

Englewood

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Englewood.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Englewood	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	24,369	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	9,597	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.5	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-20.5	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-39.4	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	255	1.2	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	866	3.9	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	20,278	92.1	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	131	0.6	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	489	2.2	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	E	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	2,241	10.2	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	4,409	20.0	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	4,220	19.2	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	3,139	14.3	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	4,605	20.9	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	1,829	8.3	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	1,000	4.5	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	576	2.6	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	35.8		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	3,322	23.7	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	4,964	35.4	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	3,579	25.5	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	907	6.5	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	961	6.8	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	300	2.1	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Er	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	21,304	96.8	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	715	3.2	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Eng	lewood	City of C	hicago	CMAP F	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	18,605	94.1	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	780	3.9	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	6	0.0	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	6	0.0	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	58	0.3	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	14	0.1	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	78	0.4	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	231	1.2	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1,173	5.9	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	573	2.9	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

^{*}For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

[&]quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2016-2020

	Englewood		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
1-Person Household	4,224	46.1	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2	
2-Person Household	2,228	24.3	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9	
3-Person Household	1,134	12.4	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6	
4-or-More-Person Household	1,580	17.2	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Eng	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	4,601	50.2	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	1,788	19.5	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	4,565	49.8	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Eng	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	5,042	55.0	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	2,267	24.7	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,016	11.1	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	374	4.1	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	352	3.8	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	115	1.3	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$22,228		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$15,034		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	7,183	78.4	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	2,202	24.0	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	1,983	21.6	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	6,360	69.4	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	5,876	64.1	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	2,806	30.6	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Eng	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	9,166	67.2	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	2,195	23.9	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	6,971	76.1	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	4,474	32.8	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
3,424	41.6	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
147	1.8	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
370	4.5	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
2,907	35.3	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
3,022	36.7	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
448	5.4	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
869	10.5	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
1,705	20.7	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
979	11.9	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
501	6.1	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
228	2.8	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
250	3.0	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
813	9.9	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
661	8.0	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
120	1.5	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
32	0.4	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2
	3,424 147 370 2,907 3,022 448 869 1,705 979 501 228 250 813 661 120	3,424 41.6 147 1.8 370 4.5 2,907 35.3 3,022 36.7 448 5.4 869 10.5 1,705 20.7 979 11.9 501 6.1 228 2.8 250 3.0 813 9.9 661 8.0 120 1.5	3,424 41.6 165,308 147 1.8 4,844 370 4.5 14,479 2,907 35.3 145,985 3,022 36.7 255,166 448 5.4 28,496 869 10.5 54,032 1,705 20.7 172,638 979 11.9 163,117 501 6.1 48,579 228 2.8 59,617 250 3.0 54,921 813 9.9 459,408 661 8.0 307,816 120 1.5 115,388	3,424 41.6 165,308 15.8 147 1.8 4,844 0.5 370 4.5 14,479 1.4 2,907 35.3 145,985 14.0 3,022 36.7 255,166 24.5 448 5.4 28,496 2.7 869 10.5 54,032 5.2 1,705 20.7 172,638 16.6 979 11.9 163,117 15.6 501 6.1 48,579 4.7 228 2.8 59,617 5.7 250 3.0 54,921 5.3 813 9.9 459,408 44.0 661 8.0 307,816 29.5 120 1.5 115,388 11.1	3,424 41.6 165,308 15.8 329,533 147 1.8 4,844 0.5 8,809 370 4.5 14,479 1.4 23,934 2,907 35.3 145,985 14.0 296,790 3,022 36.7 255,166 24.5 658,312 448 5.4 28,496 2.7 76,378 869 10.5 54,032 5.2 137,095 1,705 20.7 172,638 16.6 444,839 979 11.9 163,117 15.6 490,893 501 6.1 48,579 4.7 150,576 228 2.8 59,617 5.7 174,242 250 3.0 54,921 5.3 166,075 813 9.9 459,408 44.0 1,594,882 661 8.0 307,816 29.5 1,074,238 120 1.5 115,388 11.1 392,538

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Englewood.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Eı	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	3,381	24.8	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3	
Single Family, Attached	317	2.3	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4	
2 Units	4,157	30.5	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	2,130	15.6	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8	
5 to 9 Units	943	6.9	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	325	2.4	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4	
20 or More Units	2,318	17.0	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5	
Mobile Home/Other*	69	0.5	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	En	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	2,578	18.9	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	4,570	33.5	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	4,472	32.8	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	1,417	10.4	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	603	4.4	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	En	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	1,304	9.6	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0	
Built 1970 to 1999	2,577	18.9	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4	
Built 1940 to 1969	4,086	30.0	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5	
Built Before 1940	5,673	41.6	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1	
Median Year Built	1948		1951		1969		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*&}quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Englewood.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	En	Englewood		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	4,454	48.6	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7	
1 Vehicle Available	3,288	35.9	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4	
2 Vehicles Available	1,065	11.6	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0	
3 or More Vehicles Available	359	3.9	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Englewood		City of C	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Work at Home*	378	6.3	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2	
Drive Alone	2,878	47.7	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7	
Carpool	382	6.3	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7	
Transit	2,102	34.8	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4	
Walk or Bike	161	2.7	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7	
Other	132	2.2	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4	
TOTAL COMMUTERS	5,655	93.7	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8	
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	37.8		34.7		32.2		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Englewood	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	7,287	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Englewood	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

