Chapter 17

- **Software Testing Strategies**

Slide Set to accompany

Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach, 7/e
by Roger S. Pressman

Software Testing

Testing is the process of exercising a program with the specific intent of finding errors prior to delivery to the end user.

What Testing Shows



errors

requirements conformance

performance

an indication of quality

Strategic Approach

- To perform effective testing, you should conduct effective technical reviews. By doing this, many errors will be eliminated before testing commences.
- Testing begins at the component level and works "outward" toward the integration of the entire computer-based system.
- Different testing techniques are appropriate for different software engineering approaches and at different points in time.
- Testing is conducted by the developer of the software and (for large projects) an independent test group.
- Testing and debugging are different activities, but debugging must be accommodated in any testing strategy.

V & V

- *Verification* refers to the set of tasks that ensure that software correctly implements a specific function.
- *Validation* refers to a different set of tasks that ensure that the software that has been built is traceable to customer requirements. Boehm [Boe81] states this another way:
  - *Verification:* "Are we building the product right?"
  - *Validation:* "Are we building the right product?"

Who Tests the Software?





developer

Understands the system

but, will test "gently"

and, is driven by "delivery"

independent tester

Must learn about the system,

but, will attempt to break it

and, is driven by quality



There are often a number of misconceptions that can be erroneously inferred from the preceding discussion: (1) that the developer of software should do no testing at all, (2) that the software should be "tossed over the wall" to strangers who will test it mercilessly, (3) that testers get involved with the project only when the testing steps are about to begin. Each of these statements is incorrect.

Testing Strategy



FIGURE 13.2

Software testing steps



Testing Strategy



Testing Strategy

- We begin by ‘testing-in-the-small’ and move toward ‘testing-in-the-large’
- For conventional software
  - The module (component) is our initial focus
  - Integration of modules follows
- For OO software
  - our focus when “testing in the small” changes from an individual module (the conventional view) to an OO class that encompasses attributes and operations and implies communication and collaboration

Strategic Issues

- Specify product requirements in a quantifiable manner long before testing commences.
- State testing objectives explicitly.
- Understand the users of the software and develop a profile for each user category.
- Develop a testing plan that emphasizes “rapid cycle testing.”
- Build “robust” software that is designed to test itself
- Use effective technical reviews as a filter prior to testing
- Conduct technical reviews to assess the test strategy and test cases themselves.
- Develop a continuous improvement approach for the testing process.

Unit Testing



Unit Testing



Unit Test Environment



Integration Testing Strategies

Options:

- **the “big bang” approach**
- **an incremental construction strategy**



Top Down Integration



top module is tested with stubs

stubs are replaced one at a time, "depth first"

as new modules are integrated, some subset of tests is re-run

Bottom-Up Integration



drivers are replaced one at a time, "depth first"

worker modules are grouped into builds and integrated

cluster

Sandwich Testing



Top modules are tested with stubs

Worker modules are grouped into builds and integrated

cluster

Regression Testing

- *Regression testing* is the re-execution of some subset of tests that have already been conducted to ensure that changes have not propagated unintended side effects
- Whenever software is corrected, some aspect of the software configuration (the program, its documentation, or the data that support it) is changed.
- Regression testing helps to ensure that changes (due to testing or for other reasons) do not introduce unintended behavior or additional errors.
- Regression testing may be conducted manually, by re-executing a subset of all test cases or using automated capture/playback tools.

Smoke Testing

- A common approach for creating "daily builds" for product software
- Smoke testing steps:
  - Software components that have been translated into code are integrated into a "build."
    - A build includes all data files, libraries, reusable modules, and engineered components that are required to implement one or more product functions.
  - A series of tests is designed to expose errors that will keep the build from properly performing its function.
    - The intent should be to uncover "show stopper" errors that have the highest likelihood of throwing the software project behind schedule.
  - The build is integrated with other builds and the entire product (in its current form) is smoke tested daily.
    - The integration approach may be top down or bottom up.

High Order Testing

- Validation testing
  - Focus is on software requirements
- System testing
  - Focus is on system integration
- Alpha/Beta testing
  - Focus is on customer usage
- Recovery testing
  - forces the software to fail in a variety of ways and verifies that recovery is properly performed
- Security testing
  - verifies that protection mechanisms built into a system will, in fact, protect it from improper penetration
- Stress testing
  - executes a system in a manner that demands resources in abnormal quantity, frequency, or volume
- Performance Testing
  - test the run-time performance of software within the context of an integrated system

Debugging: A Diagnostic Process

The Debugging Process



Debugging Effort


time required to correct the error and conduct regression tests
time required to diagnose the symptom and determine the cause

Symptoms & Causes



- symptom and cause may be geographically separated
- symptom may disappear when another problem is fixed
- cause may be due to a combination of non-errors
- cause may be due to a system or compiler error
- cause may be due to assumptions that everyone believes
- symptom may be intermittent

Consequences of Bugs



<u>Bug Categories:</u> **function-related bugs, system-related bugs, data bugs, coding bugs, design bugs, documentation bugs, standards violations, etc.**

Debugging Techniques

- brute force / testing
- backtracking
- induction
- deduction

Correcting the Error

- *Is the cause of the bug reproduced in another part of the program?* In many situations, a program defect is caused by an erroneous pattern of logic that may be reproduced elsewhere.
- *What "next bug" might be introduced by the fix I'm about to make?* Before the correction is made, the source code (or, better, the design) should be evaluated to assess coupling of logic and data structures.
- *What could we have done to prevent this bug in the first place?* This question is the first step toward establishing a statistical software quality assurance approach. If you correct the process as well as the product, the bug will be removed from the current program and may be eliminated from all future programs.

Final Thoughts

- *Think* -- before you act to correct
- Use tools to gain additional insight
- If you’re at an impasse, get help from someone else
- Once you correct the bug, use regression testing to uncover any side effects