Assumptions

- 1. There are **P** processors in CPU architecture
- 2. The order in which edges are entering the incidence matrix does not matter
- 3. Storing of the matrix in memory is in row-major format

Observations

- 1. First, we will take the transpose of the Incidence Matrix so that we can traverse raw wise to optimize cache performance
 - a. Each processor will get M/P rows where M = #Edges
- 2. Each row will now update one cell of adj_mat which will be shared between all the processors
 - a. If there is an overlap while updating the cell critical section will handle it

Pseudo Code for the Parallel Algorithm

```
// Gloabal Region
M ← #Edges in graph
P \leftarrow \text{\#Processors}
Local size ← M/P
Adj mat ← Zeroes(N,N)
Inc_mat ← transpose(Inc_mat)
//Adj_mat and Inc_mat are shared between all the processors
       // Parallel Region
       // Parallelise this loop into processors with M/P rows to each one
       LOOP (i,0,M):
               X = -1
               Y = -1
               LOOP (j,0,N):
                       if(Inc_mat[i][j]>0)
                               if(X<0)
                                       X \leftarrow j
                               else
                                       Y \leftarrow j
               // Critical Section
               if(Y<0)
                       Adj_mat[X][X] \leftarrow Adj_mat[X][X] + 2
               else
                       Adj_mat[X][Y] \leftarrow Adj_mat[X][Y] + 1
```

Drawbacks

 While updating one cell of Adj_mat there can be overlaps such that two processors are accessing the cell simultaneously, in this case, the performance of algorithm will be affected