



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 160 (1996) 245–251

DISCRETE
MATHEMATICS

Note

An aperiodic set of 13 Wang tiles¹

Karel Culik II

Department of Computer Science, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

Received 3 January 1995

Abstract

A new aperiodic tile set containing only 13 tiles over 5 colors is presented. Its construction is based on a recent technique developed by Kari. The tilings simulate the behavior of sequential machines that multiply real numbers in balanced representations by real constants.

1. Introduction

Wang tiles are unit square tiles with colored edges. A *tile set* is a finite set of Wang tiles. We consider *tilings* of the infinite Euclidean plane using arbitrarily many copies of the tiles in the given tile set. Tiles are placed on the integer lattice points of the plane with their edges oriented horizontally and vertically. The tiles may not be rotated. The tiling is *valid* if the contiguous edges have the same color everywhere.

Let T be a finite tile set and $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow T$ a tiling. Tiling f is *periodic* with period $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ iff $f(x, y) = f(x + a, y + b)$ for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. If there exists a periodic valid tiling with tiles of T , then there exists a *doubly periodic* valid tiling, i.e. a tiling f such that, for some $a, b > 0$, $f(x, y) = f(x + a, y) = f(x, y + b)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. A tile set T is called *aperiodic* iff (i) there exists a valid tiling, and (ii) there does not exist any periodic valid tilings.

Berger, in his well-known proof of the undecidability of the tiling problem [2], refuted Wang's conjecture that no aperiodic set exists, constructed the first aperiodic set containing 20426 tiles and shortly reduced it to 104 tiles. Between 1966 and 1978 progressively smaller aperiodic sets were found by Knuth, Läuchli, Robinson, Penderose and finally a set of 16 tiles by R. Ammann. An excellent discussion of these

¹This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CCR-9202396.

and related results is in Chs. 3, 10 and 11. There was no further progress until recently, when Kari [4] developed a completely new method of constructing aperiodic sets. His method also provides short and elegant correctness arguments, that is proofs that the constructed set admits a tiling but admits no periodic one. He used his method to construct an aperiodic set consisting of 14 tiles over 6 colors. We will use his method with an additional small trick to improve this to 13 tiles over 5 colors.

2. Balanced representation of numbers

For an arbitrary real number r we denote by $\lfloor r \rfloor$ the integer part of r , i.e. the largest integer that is not greater than r , and by $\{r\}$ the fractional part $r - \lfloor r \rfloor$. In proving that our tile set can be used to tile the plane we use *Beatty sequences* of numbers. Given a real number α its bi-infinite Beatty sequence is the integer sequence $A(\alpha)$ consisting of the integral parts of the multiples of α . In other words, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$A(\alpha)_i = \lfloor i \cdot \alpha \rfloor.$$

Beatty sequences were introduced by Beatty [1] in 1926.

We use sequences obtained by computing the differences of consecutive elements of Beatty sequences. Define, for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$B(\alpha)_i = A(\alpha)_i - A(\alpha)_{i-1}.$$

The bi-infinite sequence $B(\alpha)_i$ will be called the *balanced* representation of α . The balanced representations consist of at most two different numbers: If $k \leq \alpha \leq k+1$ then $B(\alpha)$ is a sequence of k 's and $(k+1)$'s. Moreover, the averages over finite subsequences approach α as the lengths of the subsequences increase. In fact, the averages are as close to α as they can be: The difference between $l \cdot \alpha$ and the sum of any l consecutive elements of $B(\alpha)$ is always smaller than one.

For example,

$$B(1.5) = \dots 121212 \dots, \quad B(\frac{1}{3}) = \dots 001001 \dots, \quad \text{and } B(\frac{2}{3}) = \dots 233233 \dots.$$

Now, we introduce sequential machines which define mappings on bi-infinite strings. We will use them to implement multiplication of numbers in balanced representation.

