

Portage Park

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Portage Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Portage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	63,020	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	23,436	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.7	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-1.7	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-3.6	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	30,042	47.0	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	27,173	42.5	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1,048	1.6	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	3,799	5.9	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1,857	2.9	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Port	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	3,587	5.6	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	11,124	17.4	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	13,256	20.7	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	14,466	22.6	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	13,021	20.4	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	4,637	7.3	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	2,528	4.0	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	1,300	2.0	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	38.9		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	5,812	12.9	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	11,984	26.6	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	8,348	18.6	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	3,298	7.3	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	10,429	23.2	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	5,122	11.4	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Por	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	43,086	67.4	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	20,833	32.6	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Portag	Portage Park City of Chicago		CMAP	Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	26,705	44.3	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	20,310	33.7	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	6,986	11.6	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	188	0.3	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	2,291	3.8	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	1,020	1.7	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	50	0.1	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	879	1.5	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	1,586	2.6	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	317	0.5	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	33,627	55.7	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	13,796	22.9	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

^{*}For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

[&]quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	5,881	26.1	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	6,982	30.9	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	3,830	17.0	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	5,876	26.0	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	15,128	67.0	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	1,505	6.7	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	7,441	33.0	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Porta	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	3,521	15.6	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	4,176	18.5	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	3,467	15.4	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	3,468	15.4	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	3,987	17.7	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	3,950	17.5	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$75,869		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$33,413		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	20,487	90.8	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,346	6.0	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	2,082	9.2	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	19,428	86.1	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	18,993	84.2	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	3,141	13.9	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Porta	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	22,569	91.0	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	13,426	59.5	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	9,143	40.5	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	2,225	9.0	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Port	age Park	City of (Chicago	CMAP F	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	2,116	9.6	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	12	0.1	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	86	0.4	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	2,018	9.1	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	5,198	23.6	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	503	2.3	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	928	4.2	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	3,767	17.1	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	3,424	15.5	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	1,161	5.3	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	1,255	5.7	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	1,008	4.6	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	11,327	51.3	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	7,811	35.4	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	2,520	11.4	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	996	4.5	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Portage Park.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Port	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	11,093	44.7	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3	
Single Family, Attached	399	1.6	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4	
2 Units	5,363	21.6	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	3,555	14.3	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8	
5 to 9 Units	2,064	8.3	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	987	4.0	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4	
20 or More Units	1,312	5.3	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5	
Mobile Home/Other*	21	0.1	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Port	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	4,021	16.2	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	8,499	34.3	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	7,351	29.6	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	3,158	12.7	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	1,765	7.1	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.9		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Porta	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	862	3.5	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0	
Built 1970 to 1999	3,381	13.6	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4	
Built 1940 to 1969	6,562	26.5	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5	
Built Before 1940	13,989	56.4	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1	
Median Year Built	1934		1951		1969		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*&}quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Portage Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Port	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	2,474	11.0	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7	
1 Vehicle Available	9,395	41.6	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4	
2 Vehicles Available	7,670	34.0	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0	
3 or More Vehicles Available	3,030	13.4	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Portage Park		City of C	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	1,899	5.6	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2	
Drive Alone	21,789	64.7	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7	
Carpool	3,063	9.1	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7	
Transit	5,805	17.2	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4	
Walk or Bike	780	2.3	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7	
Other	328	1.0	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	31,765	94.4	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	37.6		34.7		32.2	_	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Portage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	14,621	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Portage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

^{*}Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

^{*}The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Portage Park.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Porta	Portage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	36,224	69.2	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3	
Employed ^{†*}	34,190	94.4	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6	
Unemployed*	2,003	5.5	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2	
Not in Labor Force	16,090	30.8	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Employment of Portage Park Residents*, 2019

Employment of Fortage Funk Res	idents / 2012	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	3,302	12.5
2. Retail Trade	2,900	10.9
3. Accommodation and Food Service	2,629	9.9
4. Manufacturing	2,360	8.9
5. Education	2,099	7.9
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	11,622	43.9
2. The Loop	4,139	15.6
3. Near North Side	1,789	6.8
4. Near West Side	1,190	4.5
5. Portage Park	894	3.4

