In [1]: import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns In [2]: df = pd.read_csv('Social_Network_Ads.csv') df.head() Out[2]: Age EstimatedSalary Purchased **0** 19 19000 **1** 35 20000 **2** 26 43000 57000 76000 In [3]: df.isnull().sum() Out[3]: EstimatedSalary 0 Purchased dtype: int64 observations: * There are no missing values. Separating independent and dependent data In [4]: # independent features x = df.drop(columns = ['Purchased'], axis = 1) # dependent features y = df['Purchased'] **Train Test Split** In [5]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x, y, test_size = 0.3, random_state = 42) **Standard Scaling** In [6]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler scaler = StandardScaler() x_train = scaler.fit_transform(x_train) x_test = scaler.transform(x_test) **Model Training** In [7]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression classifier = LogisticRegression() classifier.fit(x_train, y_train) LogisticRegression() Out[7]: **Prediction** In [8]: y_pred = classifier.predict(x_test) y_pred 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0], dtype=int64) **Evaluation** In [9]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, accuracy_score print(confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred), '\n') print(accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)) [16 31]] 1. Visualising training result In [29]: plt.scatter(x = x_train[:, 0], y = x_train[:, 1], c = y_train) <matplotlib.collections.PathCollection at 0x1c1a9b97820> Out[29]: 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.0 -0.5-1.0-1.5 2. Visualising test result In [30]: $plt.scatter(x = x_test[:, 0], y = x_test[:, 1], c = y_test)$ <matplotlib.collections.PathCollection at 0x1c1265fd700> 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5-1.0 -1.5

Logistic Regression

Import libraries and load dataset

Logistic Regression Import library, load dataset and understand data In [1]: from sklearn.datasets import load_iris In [2]: dataset = load_iris() In [3]: dataset {'data': array([[5.1, 3.5, 1.4, 0.2], Out[3]: [4.9, 3., 1.4, 0.2], [4.7, 3.2, 1.3, 0.2], [4.6, 3.1, 1.5, 0.2], [5., 3.6, 1.4, 0.2], [5.4, 3.9, 1.7, 0.4], [4.6, 3.4, 1.4, 0.3], [5., 3.4, 1.5, 0.2], [4.4, 2.9, 1.4, 0.2], [4.9, 3.1, 1.5, 0.1], [5.4, 3.7, 1.5, 0.2], [4.8, 3.4, 1.6, 0.2], [4.8, 3., 1.4, 0.1], [4.3, 3., 1.1, 0.1], [5.8, 4., 1.2, 0.2], [5.7, 4.4, 1.5, 0.4], [5.4, 3.9, 1.3, 0.4], [5.1, 3.5, 1.4, 0.3], [5.7, 3.8, 1.7, 0.3], [5.1, 3.8, 1.5, 0.3], [5.4, 3.4, 1.7, 0.2], [5.1, 3.7, 1.5, 0.4], [4.6, 3.6, 1., 0.2], [5.1, 3.3, 1.7, 0.5], [4.8, 3.4, 1.9, 0.2], [5., 3., 1.6, 0.2], [5., 3.4, 1.6, 0.4], [5.2, 3.5, 1.5, 0.2], [5.2, 3.4, 1.4, 0.2], [4.7, 3.2, 1.6, 0.2], [4.8, 3.1, 1.6, 0.2], [5.4, 3.4, 1.5, 0.4], [5.2, 4.1, 1.5, 0.1], [5.5, 4.2, 1.4, 0.2], [4.9, 3.1, 1.5, 0.2], [5., 3.2, 1.2, 0.2], [5.5, 3.5, 1.3, 0.2], [4.9, 3.6, 1.4, 0.1], [4.4, 3., 1.3, 0.2], [5.1, 3.4, 1.5, 0.2], [5., 3.5, 1.3, 0.3], [4.5, 2.3, 1.3, 0.3], [4.4, 3.2, 1.3, 0.2], [5., 3.5, 1.6, 0.6], [5.1, 3.8, 1.9, 0.4], [4.8, 3., 1.4, 0.3], [5.1, 3.8, 1.6, 0.2], [4.6, 3.2, 1.4, 0.2], [5.3, 3.7, 1.5, 0.2], [5., 3.3, 1.4, 0.2], [7., 3.2, 4.7, 1.4], [6.4, 3.2, 4.5, 1.5], [6.9, 3.1, 4.9, 1.5], [5.5, 2.3, 4., 1.3], [6.5, 2.8, 4.6, 1.5], [5.7, 2.8, 4.5, 1.3], [6.3, 3.3, 4.7, 1.6], [4.9, 2.4, 3.3, 1.], [6.6, 2.9, 4.6, 1.3], [5.2, 2.7, 3.9, 1.4], [5., 2., 3.5, 1.], [5.9, 3., 4.2, 1.5], [6., 2.2, 4., 1.], [6.1, 2.9, 4.7, 1.4], [5.6, 2.9, 3.6, 1.3], [6.7, 3.1, 4.4, 1.4], [5.6, 3., 4.5, 1.5], [5.8, 2.7, 4.1, 1.], [6.2, 2.2, 4.5, 1.5], [5.6, 2.5, 3.9, 1.1], [5.9, 3.2, 4.8, 1.8], [6.1, 2.8, 4., 1.3], [6.3, 2.5, 4.9, 1.5], [6.1, 