

East Garfield Park

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for East Garfield Park.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	East Garfield Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	19,992	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	7,828	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-2.8	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-4.3	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	1,074	5.9	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	863	4.8	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	15,340	84.9	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	159	0.9	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	639	3.5	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	East	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	1,393	7.7	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	3,925	21.7	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	4,316	23.9	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	3,470	19.2	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	2,991	16.5	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	1,089	6.0	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	733	4.1	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	158	0.9	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	32.4		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	2,362	20.8	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	3,348	29.5	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	2,771	24.4	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	742	6.5	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	1,332	11.7	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	805	7.1	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2016-2020

	East (East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	17,703	97.9	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	372	2.1	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of 0	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
English Only	15,879	95.2	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7	
Spanish	499	3.0	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3	
Slavic Languages	36	0.2	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5	
Chinese	17	0.1	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1	
Tagalog	18	0.1	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9	
Arabic	8	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8	
Korean	90	0.5	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4	
Other Asian Languages	34	0.2	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4	
Other Indo-European Languages	39	0.2	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1	
Other/Unspecified Languages	62	0.4	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7	
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	803	4.8	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3	
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	211	1.3	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

^{*}For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

[&]quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	2,854	41.6	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	1,597	23.3	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	1,081	15.8	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	1,323	19.3	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	3,827	55.8	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	1,502	21.9	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	3,028	44.2	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	East G	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	3,564	52.0	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,493	21.8	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	674	9.8	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	370	5.4	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	454	6.6	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	300	4.4	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$23,067		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$16,138		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	5,574	81.3	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,324	19.3	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,281	18.7	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	4,982	72.7	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	4,545	66.3	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	1,873	27.3	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	East Ga	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	6,855	83.3	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	1,630	23.8	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	5,225	76.2	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	1,378	16.7	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	East G	Garfield Park City of Chicago		CMAP	Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	2,659	42.8	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	144	2.3	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	253	4.1	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	2,262	36.4	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,815	29.2	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	185	3.0	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	352	5.7	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	1,278	20.6	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	654	10.5	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	199	3.2	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	302	4.9	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	153	2.5	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	1,078	17.4	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	692	11.2	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	273	4.4	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	113	1.8	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for East Garfield Park.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	671	8.2	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	354	4.3	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4
2 Units	2,266	27.5	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	2,562	31.1	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	860	10.4	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	349	4.2	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	1,160	14.1	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	11	0.1	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	East	East Garfield Park		f Chicago	CMAP	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,875	22.8	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	2,506	30.4	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	2,936	35.7	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	748	9.1	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	168	2.0	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.3		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1,134	13.8	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	1,926	23.4	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	1,292	15.7	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	3,881	47.1	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1945		1951		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*&}quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

^{*}Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for East Garfield Park.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	East Ga	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	3,257	47.5	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7	
1 Vehicle Available	2,566	37.4	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4	
2 Vehicles Available	768	11.2	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0	
3 or More Vehicles Available	264	3.9	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	East Garfield Park		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	146	2.5	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2	
Drive Alone	2,931	49.2	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7	
Carpool	685	11.5	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7	
Transit	1,819	30.5	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4	
Walk or Bike	331	5.6	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7	
Other	43	0.7	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	5,809	97.5	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	34.8		34.7		32.2		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	East Garfield Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	8,466	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	East Garfield Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

^{*}Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

^{*}The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for East Garfield Park.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	East Gar	East Garfield Park		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	7,447	54.8	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3	
Employed ^{†*}	6,034	81.0	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6	
Unemployed*	1,413	19.0	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2	
Not in Labor Force	6,143	45.2	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Employment of East Garfield Park Residents*, 2019

Employment of East Carnela Fark	itesiaents / Et	717
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	1,373	18.4
2. Administration	932	12.5
3. Retail Trade	747	10.0
4. Accommodation and Food Service	726	9.7
5. Education	662	8.9
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,775	37.3
2. The Loop	1,224	16.4
3. Near West Side	555	7.5
4. Near North Side	526	7.1
5. West Town	234	3.1

Employment in East Garfield Park*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	894	18.7
2. Accommodation and Food Service	837	17.5
3. Administration	609	12.8
4. Professional	513	10.8
5. Construction	512	10.7
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	2,174	45.6
2. Austin	167	3.5
3. East Garfield Park	125	2.6
4. Lake View	91	1.9
5. North Lawndale	85	1.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

^{*}Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for East Garfield Park.

