

Pr. 1. (sol/hs010)

If λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , then there exists a vector \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$.

Thus $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A} - 10\mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - 10\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} - 10\mathbf{x} = (\lambda - 10)\mathbf{x}$.

Thus $\lambda - 10$ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} with the same eigenvector \mathbf{x} .

Conversely, if z is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} with eigenvector \mathbf{y} , then using $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} + 10\mathbf{I}$ the same logic shows that \mathbf{y} is also an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue $z + 10$.

In summary, \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have the same eigenvectors and $\lambda_B = \lambda_A - 10$.

The problem statement did not specify that \mathbf{A} is symmetric, therefore \mathbf{A} may not have an eigendecomposition. What follows here is an answer that applies when \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable. Student answers that match the following earn partial but not full credit because the problem did not state that \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}'$ be an eigendecomposition of \mathbf{A} . Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{A} - 10\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}' - 10\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}' \quad (\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}' \text{ since } \mathbf{Q} \text{ is unitary}) \\ &= \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{\Lambda} - 10\mathbf{I})\mathbf{Q}'.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Notice that $\mathbf{\Lambda} - 10\mathbf{I}$ is a diagonal matrix, and since \mathbf{Q} is unitary, the right hand side of (1) gives us the eigendecomposition of \mathbf{B} . So we conclude that the eigenvectors of \mathbf{B} are identical to the eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} . Further, if λ_B is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} , and λ_A is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , then $\lambda_B = \lambda_A - 10$.

Pr. 2. (sol/hs013)

Only if:

We are given that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are orthonormal and that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are orthonormal. We want to show \mathbf{A} is an orthogonal matrix. By assumption, the matrix $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}$ is orthogonal. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I} &= (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V})^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} \\ \implies \mathbf{V}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{V}' &= \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}' \implies \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A},\end{aligned}$$

using the orthogonality of \mathbf{V} . Using similar steps, we can show that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{I}$, and conclude that \mathbf{A} is orthogonal. If:

We are given that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are orthonormal and that \mathbf{A} is orthogonal. Then

$$(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i)^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{v}_i^T \underbrace{\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{A}}_{=\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (\text{since } \mathbf{v}_i \text{ are orthonormal}).$$

Thus $\{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i\}$ are also orthonormal.

Pr. 3. (sol/hs117)

If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$ and \mathbf{A} has full rank then $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T$ since $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{I}$.

Pr. 4. (sol/hs016)

Here \mathbf{T} is an invertible (square) matrix and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} associated with the eigenvector \mathbf{v} . By definition:

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \implies \mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} \implies \underbrace{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{-1}}_{=\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} \implies \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}) = \lambda(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}).$$

Letting $\mathbf{u} \triangleq \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}$, we have $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$, so $\mathbf{u}/\|\mathbf{u}\|$ is a unit-norm eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated with the eigenvalue λ . Because this is true for *every* eigenvector \mathbf{v} of \mathbf{B} associated with *every* eigenvalue λ , this implies that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are identical.

Thus for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the unit-norm eigenvector \mathbf{v}_i of \mathbf{B} associated with the eigenvalue λ_i is related to the unit-norm eigenvector \mathbf{u}_i of \mathbf{A} associated with the same λ_i by :

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \frac{\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_i}{\|\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_i\|_2}.$$

When speaking of the *set* of eigenvectors associated with a particular eigenvalue it is important to normalize the eigenvectors to have unit-norm. If we did not normalize \mathbf{v}_i as above then we would have to multiply the i th eigenvalue of \mathbf{B} by $\|\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{u}_i\|_2^2$ so that $\mathbf{B} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$ as needed.

Pr. 5. (sol/hs018)

This is a straight-forward application of the properties of the SVD. Let $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$. Then $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}^T\mathbf{\Sigma} = 0$. Since the singular values of \mathbf{X} are non-negative numbers, the matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^T\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a diagonal matrix with non-negative numbers equal to the singular values squared along the diagonal. Thus $\mathbf{\Sigma}^T\mathbf{\Sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T = 0$.

Pr. 6. (sol/hs022)

$$\text{Here } \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ & \ddots & \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{i1}|^2 & & \text{off diagonal elements} \\ & \ddots & \\ \text{off diagonal elements} & & \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{in}|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{(i,j)} |a_{ij}|^2 = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\|_F &= \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})} = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Sigma}'\mathbf{U}')} && \text{(using the SVD } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}') \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Sigma}')} && \text{(because } \text{Tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{BA}) \text{ as shown in HW 1)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Sigma}')} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where r is the rank of the matrix \mathbf{A} .

Pr. 7. (sol/hs028)

In a previous problem we showed that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2} \geq \sigma_1,$$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \sigma_r > 0$ are the singular values of \mathbf{A} , and r is the rank of \mathbf{A} . We also know that

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \text{row rank of } \mathbf{A} = \# \text{ linearly independent rows of } \mathbf{A} \leq m \\ &= \text{column rank of } \mathbf{A} = \# \text{ independent rows of } \mathbf{A} \leq n \\ &\leq \min(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_1^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,n)} \sigma_1^2} \leq \sqrt{\min(m, n)} \sigma_1.$$

Optional: The upper bound is tight; consider $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_n$ for which $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{n}$.