A *sequential machine* is a labeled directed graph whose nodes are called *states* and edges are called *transitions*. The transitions are labeled by pairs a, b of letters. The first letter a is the input symbol and the second letter b the output symbol. Machine M computes a relation $\rho(M)$ between bi-infinite sequences of letters. A bi-infinite sequence x over set S is a function $x: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S$. We will abbreviate $x(i)$ by x_i . Bi-infinite sequences x and y over input and output alphabets, respectively, are in relation $\rho(M)$ if and only if there is a bi-infinite sequence s of states of M such that, for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a transition from s_{i-1} to s_i labeled by x_i, y_i .

For a given positive rational number $q = n/m$, let us construct a sequential machine (nondeterministic Mealy machine) M_q that multiplies balanced representations $B(x)$ of real numbers by q . The states of M_q will represent all possible values of $q\lfloor r \rfloor - \lfloor qr \rfloor$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Because

$$q\lfloor r \rfloor - 1 \leq qr - 1 < \lfloor qr \rfloor \leq qr < q(\lfloor r \rfloor + 1),$$

we have

$$-q < q\lfloor r \rfloor - \lfloor qr \rfloor < 1.$$

Because the possible values of $q\lfloor r \rfloor - \lfloor qr \rfloor$ are multiples of $1/m$, they are among the $n + m - 1$ elements of

$$S = \left\{ -\frac{n-1}{m}, -\frac{n-2}{m}, \dots, \frac{m-2}{m}, \frac{m-1}{m} \right\}.$$

S is the state set of M_q .

The transitions of M_q are constructed as follows: There is a transition from state $s \in S$ with input symbol a and output symbol b into state $s + qa - b$, if such a state exists. If there is no state $s + qa - b$ in S , then no transition from s with label a, b is needed. After reading input $\dots B(x)_{i-2} B(x)_{i-1}$ and producing output $\dots B(qx)_{i-2} B(qx)_{i-1}$, the machine is in state

$$s_{i-1} = qA(x)_{i-1} - A(qx)_{i-1} \in S.$$




On the next input symbol $B(x)_i$ the machine outputs $B(qx)_i$ and moves to state

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i-1} + qB(x)_i - B(qx)_i &= qA(x)_{i-1} + qB(x)_i - (A(qx)_{i-1} + B(qx)_i) \\ &= qA(x)_i - A(qx)_i \\ &= s_i \in S. \end{aligned}$$

The sequential machine was constructed in such a way that the transition is possible. This shows that if the balanced representation $B(x)$ is a sequence of input letters and $B(qx)$ is over output letters, then $B(x)$ and $B(qx)$ are in relation $\rho(M_q)$.

Sequential machine M_3 in Fig. 1 is constructed in this fashion for multiplying by 3, using input symbols $\{0, 1\}$ and output symbols $\{1, 2\}$. This means that $B(x)$ and $B(2x)$ are in relation $\rho(M_2)$ for all real numbers x satisfying $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $1 \leq 3x \leq 2$, that is, for all $x \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$. Similarly, $M_{1/2}$, shown in Fig. 2, is constructed for input symbols $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and output symbols $\{0, 1, 2\}$, so that $B(x)$ and $B(\frac{1}{2}x)$ are in relation $\rho(M_{1/2})$ for all $x \in [0, 2]$.

Our intention is to iterate sequential machines M_3 and $M_{1/2}$ without allowing $M_{1/2}$ to be used more than twice in a row. To assure that we modify $M_{1/2}$ by introducing new input/output symbol $0'$ and changing its diagram to $M'_{1/2}$ as shown in Fig. 3. We also change the state 0 to $0'$ to make the sets of states of M_3 and $M'_{1/2}$ disjoint. That allows us to view the union of M_3 and $M'_{1/2}$ as one sequential machine M .

Fig. 1. Sequential machine M_3 .Fig. 2. Sequential machine $M_{1/2}$.Fig. 3. Sequential machine $M'_{1/2}$.

3. Aperiodic sets of tiles

Now we construct a tile set T such that the tilings of the infinite plane by tiles from T will simulate computations by sequential machine M . The states of M will color the vertical edges, the input/output symbols will color the horizontal edges.

