




Roseland

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

July 2022 Release



About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick [survey](#)** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Roseland.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	38,816	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	15,080	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-13.0	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-26.4	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	608	1.5	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	521	1.3	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	37,764	95.3	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	106	0.3	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	624	1.6	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Under 5	2,323	5.9	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0
5 to 19	7,539	19.0	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2
20 to 34	7,897	19.9	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1
35 to 49	6,775	17.1	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0
50 to 64	8,242	20.8	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2
65 to 74	3,517	8.9	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4
75 to 84	2,327	5.9	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1
85 and Over	1,003	2.5	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9
Median Age	39.0		34.8		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	3,764	13.8	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	6,984	25.6	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	8,374	30.8	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	2,284	8.4	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,829	14.1	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,994	7.3	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	38,925	98.2	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	698	1.8	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	36,105	96.8	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	400	1.1	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	91	0.2	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	24	0.1	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	6	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	45	0.1	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	261	0.7	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	368	1.0	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1,195	3.2	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	340	0.9	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	4,713	34.3	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	3,486	25.4	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	2,295	16.7	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	3,243	23.6	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	8,467	61.6	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	2,180	15.9	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	5,270	38.4	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	4,214	30.7	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	3,330	24.2	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,437	17.7	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,619	11.8	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,508	11.0	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	629	4.6	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$44,041		\$62,097		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$20,782		\$39,068		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	11,745	85.5	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	2,310	16.8	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,992	14.5	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	10,525	76.6	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	10,264	74.7	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	3,212	23.4	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	13,737	79.5	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	7,261	52.9	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	6,476	47.1	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	3,539	20.5	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	2,806	21.6	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	51	0.4	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	134	1.0	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	2,621	20.2	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	4,063	31.3	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	728	5.6	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	841	6.5	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	2,494	19.2	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,414	18.6	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	949	7.3	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	1,018	7.8	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	447	3.4	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	3,705	28.5	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	2,835	21.8	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	777	6.0	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	93	0.7	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Roseland.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	10,258	59.4	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	1,467	8.5	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4
2 Units	2,500	14.5	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	1,209	7.0	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	865	5.0	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	252	1.5	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	657	3.8	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	68	0.4	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,766	10.2	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	5,079	29.4	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	6,759	39.1	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	2,624	15.2	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	1,048	6.1	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	771	4.5	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	1,607	9.3	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	9,526	55.1	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	5,372	31.1	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1949		1951		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Roseland.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3,360	24.5	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	5,837	42.5	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	3,288	23.9	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,252	9.1	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	846	6.1	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	7,855	57.1	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	1,315	9.6	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	3,381	24.6	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	205	1.5	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	159	1.2	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	12,915	93.9	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	42.6		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	13,113	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.

Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Roseland.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Roseland		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	17,529	54.9	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	14,206	81.0	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	3,323	19.0	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	14,407	45.1	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

Employment of Roseland Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,566	19.7
2. Education	1,413	10.8
3. Administration	1,392	10.7
4. Retail Trade	1,226	9.4
5. Transportation	1,178	9.0
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	5,207	39.9
2. The Loop	2,849	21.8
3. Near North Side	849	6.5
4. Near West Side	643	4.9
5. Hyde Park	215	1.6

Employment in Roseland*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	905	33.2
2. Education	830	30.5
3. Accommodation and Food Service	416	15.3
4. Retail Trade	271	10.0
5. Manufacturing	55	2.0
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	1,214	44.6
2. Roseland	207	7.6
3. West Pullman	111	4.1
4. Auburn Gresham	79	2.9
5. Morgan Park	58	2.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Roseland.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,133.5	36.8
Multi-Family Residential	179.8	5.8
Commercial	71.3	2.3
Industrial	10.3	0.3
Institutional	322.8	10.5
Mixed Use	15.8	0.5
Transportation and Other	1,125.3	36.5
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	70.3	2.3
Vacant	154.4	5.0
TOTAL	3,083.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

Park Access, 2015

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.36	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Roseland	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	99.4%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.6%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.5	0.6	1.5
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.7	0.6	1.3
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.8	97.7	95.3
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.1	0.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.9	1.1	1.6

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	29.4	24.9
20 to 34	16.4	19.9
35 to 49	20.6	17.1
50 to 64	17.7	20.8
65 and Over	15.8	17.3
Median Age	38.5	39.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	17.4	13.8
High School Diploma or Equivalent	29.4	25.6
Some College, No Degree	29.5	30.8
Associate's Degree	7.4	8.4
Bachelor's Degree	10.4	14.1
Graduate or Professional Degree	5.8	7.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	99.1	98.2
Foreign Born	0.9	1.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

*Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	98.5	96.8
Spanish	1.0	1.1
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.2
Chinese	0.0	0.1
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.1	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	0.3	0.7
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.1	1.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1.5	3.2
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	0.2	0.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	29.1	34.3
2-Person Household	26.0	25.4
3-Person Household	19.9	16.7
4-or-More-Person Household	25.0	23.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	67.3	61.6
Single Parent with Child	16.7	15.9
Non-Family	32.7	38.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$47,663	\$44,041

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	87.1	79.5
Owner-Occupied*	59.6	52.9
Renter-Occupied*	40.4	47.1
Vacant Housing Units	12.9	20.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than \$20,000	22.7	21.6
Less than 20 Percent	0.9	0.4
20 to 29 Percent	1.6	1.0
30 Percent or More	20.2	20.2
\$20,000 to \$49,999	34.9	31.3
Less than 20 Percent	4.8	5.6
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	6.5
30 Percent or More	23.6	19.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.0	18.6
Less than 20 Percent	7.9	7.3
20 to 29 Percent	6.8	7.8
30 Percent or More	5.3	3.4
\$75,000 or More	19.5	28.5
Less than 20 Percent	14.8	21.8
20 to 29 Percent	3.7	6.0
30 Percent or More	1.1	0.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	60.0	59.4
Single Family, Attached	5.9	8.5
2 Units	15.2	14.5
3 or 4 Units	8.6	7.0
5 or More Units	10.2	10.3
Mobile Home/Other*	0.2	0.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	8.5	10.2
2 Bedrooms	32.9	29.4
3 Bedrooms	36.6	39.1
4 Bedrooms	14.7	15.2
5 or More Bedrooms	7.3	6.1
Median Number of Rooms*	6.1	6.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	4.1	4.5
Built 1970 to 1999	6.3	9.3
Built 1940 to 1969	52.2	55.1
Built Before 1940	37.3	31.1
Median Year Built	N/A	1949

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	21.9	24.5
1 Vehicle Available	43.7	42.5
2 Vehicles Available	25.3	23.9
3 or More Vehicles Available	9.2	9.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	3.2	6.1
Drive Alone	56.8	57.1
Carpool	10.5	9.6
Transit	26.7	24.6
Walk or Bike	2.2	1.5
Other	0.5	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	96.8	93.9
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	42.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
In Labor Force	53.8	54.9
Employed ^{†*}	82.1	81.0
Unemployed*	17.8	19.0
Not in Labor Force	46.2	45.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

*Universe: In labor force

ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Roseland Current	CMAP Region		Source
			Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	99.5%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	98.2%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	29.8%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	70.8%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	22.7%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.13	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	41.8%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.