Employment in Portage Park*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Retail Trade	1,884	24.5
2. Health Care	1,754	22.8
3. Accommodation and Food Service	923	12.0
4. Construction	546	7.1
5. Other Service	516	6.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	3,517	45.7
2. Portage Park	894	11.6
3. Belmont Cragin	423	5.5
4. Dunning	244	3.2
5. Norwood Park	201	2.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

^{*}Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Portage Park.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	937.1	37.0
Multi-Family Residential	401.6	15.9
Commercial	145.4	5.7
Industrial	22.3	0.9
Institutional	90.8	3.6
Mixed Use	41.7	1.6
Transportation and Other	811.4	32.1
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	70.1	2.8
Vacant	9.5	0.4
TOTAL	2,529.8	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	Portage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.15	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Portage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

^{*}Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

^{*}The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	69.5	53.5	47.0
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	23.0	37.7	42.5
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	1.9	1.6
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	3.8	4.8	5.9
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	3.2	2.1	2.9

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	26.3	23.0
20 to 34	22.2	20.7
35 to 49	22.9	22.6
50 to 64	18.0	20.4
65 and Over	10.7	13.2
Median Age	35.9	38.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	18.7	12.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	32.9	26.6
Some College, No Degree	17.3	18.6
Associate's Degree	8.3	7.3
Bachelor's Degree	14.8	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	8.0	11.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	66.2	67.4
Foreign Born	33.8	32.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population *Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020 Percent
	Percent	
English Only	44.1	44.3
Spanish	31.6	33.7
Slavic Languages	16.6	11.6
Chinese	0.4	0.3
Tagalog	2.7	3.8
Arabic	0.9	1.7
Korean	0.1	0.1
Other Asian Languages	0.4	1.5
Other Indo-European Languages	3.1	2.6
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.5
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	55.9	55.7
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	25.9	22.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^*}$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	25.8	26.1
2-Person Household	28.4	30.9
3-Person Household	17.7	17.0
4-or-More-Person Household	28.1	26.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	68.5	67.0
Single Parent with Child	10.2	6.7
Non-Family	31.5	33.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

-	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$62,547	\$75,869

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	90.5	91.0
Owner-Occupied*	57.1	59.5
Renter-Occupied*	42.9	40.5
Vacant Housing Units	9.5	9.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	15.1	9.6
Less than 20 Percent	0.1	0.1
20 to 29 Percent	0.9	0.4
30 Percent or More	14.1	9.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30.9	23.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.3	2.3
20 to 29 Percent	7.5	4.2
30 Percent or More	19.2	17.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	19.8	15.5
Less than 20 Percent	7.1	5.3
20 to 29 Percent	5.3	5.7
30 Percent or More	7.4	4.6
\$75,000 or More	32.3	51.3
Less than 20 Percent	17.5	35.4
20 to 29 Percent	8.4	11.4
30 Percent or More	6.3	4.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	41.3	44.7
Single Family, Attached	1.7	1.6
2 Units	24.2	21.6
3 or 4 Units	16.1	14.3
5 or More Units	16.5	17.6
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 * "Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020	
	Percent	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	18.5	16.2	
2 Bedrooms	33.4	34.3	
3 Bedrooms	32.1	29.6	
4 Bedrooms	10.9	12.7	
5 or More Bedrooms	5.0	7.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7	5.9	

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.2	3.5
Built 1970 to 1999	9.1	13.6
Built 1940 to 1969	30.8	26.5
Built Before 1940	57.9	56.4
Median Year Built	N/A	1934

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*}Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	13.9	11.0
1 Vehicle Available	40.9	41.6
2 Vehicles Available	31.0	34.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	14.2	13.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	3.1	5.6
Drive Alone	63.0	64.7
Carpool	12.6	9.1
Transit	17.0	17.2
Walk or Bike	3.8	2.3
Other	0.5	1.0
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.9	94.4
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	37.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Employment Status, Over Time


	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	70.9	69.2
Employed [†] *	89.3	94.4
Unemployed*	10.6	5.5
Not in Labor Force	29.1	30.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Portage Park		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	41.9%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	83.2%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.08	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	34.3%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

⁺Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.