2.8, 4.7, 1.2], [6.4, 2.9, 4.3, 1.3], [6.6, 3., 4.4, 1.4], [6.8, 2.8, 4.8, 1.4], [6.7, 3., 5., 1.7],[6., 2.9, 4.5, 1.5], [5.7, 2.6, 3.5, 1.], [5.5, 2.4, 3.8, 1.1], [5.5, 2.4, 3.7, 1.], [5.8, 2.7, 3.9, 1.2], [6., 2.7, 5.1, 1.6], [5.4, 3., 4.5, 1.5], [6., 3.4, 4.5, 1.6], [6.7, 3.1, 4.7, 1.5], [6.3, 2.3, 4.4, 1.3], [5.6, 3., 4.1, 1.3], [5.5, 2.5, 4., 1.3], [5.5, 2.6, 4.4, 1.2], [6.1, 3., 4.6, 1.4], [5.8, 2.6, 4., 1.2], [5., 2.3, 3.3, 1.], [5.6, 2.7, 4.2, 1.3], [5.7, 3., 4.2, 1.2], [5.7, 2.9, 4.2, 1.3], [6.2, 2.9, 4.3, 1.3], [5.1, 2.5, 3. , 1.1], [5.7, 2.8, 4.1, 1.3], [6.3, 3.3, 6., 2.5], [5.8, 2.7, 5.1, 1.9], [7.1, 3., 5.9, 2.1], [6.3, 2.9, 5.6, 1.8], [6.5, 3., 5.8, 2.2], [7.6, 3., 6.6, 2.1], [4.9, 2.5, 4.5, 1.7], [7.3, 2.9, 6.3, 1.8], [6.7, 2.5, 5.8, 1.8], [7.2, 3.6, 6.1, 2.5],[6.5, 3.2, 5.1, 2.], [6.4, 2.7, 5.3, 1.9], [6.8, 3., 5.5, 2.1], [5.7, 2.5, 5. , 2.], [5.8, 2.8, 5.1, 2.4], [6.4, 3.2, 5.3, 2.3], [6.5, 3., 5.5, 1.8],[7.7, 3.8, 6.7, 2.2], [7.7, 2.6, 6.9, 2.3], [6., 2.2, 5., 1.5],[6.9, 3.2, 5.7, 2.3], [5.6, 2.8, 4.9, 2.], [7.7, 2.8, 6.7, 2.], [6.3, 2.7, 4.9, 1.8], [6.7, 3.3, 5.7, 2.1], [7.2, 3.2, 6., 1.8],[6.2, 2.8, 4.8, 1.8], [6.1, 3., 4.9, 1.8], [6.4, 2.8, 5.6, 2.1], [7.2, 3., 5.8, 1.6], [7.4, 2.8, 6.1, 1.9], [7.9, 3.8, 6.4, 2.], [6.4, 2.8, 5.6, 2.2], [6.3, 2.8, 5.1, 1.5], [6.1, 2.6, 5.6, 1.4], [7.7, 3., 6.1, 2.3], [6.3, 3.4, 5.6, 2.4], [6.4, 3.1, 5.5, 1.8], [6., 3., 4.8, 1.8], [6.9, 3.1, 5.4, 2.1], [6.7, 3.1, 5.6, 2.4], [6.9, 3.1, 5.1, 2.3], [5.8, 2.7, 5.1, 1.9], [6.8, 3.2, 5.9, 2.3], [6.7, 3.3, 5.7, 2.5], [6.7, 3., 5.2, 2.3], [6.3, 2.5, 5. , 1.9], [6.5, 3., 5.2, 2.], [6.2, 3.4, 5.4, 2.3], [5.9, 3., 5.1, 1.8]]), 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 'frame': None, 'target_names': array(['setosa', 'versicolor', 'virginica'], dtype='<U10'), 'DESCR': '.. _iris_dataset:\n\nIris plants dataset\n-----\n\n**Data Set Characteristics:**\n\n :Number of Instances: 150 (50 in each of three classes)\n :Number of Attributes: 4 numeric, predictiv e attributes and the class\n :Attribute Information:\n - sepal length in cm\n - sepal width in cm\n petal length in cm\n
petal width in cm\n - Iris-Se - Iris-Versicolour\n - Iris-Virginica∖n \n :Summary Statistics:\n\n =====================\n Mean SD Class Correlation\n ==================================\n sepal length: 4.3 7.9 5.84 0.83 0.7826\n sepal width: 2.0 4.4 3.05 0.43 -0.4194\n petal length :Class Distribution: 33.3% for each of 3 classes.\n :Creator: R.A. Fisher\n :Donor: Michael Marshall (MARSHALL%PLU@io.arc.nasa.gov)\n :Date: July, 1988\n\nThe famous Iris database, first used by Sir R.A. Fisher r. The dataset is taken\nfrom Fisher\'s paper. Note that it\'s the same as in R, but not as in the UCI\nMachine Learning Repository, which has two wrong data points.\n\nThis is perhaps the best known database to be fou nd in the\npattern recognition literature. Fisher\'s paper is a classic in the field and\nis referenced frequently to this day. (See Duda & Hart, for example.) The\ndata set contains 3 classes of 50 instances each, where each class refers to a\ntype of iris plant. One class is linearly separable from the other 2; the\nlatter are NOT linearly separable from each other.\n\n.. topic:: References\n\n - Fisher, R.A. "The use of mul tiple measurements in taxonomic problems"\n Annual Eugenics, 7, Part II, 179-188 (1936); also in "Contributions to\n Mathematical Statistics" (John Wiley, NY, 1950).\n - Duda, R.O., & Hart, P.E. (1973) Patter n Classification and Scene Analysis.\n (Q327.D83) John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 0-471-22361-1. See page 218.