




## Hermosa

Community Data Snapshot

Chicago Community Area Series

July 2022 Release



### About the Community Data Snapshots

The Community Data Snapshots is a series of data profiles for every county, municipality, and Chicago Community Area (CCA) within the [Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning \(CMAP\)](#) seven-county northeastern Illinois region. The snapshots primarily feature data from the American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates, although other data sources include the U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), HERE Technologies, and CMAP itself.

CMAP publishes updated Community Data Snapshots annually to reflect the most recent data available. The latest version can always be found at [cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots](https://cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots). The underlying data can be downloaded from the [CMAP Data Hub](#). Please direct any inquiries to [info@cmap.illinois.gov](mailto:info@cmap.illinois.gov).

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you! **Please take a quick [survey](#)** to describe how you use this data and what you would like to see in next year's snapshots.

### User Notes

#### Definitions

For data derived from the ACS, the Community Data Snapshots uses terminology based on the [ACS subject definitions](#).

#### Margins of Error

The ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population communities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimates. For more details, please refer to the [ACS sample size and data quality methodology](#).

#### Regional Values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for the seven counties that compose the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

#### Chicago Community Area (CCA) Values

CCA values are estimated by aggregating ACS data for census tracts and block groups. Data from tracts and block groups located in multiple CCAs is allocated proportionally based on the block-level distribution of population, households or housing units (as appropriate) from the most recent Decennial Census.

#### Median Values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas to estimate median values for those areas. Median values for the aggregated geographies (CCAs and the CMAP region) are estimated from the [grouped frequency distributions](#) reported in the ACS.

## Population and Households

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Hermosa.

### General Population Characteristics, 2020

	Hermosa	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Total Population</b>	24,062	2,746,388	8,577,735
<b>Total Households</b>	7,544	1,142,725	3,266,741
<b>Average Household Size</b>	3.2	2.4	2.6
<b>Percent Population Change, 2010-20</b>	-3.8	1.9	1.7
<b>Percent Population Change, 2000-20</b>	-10.6	-5.2	5.3

Source: 2000, 2010 and 2020 Census.

### Race and Ethnicity, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>White (Non-Hispanic)</b>	2,291	9.9	900,055	33.3	4,276,699	50.6
<b>Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)</b>	19,116	82.5	772,791	28.6	1,952,731	23.1
<b>Black (Non-Hispanic)</b>	1,046	4.5	776,470	28.8	1,391,837	16.5
<b>Asian (Non-Hispanic)</b>	534	2.3	182,251	6.8	620,988	7.3
<b>Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)</b>	176	0.8	67,780	2.5	209,283	2.5

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Under 5</b>	1,339	5.8	165,844	6.1	508,895	6.0
<b>5 to 19</b>	4,512	19.5	451,994	16.7	1,624,354	19.2
<b>20 to 34</b>	5,617	24.2	741,583	27.5	1,781,246	21.1
<b>35 to 49</b>	4,887	21.1	541,728	20.1	1,688,609	20.0
<b>50 to 64</b>	4,047	17.5	456,024	16.9	1,625,883	19.2
<b>65 to 74</b>	1,716	7.4	198,316	7.3	713,897	8.4
<b>75 to 84</b>	732	3.2	99,423	3.7	348,205	4.1
<b>85 and Over</b>	313	1.4	44,435	1.6	160,449	1.9
<b>Median Age</b>	35.3		34.8		37.7	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## Educational Attainment\*, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Diploma	4,630	30.2	265,839	14.1	635,735	11.0
High School Diploma or Equivalent	4,360	28.4	414,038	22.0	1,291,465	22.4
Some College, No Degree	2,537	16.5	322,959	17.2	1,092,322	18.9
Associate's Degree	816	5.3	106,626	5.7	407,241	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	2,214	14.4	452,360	24.0	1,412,295	24.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	778	5.1	321,195	17.1	934,423	16.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

## Nativity, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	14,481	62.5	2,152,712	79.7	6,847,148	81.0
Foreign Born	8,682	37.5	546,635	20.3	1,604,390	19.0

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

## Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	4,948	22.7	1,634,103	64.5	5,459,244	68.7
Spanish	16,136	73.9	600,655	23.7	1,449,991	18.3
Slavic Languages	249	1.1	73,414	2.9	278,826	3.5
Chinese	6	0.0	49,438	2.0	90,225	1.1
Tagalog	261	1.2	19,573	0.8	71,472	0.9
Arabic	79	0.4	16,451	0.6	62,859	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	7,940	0.3	35,656	0.4
Other Asian Languages	46	0.2	28,839	1.1	110,907	1.4
Other Indo-European Languages	82	0.4	76,940	3.0	326,948	4.1
Other/Unspecified Languages	17	0.1	26,150	1.0	56,515	0.7
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	<b>16,876</b>	<b>77.3</b>	<b>899,400</b>	<b>35.5</b>	<b>2,483,399</b>	<b>31.3</b>
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	8,080	37.0	365,227	14.4	925,362	11.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English

