${\mathscr L}$ is called the Lindbladian

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}[\rho] \tag{3.11}$$

Important result: Only \mathscr{L} in the Lindbladian form gives a CPTP after integration. Thus, any CPTP evolution which is markovian can be obtained from a master equation in the Lindblad form.

Example. Back to the Rabi oscillation.

Jump operator: $|0\rangle\langle 1| = \sigma_{-}$

Rate: γ_1

Non-unitary evolution

$$\dot{\rho} = \text{coherent Rabi} + \gamma_1 \left[\sigma_- \rho \sigma_+ - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_+ \sigma_- \rho - \frac{1}{2} \rho \sigma_+ \sigma_- \right]$$

$$= \dots + \gamma_1 \left[|0\rangle\langle 1|\rho|1\rangle\langle 0| - \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1|\rho - \frac{1}{2} \rho|1\rangle\langle 1| \right]$$
(3.12)

Thus

- $\dot{\rho}_{11} = -\gamma_1 \rho_{11}$
- $\dot{\rho}_{00} = \gamma_1 \rho_{11}$
- $\dot{\rho}_{01} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\rho_{01}$

which are Bloch equations

 $\label{eq:example.equation} \textit{Example}. \ \ \text{Harmonic oscillator, optical cavity, microwave resonator, bosonic mode,}$

 $H = \bar{h}wa^{\dagger}a \tag{3.13}$

Jump: \sqrt{Ka}

Suppose that at any time t the state is in a coherent state

$$\rho(t) = |\alpha(t)\rangle\langle\alpha(t)| \tag{3.14}$$

$$|\alpha(t)\rangle = D(\alpha(t))|0\rangle = e^{\alpha(a^{\dagger} - a)}|0\rangle$$
 (3.15)

This state is solution of the QME

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\alpha(t)\rangle = \dot{\alpha}(a^{\dagger} - a)|\alpha\rangle \tag{3.16}$$

such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{\alpha} \left[(a^{\dagger} - a)|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| - |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|(a^{\dagger} - a) \right]$$
 (3.17)

Jump term:

$$a\rho a^{\dagger} = \alpha^{2} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho a^{\dagger} a = \frac{\alpha}{2} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|a$$
(3.18)

We arrive at

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{\alpha}[-2\alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + a^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|a]$$

$$= \frac{K}{2}\alpha\left[-2\alpha|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + a^{\dagger}|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|a\right]$$
(3.19)

So if $\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{K}{2}\alpha$ then $\rho(t)$ is solution.

- Energy decays as e^{-Kt}
- The state remains pure even with dissipation

Entanglement

The main idea behind quantum cryptography, quantum computing, quantum metrology.

Entanglement leads to correlations beyond "classical". expectations: local realism. But there is a kind of non-locality in our world.

One way to define an entangled state is to say that a state is entangled if it can be used to violate local realism (Bell inequalities). An other way to define it is to say that a state is an entangled state if it is not the tensor product of sub states. The two definitions are not equivalent

Definition 4.0.1. Bell states :

$$|\Phi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$$

$$|\Phi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$$

$$|\Psi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$$

$$|\Psi_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$$
(4.1)

For any $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, $|<\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i>|=1$ whilst for any $i,j \in \{1,2,3\}, i \neq j$, we have $|<\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j>|=0$.