\n - Dasarathy, B.V. (1980) "Nosing Around the Neighborhood: A New System\n Structure and Classification Rule for Recognition in Partially Exposed\n Environments". IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine\n Intelligence, Vol. PAMI-2, No. 1, 67-71.\n - Gates, G.W. (1972) "The Reduced Nearest Neighbor Ru on Information Theory, May 1972, 431-433.\n - See also: 1988 MLC Proceedings, 54-64. Cheeseman et al"s AUTOCLASS II\n conceptual clustering system finds 3 classes in the data.\n le". IEEE Transactions\n Many, many more ...', 'feature_names': ['sepal length (cm)', 'sepal width (cm)', 'petal length (cm)', 'petal width (cm)'], 'filename': 'iris.csv', 'data_module': 'sklearn.datasets.data'} Observation: · We have a dictionary which contains data and information dataset. **Explore** dataset In [4]: dataset.keys() dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names', 'filename', 'data_module']) Observation: 'DESCR' contains the description of the dataset. 'target names' contains dependent feature name and it's data is present inside 'target'. • 'feature_names' contains independent feature name and it's data is present inside 'data'. In [5]: # We will check the description of dataset print(dataset['DESCR']) .. _iris_dataset: Iris plants dataset -----**Data Set Characteristics:** :Number of Instances: 150 (50 in each of three classes) :Number of Attributes: 4 numeric, predictive attributes and the class :Attribute Information: - sepal length in cm - sepal width in cm - petal length in cm - petal width in cm - class: - Iris-Setosa - Iris-Versicolour - Iris-Virginica :Summary Statistics: Min Max Mean SD Class Correlation __________ sepal length: 4.3 7.9 5.84 0.83 0.7826 sepal width: 2.0 4.4 3.05 0.43 -0.4194 petal length: 1.0 6.9 3.76 1.76 0.9490 (high!) petal width: 0.1 2.5 1.20 0.76 0.9565 (high!) _____________ :Missing Attribute Values: None :Class Distribution: 33.3% for each of 3 classes. :Creator: R.A. Fisher :Donor: Michael Marshall (MARSHALL%PLU@io.arc.nasa.gov) :Date: July, 1988 The famous Iris database, first used by Sir R.A. Fisher. The dataset is taken from Fisher's paper. Note that it's the same as in R, but not as in the UCI Machine Learning Repository, which has two wrong data points. This is perhaps the best known database to be found in the pattern recognition literature. Fisher's paper is a classic in the field and is referenced frequently to this day. (See Duda & Hart, for example.) The data set contains 3 classes of 50 instances each, where each class refers to a type of iris plant. One class is linearly separable from the other 2; the latter are NOT linearly separable from each other. .. topic:: References - Fisher, R.A. "The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems" Annual Eugenics, 7, Part II, 179-188 (1936); also in "Contributions to Mathematical Statistics" (John Wiley, NY, 1950). - Duda, R.O., & Hart, P.E. (1973) Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis. (Q327.D83) John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 0-471-22361-1. See page 218. - Dasarathy, B.V. (1980) "Nosing Around the Neighborhood: A New System Structure and Classification Rule for Recognition in Partially Exposed Environments". IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. PAMI-2, No. 1, 67-71. - Gates, G.W. (1972) "The Reduced Nearest Neighbor Rule". IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, May 1972, 431-433. - See also: 1988 MLC Proceedings, 54-64. Cheeseman et al"s AUTOCLASS II conceptual clustering system finds 3 classes in the data. - Many, many more ... Create dataframe In [6]: **import** pandas **as** pd import numpy as np In [7]: | df = pd.DataFrame(dataset['data'], columns = dataset['feature_names']) df.head() sepal length (cm) sepal width (cm) petal length (cm) petal width (cm) Out[7]: 5.1 3.5 1.4 4.9 1.4 0.2 3.0 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 4.6 3.1 0.2 1.5 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 In [8]: # target data is loaded into dataframe df['target'] = dataset['target'] df.head() sepal length (cm) sepal width (cm) petal length (cm) petal width (cm) target 0 5.1 3.5 0.2 0 1.4 4.9 3.0 2 4.7 1.3 3.2 0 4.6 3.1 1.5 5.0 0.2 3.6 1.4 0 In [9]: df.shape Out[9]: (150, 5) In [10]: df['target'].unique() array([0, 1, 2]) Out[10]: Observation: • As we are doing binary classification problem we need 2 target values but we have 3 target values. So, we take only 2 values and remove 1 value i.e here we will remove '2'. In [11]: df['target'] != 2 True Out[11]: True True True True 145 False 146 False 147 False 148 False 149 False Name: target, Length: 150, dtype: bool In [12]: # removing records whose target value is 2 df_copy = df[df['target'] != 2] df_copy.head() sepal length (cm) sepal width (cm) petal length (cm) petal width (cm) target Out[12]: 0 5.1 3.5 0 1.4 4.9 3.0 1.4 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 In [14]: df_copy['target'].unique() array([0, 1]) Out[14]: In [15]: df_copy.shape Out[15]: (100, 5) 1. Separating independent and dependent feature In [16]: x = df_copy.iloc[:,:-1] y = df_copy.iloc[:,-1] In [17]: print(x) print() print(y) sepal length (cm) sepal width (cm) petal length (cm) petal width (cm) 0.2 5.1 4.9 3.0 1.4 0.2 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 3 4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2 4 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 95 5.7 3.0 4.2 1.2 96 5.7 2.9 4.2 1.3 97 2.9 4.3 1.3 6.2 98 3.0 5.1 2.5 1.1 5.7 4.1 1.3 99 2.8 [100 rows x 4 columns] 0 0 0 3 0 95 1 96 1 97 1 98 1 99 1 Name: target, Length: 100, dtype: int32 2. Train Test Split of data from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split In [19]: x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x,y,test_size = 0.2,random_state = 42) In [20]: print('Length of our dataset is',len(df_copy)) print('Length of our train data is',len(x_train)) # Test data is 20% of actual data print('Length of our test datat is',len(x_test)) Length of our dataset is 100 Length of our train data is 80 Length of our test datat is 20 3. Model Training In [21]: **from** sklearn.linear_model **import** LogisticRegression In [22]: # intially let's not consider any parameters classification = LogisticRegression() classification LogisticRegression() Out[22] In [23]: classification.fit(x_train,y_train) LogisticRegression() 4. Predication In [24]: y_pred = classification.predict(x_test) y_pred array([1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0]) 5. Confusion Metrics, Accuracy, Classification Report In [25]: **from** sklearn.metrics **import** confusion_matrix, accuracy_score, classification_report In [26]: # y_test is actual data # y_pred is predicated data print('Confusion Metrics :') print(confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred)) Confusion Metrics : [[12 0] [0 8]] Observation: As FP and FN is 0 it is a very good model. In [27]: accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred) * 100 print(f'Accuracy : {accuracy} %') Accuracy : 100.0 % Observation: • As FP and FN is 0 Accuracy is 100% In [28]: print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred)) precision recall f1-score support 1.00 1.00 1.00 12 1.00 1.00 1.00 8 1.00 accuracy 1.00 1.00 20 macro avg weighted avg 1.00 1.00 **Cross Validation** CV is done by dividing training