For each labeled edge, i.e. each transition from state s to state t labeled a, b we will include a tile whose left, right, upper and lower edges are colored s, t, a and b , respectively, as shown in Fig. 4. We say that such a tile multiplies by q if $aq + s = b + t$. Therefore, we are constructing tiles that multiply by 3 or by $\frac{1}{2}$. Obviously, bi-infinite sequences x and y are in the relation $\rho(M)$ iff there exists a row of tiles, with matching vertical edges, whose upper edges form sequence x and lower edges sequence y . So there is a one-to-one correspondence between valid tilings of the plane, and bi-infinite iterations of the sequential machine on bi-infinite sequences.

In Fig. 5 is the tile set T consisting of 13 tiles each encoding one transition of sequential machine T , clearly T is a disjoint union of sets T_3 and $T_{1/2}$ obtained from M_3 and $M'_{1/2}$, respectively.

Lemma 1. *Tile set T admits uncountably many valid tilings of the plane.*

Proof. From the input sequence $B(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{3}, 2]$, the sequential machine M computes output $B(3\alpha)$ if $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$ and output $B[\alpha/2]$ if $\alpha \in [\frac{2}{3}, 2]$. In the latter case, if $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ then output $B[\alpha/2] \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ can be encoded in alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ and if $B[\alpha/2] \geq \frac{2}{3}$ the second application of M computes $B[\alpha/4] \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$ represented in alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. In any case, the machine M can be applied again using the previous output as input, and this may be repeated arbitrarily many times.




Fig. 4. A tile corresponding to the transition $s \xrightarrow{a,b} t$.




Fig. 5. An aperiodic set of 13 Wang tiles.

On the other hand, if $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{3}, 2]$ there is input $B(\alpha/3)$ or $B(2\alpha)$, that is in relation $\rho(M)$ with $B(\alpha)$. Input sequence $B(\alpha/3)$ is used for $\alpha \geq 1$, and $B(2\alpha)$ for $\alpha \leq 1$. This can be repeated many times so M can be iterated also backwards. Hence, for every bi-infinite $B(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{3}, 2]$, there is a bi-infinite iteration yielding a tiling of the plane. \square

Lemma 2. *The tile set T does not admit a periodic tiling.*

Proof. Assume that $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow T$ is a doubly periodic tiling with horizontal period a and vertical period b . We can inspect that there is no tiling for $b = 1$ or 2 so we can assume that $b \geq 3$. Since no more than two consecutive rows of tiles can consist of tiles from subset $T_{1/2}$, we can assume without loss of generality that in row zero the tiles are from T_3 . Let n_i denote the sum of colors on the upper edges of tiles $f(1, i), f(2, i), \dots, f(a, i)$. Because the tiling is horizontally periodic with period a , the ‘carries’ on the left edge of $f(1, i)$ and the right edge of $f(a, i)$ are equal.

Therefore $n_{i+1} = q_i n_i$, where $q_i = 3$ if tiles from T_3 are used in row i and $q_i = \frac{1}{2}$ if tiles from $T_{1/2}$ are used. Because the vertical period of tiling is b ,

$$n_1 = n_{b+1} = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_b \cdot n_1.$$

Since tiles from T_3 are used for $i = 0$, there are no 0’s on the upper edges of the first row and thus $n_1 \neq 0$. Hence, $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_b = 1$. This contradicts the fact that no nonempty product of 3’s and $\frac{1}{2}$ ’s can be 1. \square

Corollary. *The tile set T is aperiodic.*

Since no rotation of given Wang tiles is allowed when coloring the plane, we can obviously replace the colors in one tile set by the max of the number of states and the number of input/output symbol, that is $\max(5, 4) = 5$. One of the aperiodic sets which we obtain is shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6. An aperiodic set of 13 tiles over 5 colors.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to J. Kari for an early communication of his results and their elegant presentation, for allowing the author to repeat a number of definitions and arguments, and for reading a draft of this paper.

References

- [1] S. Beatty, Problem 3173, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 33 (1926) 159; solutions in 34 (1927) 159.
- [2] R. Berger, The undecidability of the Domino problem, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 66 (1966).
- [3] B. Grünbaum and G.C. Shephard, *Tilings and Patterns* (Freeman, New York, 1987).
- [4] J. Kari, A small aperiodic set of Wang tiles, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.