


South Lawndale

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for South Lawndale.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	South Lawndale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	71,399	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	20,069	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	3.3	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	-9.9	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-21.6	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	3,884	5.5	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	56,749	80.8	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
Black (Non-Hispanic)	9,103	13.0	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	260	0.4	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	239	0.3	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.


Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	South	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	4,361	6.2	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	14,520	20.7	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	19,649	28.0	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	14,199	20.2	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	10,094	14.4	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	4,727	6.7	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	1,930	2.7	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	755	1.1	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	32.4		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	18,343	41.1	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	14,557	32.6	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	5,434	12.2	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	1,514	3.4	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	3,751	8.4	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,073	2.4	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2016-2020

	Sout	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	44,445	63.3	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	25,790	36.7	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of C	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
English Only	16,000	24.3	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7	
Spanish	49,466	75.1	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3	
Slavic Languages	117	0.2	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5	
Chinese	86	0.1	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1	
Tagalog	7	0.0	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9	
Arabic	4	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8	
Korean	11	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4	
Other Asian Languages	118	0.2	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4	
Other Indo-European Languages	55	0.1	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1	
Other/Unspecified Languages	10	0.0	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7	
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	49,874	75.7	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3	
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	24,266	36.8	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

^{*}For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

[&]quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	4,157	22.2	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	4,949	26.4	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	2,823	15.1	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	6,788	36.3	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	13,444	71.8	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	2,584	13.8	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	5,273	28.2	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	South	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	5,186	27.7	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6,993	37.4	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,860	15.3	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,615	8.6	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,449	7.7	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	614	3.3	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$36,920		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$14,234		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.


Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	15,446	82.5	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	3,653	19.5	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	3,271	17.5	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	14,328	76.6	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	13,693	73.2	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	4,389	23.4	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	18,717	88.9	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	7,401	39.5	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	11,316	60.5	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8
Vacant Housing Units	2,335	11.1	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	South	Lawndale	City of (Chicago	CMAP I	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	3,026	16.6	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	39	0.2	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	163	0.9	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	2,824	15.5	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	8,676	47.6	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	859	4.7	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	2,533	13.9	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	5,284	29.0	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,860	15.7	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	1,460	8.0	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	853	4.7	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	547	3.0	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	3,646	20.0	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	2,901	15.9	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	698	3.8	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	47	0.3	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for South Lawndale.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Sou	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	4,359	20.7	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3	
Single Family, Attached	312	1.5	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4	
2 Units	7,191	34.2	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	6,371	30.3	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8	
5 to 9 Units	1,912	9.1	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	35	0.2	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4	
20 or More Units	592	2.8	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5	
Mobile Home/Other*	280	1.3	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	South I	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	2,585	12.3	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	11,381	54.1	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	4,929	23.4	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	1,429	6.8	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	728	3.5	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.1		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	South I	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	335	1.6	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0	
Built 1970 to 1999	3,376	16.0	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4	
Built 1940 to 1969	4,696	22.3	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5	
Built Before 1940	12,645	60.1	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1	
Median Year Built	1932		1951		1969		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*&}quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for South Lawndale.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	South	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	3,762	20.1	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7	
1 Vehicle Available	8,565	45.8	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4	
2 Vehicles Available	4,335	23.2	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0	
3 or More Vehicles Available	2,055	11.0	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	South I	awndale	City of (Chicago	CMAP	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	922	3.8	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	12,880	52.7	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	4,197	17.2	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	4,724	19.3	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	1,512	6.2	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	204	0.8	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	23,517	96.2	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	36.5		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	South Lawndale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	11,382	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	South Lawndale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

^{*}Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

^{*}The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for South Lawndale.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	South La	South Lawndale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
In Labor Force	27,170	49.0	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3	
Employed ^{†*}	24,779	91.2	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6	
Unemployed*	2,391	8.8	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2	
Not in Labor Force	28,232	51.0	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Employment of South Lawndale Residents*, 2019

1 2		
TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	2,576	14.8
2. Administration	2,225	12.8
3. Accommodation and Food Service	2,003	11.5
4. Retail Trade	1,894	10.9
5. Health Care	1,888	10.8
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	7,706	44.3
2. The Loop	1,931	11.1
3. Near West Side	982	5.6
4. Near North Side	916	5.3
5. South Lawndale	807	4.6

Employment in South Lawndale*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	2,239	21.2
2. Manufacturing	1,806	17.1
3. Transportation	1,686	15.9
4. Accommodation and Food Service	1,188	11.2
5. Retail Trade	1,002	9.5
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	4,864	46.0
2. South Lawndale	807	7.6
3. Austin	204	1.9
4. West Lawn	188	1.8
5. Chicago Lawn	182	1.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

^{*}Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for South Lawndale.