data internally into training and validation data and calculate accuracy Now, We have to apply logistic regression with cross validation and obtain multiple accuracy within the triaining data by spliting training data into training data and validation data. In [29]: from sklearn.model_selection import KFold In [30]: # by default number of split is 5 cv = KFold() CV KFold(n_splits=5, random_state=None, shuffle=False) Out[30]: Observation: · Cross Validation number of spilt will be 5. In [31]: from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score In [32]: # there are the scores all the cross validation cv_scores = cross_val_score(classification, x_train, y_train, scoring = 'accuracy', cv = cv) cv_scores array([1., 1., 1., 1., 1.]) Observation: • Here, for cros_value_score we give 'clasification' estimator then tell model to split data internally into train and validation using x_train, y_train then accuracy scoring is need then number of split is told by cv. In [34]: final_score = np.mean(cv_scores) # Now, we got the final cross validation score by doing average of cross validatino score final_score Out[34]: 1.0 One more example on Cross Validation In [35]: ## Lets see more complex data # make a prediction with a multinomial logistic regression model from sklearn.datasets import make_classification from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression X, y = make_classification(n_samples=1000, n_features=10, n_informative=5, n_redundant=5, n_classes=2, random_state=1) In [36]: X, y Out[36]: (array([[2.56999479, -0.13019997, 3.16075093, ..., -1.93094078, 3.26130366, 2.05692145], $[0.34129317, 2.51321418, -0.80416572, \ldots, 6.24734437,$ -1.92769365, 2.9503149], [2.27539972, 3.36561455, 0.17164362, ..., 2.74693781, 0.13492444, 2.00339547], [0.5234359 , 1.90466429, 0.93243365, ..., 1.53945231, 1.90646166, 1.99458587], [1.33747921, 3.25859684, 0.78792366, ..., 5.18788314, -0.82071083, 3.51411431], [-0.98534299, 0.83919047, 2.5820803, ..., 3.04705685, 0.66885641, 3.32838496]]), array([1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, $0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,$ 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0])) In [37]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.20, random_state=42) In [38]: complex_class_model = LogisticRegression(max_iter = 200) # model training complex_class_model.fit(X_train,y_train) LogisticRegression(max_iter=200) In [39]: y_pred_complex = complex_class_model.predict(X_test) # prediction y_pred_complex Out[39]: array([0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1]) In [40]: print(confusion_matrix(y_test,y_pred_complex)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred_complex)) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred_complex)) [[78 13] [29 80]] 0.79 recall f1-score support precision 0 0.73 0.86 0.79 91 1 0.86 0.73 0.79 109 0.79 200 accuracy 0.79 0.80 macro avg 0.79 200 0.80 0.79 200 weighted avg 0.79 Observation: • Here, we can see that there are more errors and accuracy is less i.e 79. In [41]: # Now, Can we improve accuracy using cross validation cv = KFold(n_splits = 5) cv_scores = cross_val_score(complex_class_model, X_train, y_train, scoring = 'accuracy', cv = cv) cv_scores array([0.80625, 0.78125, 0.79375, 0.8125 , 0.85625]) In [42]: # mean of cross validation scores final_scores = np.mean(cv_scores) final_scores 0.809999999999999 Observation: • For stack holder we have to tell we have got accuracy of maximum of 85% and minimum of 78%. We should avoid telling 80% is accuracy not a single value. Further this cross validation can be used to get best parameters