General Land Use, 2015

Acres	Percent
58.4	4.7
208.4	16.8
38.5	3.1
75.2	6.1
82.5	6.7
8.9	0.7
412.0	33.3
0.0	0.0
183.2	14.8
169.9	13.7
1,237.0	100.0
	58.4 208.4 38.5 75.2 82.5 8.9 412.0 0.0 183.2 169.9

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	East Garfield Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	4.08	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	East Garfield Park	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

^{*}Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

^{*}The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	1.1	3.6	5.9
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	1.0	2.4	4.8
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.2	92.2	84.9
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.7	0.9
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.6	1.2	3.5

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	37.4	29.4
20 to 34	20.3	23.9
35 to 49	19.4	19.2
50 to 64	12.7	16.5
65 and Over	10.1	11.0
Median Age	28.9	32.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	26.2	20.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	30.9	29.5
Some College, No Degree	24.9	24.4
Associate's Degree	4.3	6.5
Bachelor's Degree	9.0	11.7
Graduate or Professional Degree	4.8	7.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	97.0	97.9
Foreign Born	3.0	2.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population *Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020 Percent
	Percent	
English Only	95.5	95.2
Spanish	2.8	3.0
Slavic Languages	0.1	0.2
Chinese	0.1	0.1
Tagalog	0.0	0.1
Arabic	0.2	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.2
Other Indo-European Languages	1.0	0.2
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.4	0.4
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	4.5	4.8
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	1.6	1.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^*}$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	32.4	41.6
2-Person Household	23.0	23.3
3-Person Household	15.7	15.8
4-or-More-Person Household	29.0	19.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	62.6	55.8
Single Parent with Child	27.4	21.9
Non-Family	37.4	44.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$29,156	\$23,067

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	74.2	83.3
Owner-Occupied*	29.2	23.8
Renter-Occupied*	70.8	76.2
Vacant Housing Units	25.8	16.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	36.3	42.8
Less than 20 Percent	0.8	2.3
20 to 29 Percent	3.2	4.1
30 Percent or More	32.3	36.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30.5	29.2
Less than 20 Percent	2.2	3.0
20 to 29 Percent	6.3	5.7
30 Percent or More	22.0	20.6
\$50,000 to \$74,999	11.9	10.5
Less than 20 Percent	4.9	3.2
20 to 29 Percent	2.8	4.9
30 Percent or More	4.3	2.5
\$75,000 or More	13.9	17.4
Less than 20 Percent	8.0	11.2
20 to 29 Percent	3.3	4.4
30 Percent or More	2.6	1.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	13.5	8.2
Single Family, Attached	3.7	4.3
2 Units	31.3	27.5
3 or 4 Units	24.3	31.1
5 or More Units	27.2	28.8
Mobile Home/Other*	-0.0	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	21.0	22.8
2 Bedrooms	28.5	30.4
3 Bedrooms	35.2	35.7
4 Bedrooms	10.8	9.1
5 or More Bedrooms	4.5	2.0
Median Number of Rooms*	5.6	5.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	9.6	13.8
Built 1970 to 1999	15.4	23.4
Built 1940 to 1969	18.3	15.7
Built Before 1940	56.7	47.1
Median Year Built	N/A	1945

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{*}}$ "Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

^{*}Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	37.4	47.5
1 Vehicle Available	41.3	37.4
2 Vehicles Available	16.5	11.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	4.8	3.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	3.4	2.5
Drive Alone	54.1	49.2
Carpool	3.6	11.5
Transit	32.0	30.5
Walk or Bike	5.2	5.6
Other	1.7	0.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.6	97.5
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	34.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	49.0	54.8
Employed ^{†*}	83.3	81.0
Unemployed*	16.3	19.0
Not in Labor Force	51.0	45.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

 $^{{}^{\}star}\text{Not}$ included in total commuters or mean commute time.



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		East Garfield Park		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	25.3%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	65.7%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment P	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	59.8%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.11	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	50.1%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

⁺Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.