"very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

## Household Size, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1-Person Household	1,473	20.5	405,957	37.5	921,119	29.2
2-Person Household	1,955	27.2	322,635	29.8	975,488	30.9
3-Person Household	984	13.7	147,278	13.6	490,784	15.6
4-or-More-Person Household	2,766	38.5	205,273	19.0	765,988	24.3

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Type, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	5,165	72.0	565,754	52.3	2,029,180	64.3
Single Parent with Child	719	10.0	93,824	8.7	252,992	8.0
Non-Family	2,013	28.0	515,389	47.7	1,124,199	35.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Income, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	1,331	18.5	247,276	22.9	506,150	16.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,995	27.8	206,530	19.1	548,808	17.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,353	18.8	165,058	15.3	495,919	15.7
\$75,000 to \$99,999	826	11.5	124,195	11.5	401,768	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	968	13.5	155,086	14.3	556,161	17.6
\$150,000 and Over	705	9.8	182,998	16.9	644,573	20.4
Median Income	\$54,773		\$62,097		\$76,606	
Per Capita Income*	\$24,227		\$39,068		\$40,601	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Universe: Total population

## Household Computer and Internet Access, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
One or More Computing Devices	6,479	90.3	977,867	90.4	2,923,718	92.7
Smartphone(s) Only	717	10.0	104,809	9.7	226,403	7.2
No Computing Devices	699	9.7	103,276	9.6	229,661	7.3
Internet Access	6,167	85.9	934,161	86.4	2,832,841	89.8
Broadband Subscription	5,804	80.9	893,381	82.6	2,750,632	87.2
No Internet Access	1,011	14.1	146,982	13.6	320,538	10.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Housing Occupancy and Tenure, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Occupied Housing Units</b>	7,178	91.4	1,081,143	88.8	3,153,379	92.2
Owner-Occupied*	3,634	50.6	490,295	45.3	2,024,218	64.2
Renter-Occupied*	3,544	49.4	590,848	54.7	1,129,161	35.8
<b>Vacant Housing Units</b>	675	8.6	136,543	11.2	266,837	7.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

## Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	828	12.1	165,308	15.8	329,533	10.7
Less than 20 Percent	0	0.0	4,844	0.5	8,809	0.3
20 to 29 Percent	1	0.0	14,479	1.4	23,934	0.8
30 Percent or More	827	12.0	145,985	14.0	296,790	9.7
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	2,218	32.3	255,166	24.5	658,312	21.4
Less than 20 Percent	207	3.0	28,496	2.7	76,378	2.5
20 to 29 Percent	427	6.2	54,032	5.2	137,095	4.5
30 Percent or More	1,584	23.1	172,638	16.6	444,839	14.5
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	1,327	19.3	163,117	15.6	490,893	16.0
Less than 20 Percent	522	7.6	48,579	4.7	150,576	4.9
20 to 29 Percent	341	5.0	59,617	5.7	174,242	5.7
30 Percent or More	464	6.8	54,921	5.3	166,075	5.4
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	2,496	36.3	459,408	44.0	1,594,882	51.9
Less than 20 Percent	1,912	27.8	307,816	29.5	1,074,238	35.0
20 to 29 Percent	474	6.9	115,388	11.1	392,538	12.8
30 Percent or More	110	1.6	36,204	3.5	128,106	4.2

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

## Housing Characteristics

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Hermosa.

### Housing Type, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,255	28.7	317,493	26.1	1,719,774	50.3
Single Family, Attached	107	1.4	42,026	3.5	253,603	7.4
2 Units	2,401	30.6	173,819	14.3	237,178	6.9
3 or 4 Units	1,964	25.0	180,336	14.8	268,464	7.8
5 to 9 Units	691	8.8	140,855	11.6	266,933	7.8
10 to 19 Units	357	4.5	51,552	4.2	150,679	4.4
20 or More Units	63	0.8	308,532	25.3	494,837	14.5
Mobile Home/Other*	15	0.2	3,073	0.3	28,748	0.8

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*"Other" includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

