Analysis 1: Chapter 3 Set Theory Exercises

Remark. In the text Tao informally gives a way to resolve Russell's paradox. He describes that objects are arranged (at least in set theory) in an hierarchy. At the first rung you have (impure set theory) what are called primitive objects which are not sets. At the next rung you have sets which only contain primitive objects. The rung after that has sets which only contain primitive objects or sets containing only primitive objects and so on. From this you see that if you are on a rung of the hierarchy not at the primitive object level then all sets at that level can only contain objects below it on the hierarchy. So things like a set containing itself cant be true because that set is on the same rung as itself. That is the intuition for the Axiom of Regularity and the problem below.

Problem (Exercise 3.2.2). Let A be a set. Show that $A \notin A$. Moreover show that for any two sets A and B that $B \notin A$ or $A \notin B$ (or both).

Proof. We shall prove the first part that is no set contains itself. If A is the empty set then we are done. Suppose now then that A is non-empty and for contradiction that $A \in A$. Using the singleton set axiom we have the singleton set $\{A\}$ exists. However if $A \in A$ then $A \in \{A\}$ but A is a set that is not disjoint from $\{A\}$. Thus $\{A\}$ is a non-empty set that contains just the set A which is not disjoint from itself. This contradicts the Axiom of Regularity thus for any set A we must have $A \notin A$.

We now prove the second part. Let A and B be two sets. If A=B then from the first part we have that $B \notin A$ and $A \notin B$ and we see the result is true in this case. Moreover if A or B are the empty set then the result follows. Thus we suppose that A and B are two non-empty and non-equal sets. Suppose for contradiction that $A \in B$ and $B \in A$. Using the pair set axiom we can construct the pair set $\{A, B\}$ which is a non-empty set that only contains A and B. That is for all objects z we have $z \in \{A, B\}$ if and only if z = A or z = B. In either case we have that $z \cap \{A, B\} \neq \emptyset$ thus $\{A, B\}$ is a non-empty that only contains sets that are not disjoint from itself. This contradicts the Axiom of Regularity thus we must have $A \notin B$ or $B \notin A$. The result follows as desired.

Proof. Appealing to the Axiom of Infinity and the Pair Set Axiom we have that $\{0,1\}$ is a set. By the Power Set Axiom there exists a set containing all functions from X to $\{0,1\}$ which we shall denote as $\{0,1\}^X$. Define the property P(f,S) pertaining to each $f \in \{0,1\}^X$ and any object S to be the statement S is a set and $S = f^{-1}(\{1\})$. There is at most one set S such that P(f,S) is true for each $f \in \{0,1\}^X$. Thus by the Axiom of Replacement $Q := \{S : S = f^{-1}(\{1\}) \text{ for some } f \in \{0,1\}^X\}$ exists and is a set. All that remains to show is that every element of Q is a subset of X and every subset of X is contained in Q. Let Z be an arbitrary element of Q, then there exists some function $f \in \{0,1\}^X$ such that $Z = f^{-1}(\{1\})$ which is indeed a subset of X. Conversely let Z be a subset of X. We shall show that there exists some function $f \in \{0,1\}^X$ such that $Z = f^{-1}(\{1\})$. For each $X \in X$ define $X \in X$ define X

Problem (Exercise 3.4.7). Let X and Y be sets. A function $f: X' \to Y'$ from a subset X' of X to a subset Y' of Y is said to be a partial function from X to Y. If X and Y are sets show that the collection of all partial functions from X to Y is a set.

Remark. The idea for the proof we shall give is analogous to a sort of double looping construct procedure in a computer programming language. In particular let 2^X and 2^Y be the collections of all subsets of X and Y respectively which are sets by the previous exercise. Informally, or each element of 2^X we loop through each element of 2^Y creating a set containing all sets of function spaces where a function space is just the set of all functions from some particular element of 2^X to some particular element of 2^Y . Then we use the Axiom of Union to unbox all these sets of function spaces to get the set of all function spaces. Unboxing these sets in the same manner we get the set of all partial functions from X to Y

Proof. Since X and Y are sets by the previous exercise asserts that the collection of all subsets of X is a set and also that the collection of all subsets of Y is set. Let 2^X and 2^Y denote these sets respectively. For each $X' \in 2^X$ and any object S define the property P(X', S) to be the statement S is a set such that for all objects z, we have

$$z \in S \iff z = Y'^{X'} \text{ for some } Y' \in 2^Y.$$

There is at most one set S such that P(X',S) is true for each $X' \in 2^X$. Thus by the Axiom of Replacement there exists a set $Q \coloneqq \{S : P(X',S) \text{ is true for some } X' \in 2^X\}$. We observe that Q is a family of sets thus by the axiom of the union the set $\bigcup Q$ exists. This set like Q is also a family of sets. Thus applying the Axiom of Union once again we have that the set $\bigcup (\bigcup Q)$ exists. We claim that every element of $\bigcup (\bigcup Q)$ is a partial function from X to Y and that every partial function from X to Y is contained in $\bigcup (\bigcup Q)$. Let Y be an arbitrary element of $\bigcup (\bigcup Q)$ then $Y \in T$ for some $Y \in Q$. But then any such $Y \in Y$ will be contained in $Y \in Y$ for some set $Y \in Q$. However if $Y \in Y$ for some $Y \in Q$ then for some $Y \in Q$ we have for every object $Y \in Q$ that if $Y \in Y$ then $Y \in Q$ for some $Y \in Q$. Thus since $Y \in Q$ we have $Y \in Q$ to some $Y \in Q$ to some $Y \in Q$ to some $Y \in Q$ to a partial function from $Y \in Q$ to $Y \in Q$ the form a subset $Y \in Q$ to a subset $Y \in Q$ to $Y \in Q$ the shall show that $Y \in Q$ be a partial function from $Y \in Q$ there is at most one such set $Y \in Q$ thus by the Axiom of Replacement the set $Y \in Q$ there is at most one such set $Y \in Q$ thus by the Axiom of Replacement the set $Y \in Q$ but $Y \in Q$ thus $Y \in Q$ and the result follows.

