

# Gage Park

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





# **About the Community Data Snapshots**

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

#### **User Notes**

#### **Definitions**

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

#### **Margins of Error**

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

#### **Regional Values**

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### **Median Values**

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



# **Population and Households**

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Gage Park.

# **General Population Characteristics, 2020**

	Gage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	39,540	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	10,353	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.8	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-0.9	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	0.9	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	1,260	3.6	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	32,113	91.0	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	1,649	4.7	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	250	0.7	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	28	0.1	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	(	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	2,903	8.2	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	8,182	23.2	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	9,522	27.0	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	6,185	17.5	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	4,914	13.9	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	2,283	6.5	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	976	2.8	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	335	0.9	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	29.3		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



#### Educational Attainment\*, 2016-2020

	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	6,774	32.6	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	8,337	40.1	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	2,852	13.7	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	1,027	4.9	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	1,562	7.5	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	221	1.1	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

### Nativity, 2016-2020

	G	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	22,715	64.3	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	12,585	35.7	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Gag	e Park	City of Chicago		CMAP I	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	5,385	16.6	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	26,724	82.5	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	73	0.2	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	73	0.2	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	61	0.2	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	80	0.2	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	1	0.0	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	27,012	83.4	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	11,393	35.2	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

<sup>&</sup>quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### Household Size, 2016-2020

	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,094	21.4	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	1,773	18.1	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	1,590	16.3	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	4,318	44.2	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

# Household Type, 2016-2020

	Gaş	Gage Park		Chicago	CMAP Region		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	7,333	75.0	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	1,274	13.0	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	2,442	25.0	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Household Income, 2016-2020

	Gag	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	2,764	28.3	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	2,921	29.9	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,658	17.0	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	852	8.7	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,064	10.9	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	516	5.3	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$42,271		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$16,155		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units \*Universe: Total population

### **Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020**

_	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	8,159	83.5	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,136	11.6	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,616	16.5	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	7,906	80.9	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	7,467	76.4	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	1,869	19.1	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020**

	Ga	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	9,775	91.0	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	5,249	53.7	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	4,526	46.3	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	962	9.0	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units


# Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2016-2020

	Gag	ge Park	City of C	Chicago	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1,572	16.4	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	167	1.7	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	121	1.3	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	1,284	13.4	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	3,951	41.1	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	699	7.3	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	934	9.7	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	2,318	24.1	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,658	17.2	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	603	6.3	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	510	5.3	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	545	5.7	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	2,432	25.3	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	2,019	21.0	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	307	3.2	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	106	1.1	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



# **Housing Characteristics**

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Gage Park.

#### Housing Type, 2016-2020

	G	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	5,063	47.2	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3	
Single Family, Attached	148	1.4	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4	
2 Units	2,846	26.5	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	1,730	16.1	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8	
5 to 9 Units	233	2.2	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	109	1.0	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4	
20 or More Units	447	4.2	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5	
Mobile Home/Other*	161	1.5	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

#### Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Ga	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	1,429	13.3	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	3,571	33.3	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	3,689	34.4	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	1,182	11.0	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	866	8.1	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

### Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Ga	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	157	1.5	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0	
Built 1970 to 1999	907	8.4	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4	
Built 1940 to 1969	4,715	43.9	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5	
Built Before 1940	4,958	46.2	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1	
Median Year Built	1943		1951		1969		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$ 

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



# **Transportation**

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Gage Park.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Gage Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	1,968	20.1	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	3,239	33.1	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	2,889	29.6	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,679	17.2	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Gage Park		City of C	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	218	1.7	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2	
Drive Alone	7,224	55.4	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7	
Carpool	2,195	16.8	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7	
Transit	2,725	20.9	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4	
Walk or Bike	583	4.5	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7	
Other	89	0.7	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	12,816	98.3	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	34.9		34.7		32.2		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

#### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2017

	Gage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	15,177	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Gage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

<sup>\*</sup>Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



# **Employment**

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Gage Park.

### **Employment Status, 2016-2020**

	Gage Park		City of Ch	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	16,179	61.2	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3	
Employed <sup>†</sup> *	13,550	83.8	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6	
Unemployed*	2,620	16.2	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2	
Not in Labor Force	10,278	38.8	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

### **Employment of Gage Park Residents\*, 2019**

	7 - 7 - 7	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,811	14.9
2. Accommodation and Food Service	1,447	11.9
3. Administration	1,367	11.3
4. Retail Trade	1,329	11.0
5. Health Care	1,280	10.6
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	5,347	44.1
2. The Loop	1,281	10.6
3. Near West Side	691	5.7
4. Near North Side	671	5.5
5. Gage Park	335	2.8

### **Employment in Gage Park\*, 2019**

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Administration	1,121	27.3
2. Wholesale Trade	899	21.9
3. Accommodation and Food Service	445	10.9
4. Retail Trade	418	10.2
5. Other Service	286	7.0
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	1,698	41.4
2. Gage Park	335	8.2
3. Chicago Lawn	172	4.2
4. South Lawndale	108	2.6
5. New City	102	2.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



#### **Land Use**

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Gage Park.