### Housing Size, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	1,500	19.1	321,746	26.4	550,686	16.1
2 Bedrooms	3,001	38.2	417,167	34.3	958,392	28.0
3 Bedrooms	2,089	26.6	326,982	26.9	1,138,223	33.3
4 Bedrooms	773	9.8	105,831	8.7	600,758	17.6
5 or More Bedrooms	490	6.2	45,960	3.8	172,157	5.0
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7		4.8		6.0	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

### Housing Age, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	116	1.5	135,563	11.1	478,528	14.0
Built 1970 to 1999	558	7.1	214,292	17.6	1,175,262	34.4
Built 1940 to 1969	1,763	22.5	376,858	30.9	1,044,171	30.5
Built Before 1940	5,416	69.0	490,973	40.3	722,255	21.1
Median Year Built	1926		1951		1969	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

## Transportation

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, annual vehicle miles traveled, and transit availability for Hermosa.

### Vehicles Available per Household, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	866	12.1	289,649	26.8	399,153	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	2,591	36.1	474,104	43.9	1,116,123	35.4
2 Vehicles Available	2,471	34.4	237,371	22.0	1,103,633	35.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,250	17.4	80,019	7.4	534,470	16.9

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

### Mode of Travel to Work, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home*	484	4.1	113,226	8.5	342,653	8.2
Drive Alone	7,395	62.9	641,781	48.1	2,785,976	66.7
Carpool	1,316	11.2	101,651	7.6	321,528	7.7
Transit	2,016	17.1	348,730	26.2	517,952	12.4
Walk or Bike	432	3.7	101,842	7.6	153,729	3.7
Other	118	1.0	25,778	1.9	57,069	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	11,277	95.9	1,219,782	91.5	3,836,254	91.8
Mean Commute Time (Minutes)	35.5		34.7		32.2	

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

### Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled per Household\*, 2017

	Hermosa	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year	13,085	10,908	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of 2017 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, HERE Technologies, and U.S. Census Bureau data.

\*Data not available for all communities in the CMAP region.

### Transit Availability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2017

	Hermosa	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
High Transit Availability	100.0%	99.3%	53.9%
Moderate Transit Availability	0.0%	0.5%	20.6%
Low Transit Availability	0.0%	0.2%	25.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2017 [Transit Availability Index](#).

\*The CMAP Transit Availability Index is based on four factors: frequency of transit service, proximity to transit stops, activities reachable without a transfer, and pedestrian friendliness. This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Transit Availability Index category.



## Employment

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Hermosa.

### Employment Status, 2016-2020

	Hermosa		City of Chicago		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	12,769	68.2	1,482,449	67.2	4,546,688	67.3
Employed†*	12,010	94.1	1,361,632	91.9	4,254,806	93.6
Unemployed*	759	5.9	120,061	8.1	279,707	6.2
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	5,964	31.8	722,305	32.8	2,205,767	32.7

Source: 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force

### Employment of Hermosa Residents\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	1,060	12.8
2. Health Care	1,050	12.7
3. Accommodation and Food Service	978	11.8
4. Retail Trade	963	11.6
5. Administration	735	8.9
TOP EMPLOYMENT LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	3,241	39.2
2. The Loop	985	11.9
3. Near North Side	573	6.9
4. Near West Side	412	5.0
5. West Town	296	3.6

### Employment in Hermosa\*, 2019

TOP INDUSTRY SECTORS	Count	Percent
1. Manufacturing	2,414	61.6
2. Construction	418	10.7
3. Retail Trade	175	4.5
4. Accommodation and Food Service	174	4.4
5. Wholesale Trade	151	3.9
TOP RESIDENCE LOCATIONS		
1. Outside of Chicago	1,812	46.3
2. Belmont Cragin	257	6.6
3. Hermosa	204	5.2
4. Austin	120	3.1
5. Logan Square	114	2.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics program (2019).

\*Excludes residents working outside of, and workers living outside of, the seven-county CMAP region.

## Land Use

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and walkability for Hermosa.

### General Land Use, 2015

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	166.6	22.3
Multi-Family Residential	145.8	19.5
Commercial	26.6	3.6
Industrial	110.7	14.8
Institutional	19.1	2.5
Mixed Use	15.3	2.0
Transportation and Other	245.6	32.8
Agricultural	0.0	0.0
Open Space	15.5	2.1
Vacant	3.3	0.4
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>748.4</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Land Use Inventory](#).

### Park Access, 2015

	Hermosa	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*</b>	0.70	2.42	5.78

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2015 [Park Access Layer](#).

\*Neighborhood parks (smaller than 35 acres) are considered accessible for residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 acres or larger) are considered accessible for residents living within 1 mile.