Pr. 8. (sol/hs033)

(a) Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}'$. Then $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \underbrace{\mathbf{W}\mathbf{U}}_{=\tilde{\mathbf{U}}} \underbrace{\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{Q}}_{=\tilde{\mathbf{V}}'} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}'$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ are unitary because they are the

products of unitary matrices. In other words, $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}\mathbf{\Sigma}\tilde{\mathbf{V}}'$ is an SVD of $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}$. The diagonal matrix containing the singular values, $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the same for both \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}$, implying they have the same singular values and thus the same rank.

(b) We first show that $\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ when \mathbf{W} is invertible.

Let $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \dim(\text{span}(\mathbf{A}))$. Then by definition of $\dim(\cdot)$ there exists a $M \times r$ basis matrix \mathbf{B} such that $\mathbf{y} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{A}) \implies \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for some $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}^r$.

Now we show that $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{B}$ is a basis matrix for $\text{span}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A})$. First, if $\mathbf{z} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A})$, then $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^N$ so $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for some $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}^r$ because \mathbf{B} is a basis matrix for the column space of \mathbf{A} . Thus $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{B})$.

We also need to show that $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{B}$ has linearly independent columns: $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \implies \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ because \mathbf{B} is a basis matrix and thus had linearly independent columns. So $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{B}$ spans $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}$ and has r linearly independent columns so $\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}) = \dim(\text{span}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A})) = r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

A similar argument shows that if \mathbf{Q} is invertible, then $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$.

Combining yields $\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ when both \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{Q} are invertible.

(c) Here is a simple example that shows that singular values of $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}$ and \mathbf{A} need not be the same. Take $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{W} = 2\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}$. Singular values of $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}$ equal 2 while those of \mathbf{A} equal 1.

Pr. 9. (sol/hs035)

(a) $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}\} \implies \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$ is the space spanned by the basis vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^2\} \implies \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ is the space spanned by the basis vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(b) Here $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) \neq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ and in general they are not equal. In fact they do not have even have the same dimension when \mathbf{A} is rectangular!

However, there are some matrices for which $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ are equal, in the sense that they are the span of the same vector(s), such as $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{span}(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix})$.

Pr. 10. (sol/hs074)

We are given that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{FXY} &= \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) & \dots & f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) & \dots & f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{DFDX} &= \begin{bmatrix} f(x_2, y_1) - f(x_1, y_1) & \dots & f(x_2, y_n) - f(x_1, y_n) \\ f(x_3, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1) & \dots & f(x_3, y_n) - f(x_2, y_n) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) - f(x_{m-1}, y_1) & \dots & f(x_m, y_n) - f(x_{m-1}, y_n) \\ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_m, y_1) & \dots & f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{DFDY} &= \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_1, y_1) & \dots & f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_1, y_{n-1}) & f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_2) - f(x_m, y_1) & \dots & f(x_m, y_n) - f(x_m, y_{n-1}) & f(x_m, y_1) - f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The $\text{vec}(\cdot)$ versions are:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{xy}} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{m-1}, y_1) \\ f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_{m-1}, y_n) \\ f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{dfdx} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_2, y_1) - f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) - f(x_{m-1}, y_1) \\ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_2, y_n) - f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_n) - f(x_{m-1}, y_n) \\ f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{dfdy} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_2) - f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_1, y_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_n) - f(x_m, y_{n-1}) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) - f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{dfdx} \\ \dots\dots \\ \mathbf{dfdy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_2, y_1) - f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_m, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_n) - f(x_m, y_n) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_2) - f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) - f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_1) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \vdots \\ f(x_1, y_n) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_m, y_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
 -1 & 1 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & -1 & 1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & \ddots & \ddots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & & & & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & & & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & -1 & 1 & & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & 1 & & & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \dots & -1 & 1 & & & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \dots & & -1 & 1 & & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \dots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \dots & & & & -1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & \dots & 1 & & & & -1 \\
 \hline
 -1 & & \dots & & 1 & & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & -1 & & \dots & & 1 & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & -1 & & \dots & & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & & & \dots & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \ddots & & \ddots & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & -1 & \ddots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 & & \ddots & & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & & & & & 0 \\
 1 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & -1 & 0 & & \\
 & 1 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & & -1 & & \\
 & & \ddots & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & \ddots & \\
 & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & & -1
 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the definition of \mathbf{D}_n given in the problem statement and Kronecker product, we write this as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{D}_m \\ \mathbf{D}_n \otimes \mathbf{I}_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

A possible Julia implementation is

```
using LinearAlgebra: I
using SparseArrays: sparse, spdiagm

function first_diffs_2d_matrix(m, n)
#
# Syntax:      A = first_diffs_2d_matrix(m, n)
#
# Inputs:      m and n are positive integers
#
# Outputs:     A is a 2mn x mn sparse matrix such that A * X[:] computes the
#              first differences down the columns (along x direction)
#              and across the (along y direction) of the m x n matrix X
#
D = [kron(sparse(I, n, n), D(m)); # First differences down columns (x)
     kron(D(n), sparse(I, m, m))] # First differences across rows (y)
return D
end

function D(n)
#
# Syntax:      Dn = D(n)
#
# Inputs:      n is a positive integer
#
# Outputs:     Dn is an n x n sparse circulant first differences matrix
#
Dn = spdiagm(0 => -ones(n), 1 => ones(n-1), 1-n => [1])
return Dn
end
```