#### **General Land Use, 2015**

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	407.2	28.9
Multi-Family Residential	156.0	11.1
Commercial	62.0	4.4
Industrial	134.2	9.5
Institutional	97.4	6.9
Mixed Use	18.4	1.3
Transportation and Other	482.1	34.3
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	25.6	1.8
Vacant	24.1	1.7
TOTAL	1,406.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

#### Park Access, 2015

	Gage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	0.86	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Gage Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	97.7%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	2.3%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

<sup>\*</sup>Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

<sup>\*</sup>The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



# **Change Over Time**

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	12.3	5.2	3.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	79.3	89.2	91.0
Black (Non-Hispanic)	7.0	4.8	4.7
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.4	0.4	0.7
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	0.5	0.1

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Age Cohorts, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	38.4	31.4
20 to 34	25.7	27.0
35 to 49	19.4	17.5
50 to 64	10.2	13.9
65 and Over	6.3	10.2
Median Age	26.5	29.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### **Educational Attainment\*, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	54.1	32.6
High School Diploma or Equivalent	25.6	40.1
Some College, No Degree	11.1	13.7
Associate's Degree	3.2	4.9
Bachelor's Degree	5.2	7.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	0.9	1.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



#### **Nativity, Over Time**

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	48.8	64.3
Foreign Born	51.2	35.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population \*Universe: Population 5 years and older

### Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	14.3	16.6
Spanish	84.5	82.5
Slavic Languages	0.5	0.2
Chinese	0.1	0.2
Tagalog	0.0	0.2
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.1	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.3	0.2
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	85.7	83.4
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	47.6	35.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

<sup>\*</sup>For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "not well," or "not at all."



#### **Household Size, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	13.0	21.4
2-Person Household	15.8	18.1
3-Person Household	14.4	16.3
4-or-More-Person Household	56.7	44.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### **Household Type, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	83.6	75.0
Single Parent with Child	16.7	13.0
Non-Family	16.4	25.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Household Income, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$46,263	\$42,271

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

#### **Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	88.6	91.0
Owner-Occupied*	57.7	53.7
Renter-Occupied*	42.3	46.3
Vacant Housing Units	11.4	9.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units \*Universe: Occupied housing units



# Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	16.2	16.4
Less than 20 Percent	0.1	1.7
20 to 29 Percent	0.5	1.3
30 Percent or More	15.6	13.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	45.3	41.1
Less than 20 Percent	5.1	7.3
20 to 29 Percent	11.7	9.7
30 Percent or More	28.5	24.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.8	17.2
Less than 20 Percent	7.3	6.3
20 to 29 Percent	4.3	5.3
30 Percent or More	9.2	5.7
\$75,000 or More	15.9	25.3
Less than 20 Percent	10.0	21.0
20 to 29 Percent	5.4	3.2
30 Percent or More	0.5	1.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

<sup>\*</sup>Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



#### **Housing Type, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	44.0	47.2
Single Family, Attached	0.9	1.4
2 Units	31.0	26.5
3 or 4 Units	15.2	16.1
5 or More Units	8.3	7.3
Mobile Home/Other*	0.5	1.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

\*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

#### **Housing Size, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	10.7	13.3
2 Bedrooms	36.7	33.3
3 Bedrooms	34.7	34.4
4 Bedrooms	11.2	11.0
5 or More Bedrooms	6.7	8.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6	5.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$ 

### Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	0.7	1.5
Built 1970 to 1999	7.5	8.4
Built 1940 to 1969	39.5	43.9
Built Before 1940	52.3	46.2
Median Year Built	N/A	1943

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$ 



#### Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	13.3	20.1
1 Vehicle Available	37.7	33.1
2 Vehicles Available	34.4	29.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	14.5	17.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

# **Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	1.0	1.7
Drive Alone	58.7	55.4
Carpool	19.8	16.8
Transit	16.7	20.9
Walk or Bike	2.9	4.5
Other	0.9	0.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	99.0	98.3
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	34.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

### **Employment Status, Over Time**

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	64.8	61.2
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	86.0	83.8
Unemployed*	14.0	16.2
Not in Labor Force	35.2	38.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older \*Universe: In labor force

<sup>\*</sup>Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

# Community Data Snapshot | Gage Park | ON TO 2050



### **ON TO 2050 Indicators**

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Gage Park	CMAP Region		
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	80.8%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	13.5%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	73.3%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.10	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	43.9%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

<sup>+</sup>Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.