^{*}Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

^{*}The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Englewood.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Eng	lewood	City of Ch	nicago	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	8,433	50.8	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3
Employed [†] *	6,329	75.1	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	2,104	24.9	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	8,167	49.2	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Employment of Englewood Residents*, 2019

Employment of Englewood Reside	7 20 17	
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	1,454	19.5
2. Administration	959	12.9
3. Retail Trade	803	10.8
4. Accommodation and Food Service	782	10.5
5. Transportation	684	9.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	3,045	40.9
2. The Loop	1,183	15.9
3. Near North Side	552	7.4
4. Near West Side	361	4.8
5. Near South Side	97	1.3
		-

Employment in Englewood*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	883	58.2
2. Retail Trade	195	12.8
3. Other Service	127	8.4
4. Education	108	7.1
5. Accommodation and Food Service	91	6.0
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	553	36.4
2. Englewood	83	5.5
3. Auburn Gresham	57	3.8
4. Greater Grand Crossing	53	3.5
5. South Shore	49	3.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

 $^{^\}dagger Does$ not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

^{*}Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Englewood.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	232.2	11.8
Multi-Family Residential	300.9	15.3
Commercial	38.4	2.0
Industrial	13.5	0.7
Institutional	170.7	8.7
Mixed Use	12.8	0.7
Transportation and Other	711.6	36.2
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	43.9	2.2
Vacant	442.3	22.5
TOTAL	1,966.3	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	Englewood	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.35	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Englewood	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

^{*}Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

^{*}The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.4	0.6	1.2
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	0.9	0.4	3.9
Black (Non-Hispanic)	97.8	98.5	92.1
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.0	0.6
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.8	0.4	2.2

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	34.6	30.2
20 to 34	19.6	19.2
35 to 49	18.4	14.3
50 to 64	15.2	20.9
65 and Over	12.2	15.5
Median Age	30.7	35.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	29.4	23.7
High School Diploma or Equivalent	33.0	35.4
Some College, No Degree	24.8	25.5
Associate's Degree	6.0	6.5
Bachelor's Degree	4.7	6.8
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.1	2.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

 $^{^{\}star}$ Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	99.2	96.8
Foreign Born	0.8	3.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population *Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	98.6	94.1
Spanish	0.7	3.9
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.3
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.1
Other Indo-European Languages	0.3	0.4
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.5	1.2
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	1.4	5.9
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	0.4	2.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^*}$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	30.7	46.1
2-Person Household	25.2	24.3
3-Person Household	16.5	12.4
4-or-More-Person Household	27.7	17.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	66.3	50.2
Single Parent with Child	25.2	19.5
Non-Family	33.7	49.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$23,497	\$22,228

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	73.4	67.2
Owner-Occupied*	31.8	23.9
Renter-Occupied*	68.2	76.1
Vacant Housing Units	26.6	32.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020 Percent
	Percent	
Less than \$20,000	41.1	41.6
Less than 20 Percent	2.5	1.8
20 to 29 Percent	4.3	4.5
30 Percent or More	34.3	35.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	27.9	36.7
Less than 20 Percent	4.3	5.4
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	10.5
30 Percent or More	17.1	20.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	10.1	11.9
Less than 20 Percent	5.6	6.1
20 to 29 Percent	3.1	2.8
30 Percent or More	1.4	3.0
\$75,000 or More	10.8	9.9
Less than 20 Percent	7.8	8.0
20 to 29 Percent	2.3	1.5
30 Percent or More	0.7	0.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	22.2	24.8
Single Family, Attached	2.6	2.3
2 Units	36.4	30.5
3 or 4 Units	15.4	15.6
5 or More Units	22.8	26.3
Mobile Home/Other*	0.6	0.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020	
	Percent	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	16.6	18.9	
2 Bedrooms	29.4	33.5	
3 Bedrooms	34.5	32.8	
4 Bedrooms	12.6	10.4	
5 or More Bedrooms	6.9	4.4	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.9	5.7	

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	5.6	9.6
Built 1970 to 1999	15.6	18.9
Built 1940 to 1969	29.6	30.0
Built Before 1940	49.3	41.6
Median Year Built	N/A	1948

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{*}}$ "Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	49.3	48.6
1 Vehicle Available	33.9	35.9
2 Vehicles Available	14.4	11.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	2.4	3.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	2.9	6.3
Drive Alone	46.2	47.7
Carpool	10.6	6.3
Transit	38.1	34.8
Walk or Bike	2.0	2.7
Other	0.2	2.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.1	93.7
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	37.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	46.7	50.8
Employed ^{†*}	78.5	75.1
Unemployed*	21.2	24.9
Not in Labor Force	53.3	49.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

 $^{{}^{\}star}\text{Not}$ included in total commuters or mean commute time.

Community Data Snapshot | Englewood | ON TO 2050



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Englewood		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	15.4%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	62.9%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment P	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	8.2%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.13	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	50.1%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

⁺Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.