


# **Ashburn**

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





## **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

#### **User Notes**

#### **Definitions**

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

#### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

#### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



## **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Ashburn.

### **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	41,098	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	12,909	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.1	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	0.0	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	3.8	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

Percent 10.1	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
10.1				rercent
	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
42.7	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
45.0	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
0.8	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
1.3	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5
	0.8	0.8 182,251	0.8 182,251 6.8	0.8 182,251 6.8 620,988

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

		Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	2,641	6.2	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	9,805	22.9	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	8,335	19.4	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	8,329	19.4	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	8,558	19.9	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	3,337	7.8	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	1,221	2.8	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	676	1.6	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	36.5		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



#### Educational Attainment\*, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than High School Diploma	4,876	17.8	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0	
High School Diploma or Equivalent	8,437	30.7	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4	
Some College, No Degree	5,983	21.8	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9	
Associate's Degree	2,610	9.5	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1	
Bachelor's Degree	3,555	12.9	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5	
<b>Graduate or Professional Degree</b>	2,005	7.3	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2016-2020

	A	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	35,478	82.7	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	7,424	17.3	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

#### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of C	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	24,539	60.9	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	13,944	34.6	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	192	0.5	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	82	0.2	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	138	0.3	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	545	1.4	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	106	0.3	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	512	1.3	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	203	0.5	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	15,722	39.1	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	4,786	11.9	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### Household Size, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,701	20.9	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	3,307	25.6	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	2,319	17.9	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	4,612	35.6	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Type, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	9,860	76.2	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	1,239	9.6	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	3,079	23.8	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, 2016-2020

	As	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	1,986	15.3	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	2,083	16.1	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,721	21.0	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2,174	16.8	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	2,457	19.0	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	1,518	11.7	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$71,951		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$25,905		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

#### Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of Cl	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
One or More Computing Devices	12,022	92.9	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7	
Smartphone(s) Only	998	7.7	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2	
No Computing Devices	917	7.1	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3	
Internet Access	11,432	88.4	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8	
Broadband Subscription	11,188	86.5	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2	
No Internet Access	1,507	11.6	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020**

	As	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	12,939	96.0	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	10,964	84.7	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	1,975	15.3	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	540	4.0	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units


## Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2016-2020

	As	Ashburn		City of Chicago		egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1,411	11.1	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	36	0.3	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	45	0.4	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	1,330	10.4	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	2,474	19.4	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	428	3.4	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	513	4.0	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	1,533	12.0	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,721	21.3	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	769	6.0	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	957	7.5	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	995	7.8	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	6,139	48.2	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	4,256	33.4	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	1,726	13.5	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	157	1.2	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



## **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Ashburn.

#### Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Α	shburn	City o	of Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	11,995	89.0	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	203	1.5	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4
2 Units	357	2.6	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	336	2.5	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	323	2.4	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	146	1.1	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	119	0.9	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2016-2020

	,	Ashburn	City of	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	620	4.6	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	2,142	15.9	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	7,275	54.0	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	2,483	18.4	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	959	7.1	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.5		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Housing Age, 2016-2020

	A	shburn	City of	Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	235	1.7	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	1,704	12.6	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	11,037	81.9	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	503	3.7	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1957		1951		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



## **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Ashburn.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Ashburn		City of	Chicago	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	869	6.7	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	4,116	31.8	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	4,880	37.7	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	3,074	23.8	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Α	shburn	City of C	hicago	CMAPI	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	801	4.3	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	12,929	69.2	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	1,955	10.5	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	2,601	13.9	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	90	0.5	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	311	1.7	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	17,886	95.7	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	39.7		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2017

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	17,837	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

<sup>\*</sup>Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



## **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Ashburn.

### **Employment Status, 2016-2020**

	As	Ashburn		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	21,425	64.9	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3	
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	19,181	89.5	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6	
Unemployed*	2,244	10.5	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2	
Not in Labor Force	11,590	35.1	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

### Employment of Ashburn Residents\*, 2019

zinpiojinoni or / ionouni reolucii	5 / 20 17	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,472	14.5
2. Education	1,977	11.6
3. Retail Trade	1,558	9.2
4. Accommodation and Food Service	1,430	8.4
5. Public Administration	1,428	8.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	7,307	42.9
2. The Loop	3,754	22.1
3. Near West Side	916	5.4
4. Near North Side	876	5.1
5. Ashburn	224	1.3

### Employment in Ashburn\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Utilities	774	18.6
2. Health Care	744	17.9
3. Retail Trade	721	17.3
4. Manufacturing	408	9.8
5. Accommodation and Food Service	350	8.4
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,352	56.6
2. Ashburn	224	5.4
3. Chicago Lawn	108	2.6
4. Auburn Gresham	107	2.6
5. Gage Park	61	1.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



#### **Land Use**

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Ashburn.

