Experiment 2: Loan Amount Prediction using Linear Regression

Name:Darshan Parthasarathy Reg No: 3122237001009

1. Aim

To apply Linear Regression to predict the loan amount sanctioned to users using historical data and evaluate the performance of the model.

2. Libraries Used

- pandas
- numpy
- matplotlib
- seaborn
- sklearn.linear_model
- sklearn.preprocessing
- sklearn.model_selection
- sklearn.metrics

3. Objective

To build, train, evaluate, and visualize a Linear Regression model that predicts the sanctioned loan amount based on features like income, credit score, property price, etc.

4. Mathematical Description

Linear Regression assumes a linear relationship between input variables (X) and the target variable (Y). The model can be represented as:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \epsilon$$

Where:

- Y: Predicted loan sanction amount
- β_0 : Intercept
- $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$: Feature coefficients
- ϵ : Residual error term

5. Code with Plot

(Kindly refer the submitted Jupyter Notebook: ML_LAB_2.ipynb)

6. Included Plots

- Histogram of Loan Sanction Amount
- Boxplot of Loan Sanction Amount
- Correlation Heatmap
- Scatter Plot (Income vs Loan Sanction Amount)
- Predicted vs Actual Plot
- Residual Distribution Plot
- Bar Plot of Feature Coefficients

7. Results Tables

Table 1: Cross-Validation Results (K = 5)

| Fold | MAE | MSE | RMSE | R2 Score |
|---------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Fold 1 | 21995.18 | 973945638.50 | 31208.10 | 0.475 |
| Fold 2 | 21896.12 | 1134334566.00 | 33679.89 | 0.408 |
| Fold 3 | 21801.21 | 924002070.00 | 30397.40 | 0.519 |
| Fold 4 | 21493.91 | 906409168.20 | 30106.63 | 0.529 |
| Fold 5 | 21688.81 | 913357744.20 | 30221.81 | 0.530 |
| Average | 21775.05 | 970409943.80 | 31122.77 | 0.492 |

Table 2: Summary of Results for Loan Amount Prediction

| Description | Student's Result | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dataset Size (after preprocessing) | 4380 rows | | |
| Train/Test/Validation Split | $60\% \ / \ 20\% \ / \ 20\%$ | | |
| Feature(s) Used for Prediction | All except Customer ID, Name | | |
| Model Used | Linear Regression | | |
| Cross-Validation Used? | Yes | | |
| Number of Folds (K) | 5 | | |
| Reference to CV Table | Table 1 | | |
| MAE on Test Set | 21573.53 | | |
| MSE on Test Set | 924251037.88 | | |
| RMSE on Test Set | 30399.35 (approx) | | |
| R2 Score on Test Set | 0.530 | | |
| Adjusted R2 Score on Test Set | 0.525 (approx) | | |
| Most Influential Feature(s) | Income (USD), Credit Score, Property Price | | |
| Observations from Residual Plot | Roughly normal distribution | | |
| Predicted vs Actual Plot | Most points lie near the diagonal line | | |
| Overfitting/Underfitting Observed? | No major overfitting; train test error | | |

8. Best Practices

- Filled missing values using statistical techniques
- Encoded categorical variables numerically
- Standardized numeric features to normalize ranges
- Visualized all necessary plots for EDA and performance
- Evaluated performance with metrics and cross-validation

9. Learning Outcomes

- Learned how to prepare and clean real-world datasets
- Understood application of Linear Regression for prediction
- Visualized and interpreted model accuracy using multiple plots
- Applied K-Fold validation to assess model generalization