


Riverdale

Community Data Snapshot Chicago Community Area Series July 2022 Release





About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots. The underlying data can be downloaded from the CMAP Data Hub. Please direct any inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov.

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick survey** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

User Notes

Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the ACS subject definitions.

Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the ACS sample size and data quality methodology.

Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the grouped frequency distributions reported in the ACS.



Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Riverdale.

General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Riverdale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Total Population	7,262	2,746,388	8,577,735
Total Households	2,523	1,142,725	3,266,741
Average Household Size	2.9	2.4	2.6
Percent Population Change, 2010-20	12.0	1.9	1.7
Percent Population Change, 2000-20	-26.0	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
White (Non-Hispanic)	72	1.0	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6	
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	271	3.7	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1	
Black (Non-Hispanic)	7,071	95.4	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5	
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3	
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	0	0.0	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

		Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Under 5	851	11.5	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0	
5 to 19	1,947	26.3	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2	
20 to 34	2,254	30.4	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1	
35 to 49	1,033	13.9	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0	
50 to 64	895	12.1	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2	
65 to 74	287	3.9	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4	
75 to 84	85	1.1	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1	
85 and Over	62	0.8	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9	
Median Age	25.9		34.8		37.7		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population



Educational Attainment*, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	534	13.9	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	1,172	30.5	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	1,644	42.8	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	239	6.2	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	127	3.3	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	126	3.3	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

Nativity, 2016-2020

		Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Native	7,354	99.2	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0	
Foreign Born	60	0.8	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Riverdale City of Chicago		CMAP R	Region		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	6,357	96.9	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	185	2.8	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	9	0.1	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	0	0.0	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	12	0.2	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	206	3.1	899,400	35.5	2,483,399	31.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	12	0.2	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^{\}star}\text{Highest}$ degree or level of school completed by an individual.

^{*}For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

[&]quot;very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	893	32.4	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	748	27.1	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	501	18.2	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	615	22.3	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Family	1,769	64.2	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3	
Single Parent with Child	1,032	37.4	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0	
Non-Family	988	35.8	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, 2016-2020

	Riv	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Less than \$25,000	1,580	57.3	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1	
\$25,000 to \$49,999	793	28.8	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	144	5.2	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	60	2.2	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	124	4.5	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6	
\$150,000 and Over	56	2.0	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4	
Median Income	\$19,677		\$62,097		\$76,606		
Per Capita Income*	\$14,176		\$39,068		\$40,601		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units *Universe: Total population

Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	2,396	86.9	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	1,038	37.6	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	361	13.1	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	2,250	81.6	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	2,225	80.7	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	507	18.4	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Occupied Housing Units	2,757	86.4	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2	
Owner-Occupied*	232	8.4	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2	
Renter-Occupied*	2,525	91.6	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8	
Vacant Housing Units	434	13.6	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, 2016-2020

	Ri	verdale	City of C	Chicago	CMAP R	egion
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$20,000	928	41.1	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	102	4.5	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	192	8.5	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	634	28.1	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	967	42.9	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	426	18.9	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	221	9.8	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	320	14.2	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	144	6.4	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	115	5.1	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	0	0.0	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	29	1.3	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
\$75,000 or More	217	9.6	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	208	9.2	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	9	0.4	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	0	0.0	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Riverdale.

Housing Type, 2016-2020

	ı	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Single Family, Detached	607	19.0	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3	
Single Family, Attached	1,636	51.3	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4	
2 Units	57	1.8	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9	
3 or 4 Units	108	3.4	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8	
5 to 9 Units	457	14.3	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8	
10 to 19 Units	268	8.4	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4	
20 or More Units	58	1.8	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5	
Mobile Home/Other*	0	0.0	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, 2016-2020

	R	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
O or 1 Bedroom	565	17.7	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1	
2 Bedrooms	1,098	34.4	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0	
3 Bedrooms	1,108	34.7	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3	
4 Bedrooms	394	12.3	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6	
5 or More Bedrooms	26	0.8	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0	
Median Number of Rooms*	5.1		4.8		6.0		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Housing Age, 2016-2020

	R	Riverdale		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Built 2000 or Later	125	3.9	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0	
Built 1970 to 1999	441	13.8	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4	
Built 1940 to 1969	2,453	76.9	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5	
Built Before 1940	172	5.4	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1	
Median Year Built	1949		1951		1969		

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

^{*&}quot;Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

^{*}Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls. Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.



Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Riverdale.

Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of	City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
No Vehicle Available	1,252	45.4	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7	
1 Vehicle Available	1,234	44.8	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4	
2 Vehicles Available	180	6.5	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0	
3 or More Vehicles Available	91	3.3	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	R	iverdale	City of C	hicago	CMAPI	Region
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	16	0.8	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	938	46.5	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	194	9.6	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	752	37.3	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	65	3.2	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	52	2.6	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	2,001	99.2	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	46.3		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household*, 2017

	Riverdale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	8,003	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2017

	Riverdale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	1.7%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	97.9%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.4%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 Transit Availability Index.

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

^{*}Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

^{*}The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Riverdale.

Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Riverdale		City of Ch	icago	CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	3,027	60.1	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3
Employed [†] *	2,123	70.1	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	904	29.9	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2
Not in Labor Force	2,009	39.9	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

Employment of Riverdale Residents*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Health Care	416	21.4
2. Retail Trade	236	12.1
3. Administration	219	11.3
4. Accommodation and Food Service	204	10.5
5. Transportation	198	10.2
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	845	43.5
2. The Loop	279	14.4
3. Near North Side	110	5.7
4. Near West Side	81	4.2
5. Douglas	46	2.4

Employment in Riverdale*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Utilities	254	36.0
2. Transportation	190	27.0
3. Health Care	60	8.5
4. Manufacturing	44	6.2
5. Education	37	5.2
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	473	67.1
2. Roseland	13	1.8
3. Auburn Gresham	12	1.7
4. South Shore	11	1.6
5. Greater Grand Crossing	10	1.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

^{*}Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.



Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Riverdale.

General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	221.8	9.8
Multi-Family Residential	49.9	2.2
Commercial	29.4	1.3
Industrial	252.3	11.2
Institutional	58.5	2.6
Mixed Use	0.8	0.0
Transportation and Other	1,143.8	50.6
Agricultural	6.1	0.3
Open Space	269.2	11.9
Vacant	226.9	10.0
TOTAL	2,258.7	100.0
	·	

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Land Use Inventory.

Park Access, 2015

	Riverdale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	4.00	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 Park Access Layer.

Walkability of Resident and Job Locations*, 2018

	Riverdale	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Walkability	0.0%	96.4%	44.7%
Moderate Walkability	33.3%	1.8%	24.8%
Low Walkability	66.7%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 Walkability Layer.

^{*}Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

^{*}The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.



Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent	Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	0.7	0.3	1.0
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	1.6	1.3	3.7
Black (Non-Hispanic)	96.6	97.7	95.4
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	0.1	0.7	0.0
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	0.0	0.0

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
19 and Under	45.6	37.7
20 to 34	21.7	30.4
35 to 49	17.2	13.9
50 to 64	8.7	12.1
65 and Over	6.8	5.9
Median Age	22.5	25.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

Educational Attainment*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	24.6	13.9
High School Diploma or Equivalent	32.0	30.5
Some College, No Degree	27.8	42.8
Associate's Degree	9.9	6.2
Bachelor's Degree	5.2	3.3
Graduate or Professional Degree	0.5	3.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

^{*}Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.



Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Native	98.8	99.2
Foreign Born	1.2	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population *Universe: Population 5 years and older

Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
English Only	96.2	96.9
Spanish	2.8	2.8
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.1
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.5	0.0
Arabic	0.5	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	0.0
Other/Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.2
TOTAL NON-ENGLISH	3.8	3.1
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	1.1	0.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

 $^{^*}$ For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."



Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
1-Person Household	26.6	32.4
2-Person Household	29.6	27.1
3-Person Household	15.5	18.2
4-or-More-Person Household	28.2	22.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Family	72.4	64.2
Single Parent with Child	44.0	37.4
Non-Family	27.6	35.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	(2020 Dollars)	(2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$16,320	\$19,677

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	60.1	86.4
Owner-Occupied*	15.7	8.4
Renter-Occupied*	84.3	91.6
Vacant Housing Units	39.9	13.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units *Universe: Occupied housing units



Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income*, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	50.9	41.1
Less than 20 Percent	6.0	4.5
20 to 29 Percent	10.7	8.5
30 Percent or More	34.2	28.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	23.2	42.9
Less than 20 Percent	6.0	18.9
20 to 29 Percent	6.5	9.8
30 Percent or More	10.7	14.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	9.2	6.4
Less than 20 Percent	6.3	5.1
20 to 29 Percent	2.9	0.0
30 Percent or More	0.0	1.3
\$75,000 or More	4.5	9.6
Less than 20 Percent	4.5	9.2
20 to 29 Percent	0.0	0.4
30 Percent or More	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

^{*}Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.



Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 2016-202	
	2006-2010	2010-2020
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	17.6	19.0
Single Family, Attached	53.8	51.3
2 Units	1.7	1.8
3 or 4 Units	9.5	3.4
5 or More Units	17.3	24.5
Mobile Home/Other*	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

Universe: Housing units

Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
O or 1 Bedroom	13.8	17.7
2 Bedrooms	42.4	34.4
3 Bedrooms	33.2	34.7
4 Bedrooms	9.6	12.3
5 or More Bedrooms	0.9	0.8
Median Number of Rooms*	5.0	5.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 ${\sf Excludes\ bathrooms,\ porches,\ balconies,\ foyers,\ halls,\ and\ unfinished\ basements.}$

Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.5	3.9
Built 1970 to 1999	9.6	13.8
Built 1940 to 1969	74.6	76.9
Built Before 1940	12.2	5.4
Median Year Built	N/A	1949

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

 $^{^{\}star} lncludes\ living\ rooms,\ dining\ rooms,\ kitchens,\ bedrooms,\ etc.,\ that\ are\ separated\ by\ built-in,\ floor-to-ceiling\ walls.$



Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	42.2	45.4
1 Vehicle Available	44.9	44.8
2 Vehicles Available	9.1	6.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	3.9	3.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	2.5	0.8
Drive Alone	40.5	46.5
Carpool	12.7	9.6
Transit	36.2	37.3
Walk or Bike	8.0	3.2
Other	0.0	2.6
TOTAL COMMUTERS	97.5	99.2
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	N/A	46.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	53.7	60.1
Employed ^{†*}	73.6	70.1
Unemployed*	26.4	29.9
Not in Labor Force	46.3	39.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older *Universe: In labor force

^{*}Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

 $^{^\}dagger \text{Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces}.$

Community Data Snapshot | Riverdale | ON TO 2050



ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

		Riverdale		CMAP Region	
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	Source
Plan Chapter	Indicator				
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Ducanavitu	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	12.8%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Prosperity	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	67.6%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016- 2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	55.5%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.43	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	1.3%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	4.1%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non- single occupancy vehicle modes	50.9%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016- 2020

⁺Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan to learn more.