#### **General Land Use, 2015**

Acres	Percent
1,267.6	40.8
41.0	1.3
130.6	4.2
103.6	3.3
164.6	5.3
9.9	0.3
1,073.1	34.5
0.0	0.0
259.9	8.4
59.5	1.9
3,109.7	100.0
	1,267.6 41.0 130.6 103.6 164.6 9.9 1,073.1 0.0 259.9 59.5

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

#### Park Access, 2015

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.03	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Ashburn	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	83.6%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	16.4%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

<sup>\*</sup>Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



## **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	36.7	16.2	10.1
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	16.9	31.3	42.7
Black (Non-Hispanic)	43.1	50.6	45.0
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	1.1	0.8
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	2.3	0.8	1.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Age Cohorts, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	30.9	29.0
20 to 34	20.6	19.4
35 to 49	21.7	19.4
50 to 64	17.6	19.9
65 and Over	9.2	12.2
Median Age	33.9	36.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	18.3	17.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.6	30.7
Some College, No Degree	24.0	21.8
Associate's Degree	7.8	9.5
Bachelor's Degree	13.7	12.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	6.5	7.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



#### **Nativity, Over Time**

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	83.5	82.7
Foreign Born	16.5	17.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population \*Universe: Population 5 years and older

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	69.8	60.9
Spanish	26.6	34.6
Slavic Languages	0.6	0.5
Chinese	0.3	0.2
Tagalog	0.0	0.3
Arabic	1.2	1.4
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.5	0.3
Other Indo-European Languages	0.8	1.3
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.3	0.5
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	30.2	39.1
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	12.7	11.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $<sup>^*</sup>$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### **Household Size, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	18.5	20.9
2-Person Household	25.7	25.6
3-Person Household	20.2	17.9
4-or-More-Person Household	35.7	35.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### **Household Type, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	78.9	76.2
Single Parent with Child	11.0	9.6
Non-Family	21.1	23.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Income, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$74,418	\$71,951

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	95.8	96.0
Owner-Occupied*	88.7	84.7
Renter-Occupied*	11.3	15.3
Vacant Housing Units	4.2	4.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units



## Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	8.8	11.1
Less than 20 Percent	0.3	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	0.7	0.4
30 Percent or More	7.8	10.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	26.3	19.4
Less than 20 Percent	5.1	3.4
20 to 29 Percent	4.5	4.0
30 Percent or More	16.7	12.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.9	21.3
Less than 20 Percent	3.3	6.0
20 to 29 Percent	6.3	7.5
30 Percent or More	11.3	7.8
\$75,000 or More	41.2	48.2
Less than 20 Percent	23.2	33.4
20 to 29 Percent	12.9	13.5
30 Percent or More	5.2	1.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



#### **Housing Type, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	87.2	89.0
Single Family, Attached	0.5	1.5
2 Units	1.8	2.6
3 or 4 Units	5.3	2.5
5 or More Units	5.2	4.4
Mobile Home/Other*	0.1	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

#### **Housing Size, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020	
	Percent	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	2.7	4.6	
2 Bedrooms	16.6	15.9	
3 Bedrooms	57.4	54.0	
4 Bedrooms	18.0	18.4	
5 or More Bedrooms	5.3	7.1	
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4	6.5	

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$ 

### **Housing Age, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1.5	1.7
Built 1970 to 1999	14.2	12.6
Built 1940 to 1969	79.3	81.9
Built Before 1940	4.9	3.7
Median Year Built	N/A	1957

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>star}\text{Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.}$ 



### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020	
	Percent	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	7.3	6.7	
1 Vehicle Available	32.6	31.8	
2 Vehicles Available	42.5	37.7	
3 or More Vehicles Available	17.5	23.8	

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## **Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	2.2	4.3
Drive Alone	75.4	69.2
Carpool	8.1	10.5
Transit	13.2	13.9
Walk or Bike	0.8	0.5
Other	0.3	1.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.8	95.7
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	39.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

### **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	63.1	64.9
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	91.2	89.5
Unemployed*	8.8	10.5
Not in Labor Force	36.9	35.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

## Community Data Snapshot | Ashburn | ON TO 2050



### **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Ashburn	CN	CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	87.2%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	58.7%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	29.7%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	77.6%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	14.5%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.16	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	29.1%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

<sup>+</sup>Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.