General Land Use, 2015

Acres	Percent
237.5	8.1
436.9	14.9
104.0	3.5
474.6	16.2
318.2	10.8
43.9	1.5
1,058.1	36.0
0.0	0.0
47.5	1.6
217.8	7.4
2,938.4	100.0
	237.5 436.9 104.0 474.6 318.2 43.9 1,058.1 0.0 47.5 217.8

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	South Lawndale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.60	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	South Lawndale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	95.7%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	1.9%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	2.4%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

^{*}Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

^{*}The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	3.5	4.4	5.5
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	83.0	80.9	80.8
Black (Non-Hispanic)	12.9	14.5	13.0
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.0	0.4
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0.4	0.1	0.3

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	32.9	26.9
20 to 34	31.5	28.0
35 to 49	20.3	20.2
50 to 64	10.9	14.4
65 and Over	4.4	10.6
Median Age	27.5	32.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	58.7	41.1
High School Diploma or Equivalent	25.3	32.6
Some College, No Degree	8.6	12.2
Associate's Degree	2.2	3.4
Bachelor's Degree	4.2	8.4
Graduate or Professional Degree	1.0	2.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

Universe: Population 25 years and older



Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	53.3	63.3
Foreign Born	46.7	36.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population *Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	23.5	24.3
Spanish	76.2	75.1
Slavic Languages	0.2	0.2
Chinese	0.0	0.1
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.2
Other Indo-European Languages	0.1	0.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	76.5	75.7
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	43.5	36.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^*}$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	13.6	22.2
2-Person Household	17.1	26.4
3-Person Household	17.3	15.1
4-or-More-Person Household	52.0	36.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	82.2	71.8
Single Parent with Child	17.8	13.8
Non-Family	17.8	28.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$40,037	\$36,920

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	77.7	88.9
Owner-Occupied*	37.6	39.5
Renter-Occupied*	62.4	60.5
Vacant Housing Units	22.3	11.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	24.2	16.6
Less than 20 Percent	0.1	0.2
20 to 29 Percent	1.1	0.9
30 Percent or More	22.9	15.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	43.7	47.6
Less than 20 Percent	8.6	4.7
20 to 29 Percent	10.6	13.9
30 Percent or More	24.5	29.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	14.2	15.7
Less than 20 Percent	6.9	8.0
20 to 29 Percent	2.7	4.7
30 Percent or More	4.6	3.0
\$75,000 or More	14.4	20.0
Less than 20 Percent	9.9	15.9
20 to 29 Percent	3.0	3.8
30 Percent or More	1.5	0.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	17.0	20.7
Single Family, Attached	1.2	1.5
2 Units	38.2	34.2
3 or 4 Units	32.1	30.3
5 or More Units	11.5	12.1
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	1.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	9.8	12.3
2 Bedrooms	52.5	54.1
3 Bedrooms	28.3	23.4
4 Bedrooms	5.4	6.8
5 or More Bedrooms	4.0	3.5
Median Number of Rooms*	5.0	5.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	0.7	1.6
Built 1970 to 1999	5.6	16.0
Built 1940 to 1969	31.9	22.3
Built Before 1940	61.8	60.1
Median Year Built	N/A	1932

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	18.7	20.1
1 Vehicle Available	43.7	45.8
2 Vehicles Available	28.4	23.2
3 or More Vehicles Available	9.2	11.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	2.6	3.8
Drive Alone	51.2	52.7
Carpool	23.7	17.2
Transit	14.7	19.3
Walk or Bike	7.2	6.2
Other	0.7	0.8
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.4	96.2
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	36.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Employment Status, Over Time

	2004 2010	2014 2020
	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	51.8	49.0
Employed [†] *	88.4	91.2
Unemployed*	11.4	8.8
Not in Labor Force	48.2	51.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		South Lawndale		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	99.8%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	74.7%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	14.2%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	57.1%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment Population with park acceacres per 1,000 residents acres per 1,000 residents	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.11	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	46.5%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

⁺Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.