




Chicago Metropolitan
Agency for Planning

Brighton Park

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

July 2022 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick [survey](#)** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Brighton Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Brighton Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	45,053	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	13,592	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.3	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-0.7	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	0.3	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	3,163	7.4	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	34,130	79.9	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	579	1.4	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	4,517	10.6	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	339	0.8	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	3,068	7.2	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0
5 to 19	10,329	24.2	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2
20 to 34	10,584	24.8	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1
35 to 49	7,765	18.2	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0
50 to 64	7,031	16.5	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2
65 to 74	2,548	6.0	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4
75 to 84	1,064	2.5	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1
85 and Over	339	0.8	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9
Median Age	31.2		34.8		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	8,823	34.0	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	9,727	37.4	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	3,317	12.8	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	1,178	4.5	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	2,120	8.2	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	816	3.1	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	25,212	59.0	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	17,516	41.0	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	6,382	16.1	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	28,567	72.0	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	117	0.3	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	3,935	9.9	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	113	0.3	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	116	0.3	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	57	0.1	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	186	0.5	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	187	0.5	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	33,278	83.9	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	16,535	41.7	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,033	16.5	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	2,534	20.6	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	2,540	20.6	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	5,213	42.3	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	9,725	78.9	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	1,652	13.4	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	2,595	21.1	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	2,920	23.7	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3,812	30.9	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,202	17.9	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,450	11.8	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,297	10.5	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	639	5.2	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$45,782		\$62,097		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$18,313		\$39,068		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	10,764	87.4	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,869	15.2	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,556	12.6	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	10,261	83.3	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	9,832	79.8	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	2,059	16.7	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	12,320	90.9	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	6,170	50.1	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	6,150	49.9	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	1,239	9.1	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1,582	13.3	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	7	0.1	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	50	0.4	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	1,525	12.8	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	4,810	40.5	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	562	4.7	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	1,344	11.3	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	2,904	24.5	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,192	18.5	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	1,198	10.1	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	476	4.0	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	518	4.4	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	3,289	27.7	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	2,664	22.4	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	505	4.3	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	120	1.0	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Brighton Park.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	3,691	27.2	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	353	2.6	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4
2 Units	6,189	45.6	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	2,729	20.1	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	490	3.6	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	33	0.2	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	45	0.3	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	29	0.2	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	919	6.8	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	5,908	43.6	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	4,790	35.3	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	1,448	10.7	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	494	3.6	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	5.5		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	482	3.6	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	1,541	11.4	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	4,053	29.9	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	7,483	55.2	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1935		1951		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Brighton Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	1,770	14.4	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	4,991	40.5	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	3,885	31.5	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,674	13.6	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	526	3.1	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	9,363	54.7	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	3,210	18.8	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	3,240	18.9	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	611	3.6	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	154	0.9	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	16,578	96.9	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	32.7		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Brighton Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	13,024	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Brighton Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Brighton Park.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Brighton Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	20,086	63.0	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	17,925	89.2	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	2,143	10.7	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	11,811	37.0	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Employment of Brighton Park Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Accommodation and Food Service	1,898	14.1
2. Manufacturing	1,832	13.6
3. Health Care	1,456	10.8
4. Retail Trade	1,448	10.8
5. Administration	1,394	10.4
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	4,990	37.1
2. The Loop	1,661	12.3
3. Near North Side	900	6.7
4. Near West Side	894	6.6
5. Brighton Park	573	4.3

Employment in Brighton Park*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,449	25.8
2. Administration	862	15.3
3. Retail Trade	810	14.4
4. Other Service	599	10.6
5. Accommodation and Food Service	544	9.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,398	42.6
2. Brighton Park	573	10.2
3. Gage Park	214	3.8
4. South Lawndale	183	3.3
5. Chicago Lawn	150	2.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Brighton Park.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	245.6	14.1
Multi-Family Residential	305.0	17.5
Commercial	81.0	4.6
Industrial	266.3	15.3
Institutional	44.7	2.6
Mixed Use	27.1	1.6
Transportation and Other	689.4	39.6
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	8.0	0.5
Vacant	75.0	4.3
TOTAL	1,742.3	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Brighton Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	0.91	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Brighton Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	93.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	7.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	18.5	10.1	7.4
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	76.6	83.2	79.9
Black (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	1.0	1.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	2.9	5.3	10.6
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.5	0.4	0.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	36.7	31.4
20 to 34	26.9	24.8
35 to 49	18.1	18.2
50 to 64	12.3	16.5
65 and Over	6.1	9.2
Median Age	27.4	31.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	48.2	34.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.6	37.4
Some College, No Degree	10.3	12.8
Associate's Degree	3.1	4.5
Bachelor's Degree	7.5	8.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	1.3	3.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	49.8	59.0
Foreign Born	50.2	41.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	16.6	16.1
Spanish	75.3	72.0
Slavic Languages	1.8	0.3
Chinese	4.5	9.9
Tagalog	0.9	0.3
Arabic	0.1	0.3
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	0.8	0.5
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.5
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	83.4	83.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	46.5	41.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	14.1	16.5
2-Person Household	16.5	20.6
3-Person Household	19.3	20.6
4-or-More-Person Household	50.1	42.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	79.7	78.9
Single Parent with Child	15.1	13.4
Non-Family	20.3	21.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$46,723	\$45,782

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	86.6	90.9
Owner-Occupied*	47.1	50.1
Renter-Occupied*	52.9	49.9
Vacant Housing Units	13.4	9.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than \$20,000	22.3	13.3
Less than 20 Percent	0.2	0.1
20 to 29 Percent	1.2	0.4
30 Percent or More	20.9	12.8
\$20,000 to \$49,999	37.1	40.5
Less than 20 Percent	5.5	4.7
20 to 29 Percent	9.9	11.3
30 Percent or More	21.6	24.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.9	18.5
Less than 20 Percent	11.6	10.1
20 to 29 Percent	3.8	4.0
30 Percent or More	5.4	4.4
\$75,000 or More	17.2	27.7
Less than 20 Percent	10.4	22.4
20 to 29 Percent	5.3	4.3
30 Percent or More	1.6	1.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	26.4	27.2
Single Family, Attached	1.6	2.6
2 Units	42.4	45.6
3 or 4 Units	24.9	20.1
5 or More Units	4.1	4.2
Mobile Home/Other*	0.6	0.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	7.9	6.8
2 Bedrooms	44.9	43.6
3 Bedrooms	35.0	35.3
4 Bedrooms	7.6	10.7
5 or More Bedrooms	4.6	3.6
Median Number of Rooms*	5.4	5.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2.5	3.6
Built 1970 to 1999	6.7	11.4
Built 1940 to 1969	31.6	29.9
Built Before 1940	59.2	55.2
Median Year Built	N/A	1935

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	13.3	14.4
1 Vehicle Available	43.5	40.5
2 Vehicles Available	31.3	31.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	11.9	13.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	1.1	3.1
Drive Alone	58.5	54.7
Carpool	17.8	18.8
Transit	17.3	18.9
Walk or Bike	4.9	3.6
Other	0.4	0.9
TOTAL COMMUTERS	98.9	96.9
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	32.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
In Labor Force	65.6	63.0
Employed ^{†*}	88.8	89.2
Unemployed*	11.2	10.7
Not in Labor Force	34.4	37.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Brighton Park	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	94.2%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	83.7%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	15.8%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	74.5%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.10	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	44.4%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.