3

Remark. Exercise 3.5.1 in the third edition of the text consists of three parts even though all are listed as a single exercise.

Problem (Exercise 3.5.1 (a)). Let x and y be any two objects (possibly the same). We define $(x,y) := \{\{x\}, \{x,y\}\}\}$. Which can be shown to be a set that exists using Axiom 3.4 and the Axiom of Union. Show that (x,y) obeys the usual property we would expect of an ordered pair (i.e two ordered pairs are equal if and only if all their components are equal).

Proof. We shall show that for any objects a, a', b and b' that $(a, b) = (a', b') \iff (a = a' \text{ and } b = b')$. (\Longrightarrow) Suppose that (a, b) = (a', b') then by definition we have that $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$. We will first show that a = a'. Since $\{a\} \in \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ we have $\{a\} = \{a'\}$ or $\{a\} = \{a', b'\}$. If $\{a\} = \{a'\}$ then we are done. On the other hand if $\{a\} \neq \{a'\}$ then we must have $\{a\} = \{a', b'\}$ which implies that $\{a\} = \{a'\}$. Therefore in either case $\{a\} = \{a'\}$. Now we show that $\{b\} = \{b'\}$. From our hypothesis we have that $\{a, b\} \in \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ and thus that $\{a, b\} = \{a'\}$ or $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$. If $\{a, b\} = \{a'\}$ then a = b = a'. However $\{a', b'\} \in \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ by our hypothesis so that $\{a', b'\} = \{a\}$ or $\{a', b'\} = \{a, b\}$ and in either case we have that b' = a or b = b'. If on the other hand we have $\{a, b\} \neq \{a'\}$ then we must have $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$ which implies that b' = a or b = b'. If b = b' we are done so we consider the case when b' = a. Since $\{a, b\} \in \{\{a'\}, \{a', b'\}\}$ we have that $\{a, b\} = \{a'\}$ or $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$ and in either case we have b = b' since a = a' = b'.

(\longleftarrow) The converse is trivial

Remark. We will appeal to the previous part of this exercise (i.e we will use the result and definition of ordered pair in part a). The proof we will provide is similar to that of Exercise 3.4.7.

Problem (Exercise 3.5.1 (b)). Let X and Y be two sets. Show that the Cartesian Product of X and Y is a set (i.e that a set containing all ordered pairs whose first component is from X and second is from Y exists).

Proof. For each $x \in X$ and any object S define P(x,S) to be the statement S is a set such that for all objects z we have $z \in S \iff z = \{\{x\}, \{x,y\}\}$ for some $y \in Y$. For each $x \in X$ there is at most one set S such that P(x,S) is true. Thus by the Axiom of Replacement there is a set $\{S: P(x,S) \text{ is true for some } x \in X\}$. By the Axiom of Union we have that $Q \coloneqq \bigcup (\{S: P(x,S) \text{ is true for some } x \in X\})$ is a set. We claim that every element of Q is an ordered pair $\{x,y\}$ where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ and that every such ordered pair is in Q. First suppose that $z \in Q$ then $z \in T$ for some $T \in \{S: P(x,S) \text{ is true for some } x \in X\}$. But then for this T there exists some $x \in X$ such that for every object q we have $q \in T$ if and only if $q = \{\{x\}, \{x,y\}\}$ for some $y \in Y$. Thus we have $z = \{\{x\}, \{x,y\}\}$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ but by definition of an ordered pair for this $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Now suppose that $x \in X$ and $x \in X$ an

5

Remark. See the texts definition for an ordered pair and observe we do not assert that an ordered pair is a set. However if we take the definition of an ordered set as it is in the exercise below it satisfies the property of what we would expect an ordered pair to have (i.e that two ordered pairs are equal if and only if their first and second components are the same).

Problem (Exercise 3.5.1 (c)). Show that for any two objects x and y (possibly the same) that $(x, y) := \{x, \{x, y\}\}$ can also be used as an alternative definition for an ordered pair.

Proof. We shall show that for any objects a, a', b and b' that $\{a, \{a, b\}\} = \{a', \{a', b'\}\}$ if and only if a = a' and b = b'. (\Longrightarrow) Suppose that $\{a, \{a, b\}\} = \{a', \{a', b'\}\}$. We shall first show that a = a'. Suppose for contradiction that $a \neq a'$ then we must have that $a = \{a', b'\}$ and $a' = \{a, b\}$. However in this situation we will then have that $a \in a'$ and $a' \in a$ contradicting Exercise 3.2.2. Thus our initial assumption was false and we must have a = a'. Now we shall show that b = b'. By assumption we have $\{a, b\} \in \{a', \{a', b'\}\}$ so that $a' = \{a, b\}$ or $\{a', b'\} = \{a, b\}$. We have that $\{a, b\} \neq a'$ since otherwise we shall have $a = \{a, b\}$ as a direct consequence of the fact a = a' which we have shown already. However then we have that $a \in a$ contradicting the fact that for any set A we must have $A \notin A$ (see Exercise 3.2.2). Therefore $\{a, b\} \neq a'$ but then $\{a, b\} = \{a', b'\}$. We see then that b = a' or b = b'. If b = b' we have nothing to show and if that is not the case then b = a' but b' = a and a = a' thus b = b'. In either case we have b = b' as desired. (\Longleftrightarrow) The converse is trivial.