### Walkability of Resident and Job Locations\*, 2018

	Hermosa	City of Chicago	CMAP Region
<b>High Walkability</b>	100.0%	96.4%	44.7%
<b>Moderate Walkability</b>	0.0%	1.8%	24.8%
<b>Low Walkability</b>	0.0%	1.8%	30.5%

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of the 2018 [Walkability Layer](#).

\*The CMAP Walkability Layer is based on several factors: the presence/absence of sidewalks; the number of amenities within walking distance; population/employment density; bicycle/pedestrian crashes and fatalities; and physical characteristics (e.g., tree cover, block length). This table reports the share of residents plus jobs whose home and workplace locations, respectively, are within each Walkability Layer category.

## Change Over Time

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2016-2020 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

### Race and Ethnicity, Over Time

	2000 Percent	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
White (Non-Hispanic)	11.5	9.2	9.9
Hispanic or Latino (of Any Race)	83.9	87.0	82.5
Black (Non-Hispanic)	2.4	1.6	4.5
Asian (Non-Hispanic)	1.2	1.7	2.3
Other/Multiple Races (Non-Hispanic)	1.0	0.6	0.8

Source: 2000 Census; 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Age Cohorts, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
19 and Under	33.7	25.3
20 to 34	22.5	24.2
35 to 49	21.3	21.1
50 to 64	16.2	17.5
65 and Over	6.3	11.9
Median Age	30.3	35.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

### Educational Attainment\*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Less than High School Diploma	41.9	30.2
High School Diploma or Equivalent	28.7	28.4
Some College, No Degree	15.2	16.5
Associate's Degree	4.8	5.3
Bachelor's Degree	7.1	14.4
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.3	5.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older

\*Highest degree or level of school completed by an individual.

## Nativity, Over Time

	2006-2010*	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
<b>Native</b>	60.1	62.5
<b>Foreign Born</b>	39.9	37.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population

\*Universe: Population 5 years and older

## Language Spoken at Home and Ability to Speak English, Over Time

	2006-2010	2016-2020
	Percent	Percent
<b>English Only</b>	17.6	22.7
<b>Spanish</b>	78.6	73.9
<b>Slavic Languages</b>	2.1	1.1
<b>Chinese</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Tagalog</b>	0.4	1.2
<b>Arabic</b>	0.2	0.4
<b>Korean</b>	0.0	0.0
<b>Other Asian Languages</b>	0.3	0.2
<b>Other Indo-European Languages</b>	0.7	0.4
<b>Other/Unspecified Languages</b>	0.2	0.1
<b>TOTAL NON-ENGLISH</b>	82.4	77.3
Speak English Less than "Very Well"*	39.5	37.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older

\*For people who speak a language other than English at home, the ACS asks whether they speak English "very well," "well," "not well," or "not at all."

## Household Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
1-Person Household	17.7	20.5
2-Person Household	19.5	27.2
3-Person Household	20.3	13.7
4-or-More-Person Household	42.5	38.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Family	77.7	72.0
Single Parent with Child	16.9	10.0
Non-Family	22.3	28.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Household Income, Over Time

	2006-2010 (2020 Dollars)	2016-2020 (2020 Dollars)
Median Income	\$50,526	\$54,773

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Housing Occupancy and Tenure, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Occupied Housing Units	87.8	91.4
Owner-Occupied*	46.3	50.6
Renter-Occupied*	53.7	49.4
Vacant Housing Units	12.2	8.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Universe: Occupied housing units

## Housing Costs as a Percentage of Household Income\*, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
<b>Less than \$20,000</b>	17.9	12.1
Less than 20 Percent	0.0	0.0
20 to 29 Percent	1.0	0.0
30 Percent or More	16.9	12.0
<b>\$20,000 to \$49,999</b>	39.9	32.3
Less than 20 Percent	3.2	3.0
20 to 29 Percent	7.6	6.2
30 Percent or More	29.1	23.1
<b>\$50,000 to \$74,999</b>	21.5	19.3
Less than 20 Percent	8.1	7.6
20 to 29 Percent	3.9	5.0
30 Percent or More	9.5	6.8
<b>\$75,000 or More</b>	19.6	36.3
Less than 20 Percent	10.8	27.8
20 to 29 Percent	3.8	6.9
30 Percent or More	5.0	1.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

\*Excludes households with zero/negative income, and renting households paying no cash rent.

## Housing Type, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Single Family, Detached	28.6	28.7
Single Family, Attached	1.2	1.4
2 Units	33.1	30.6
3 or 4 Units	26.5	25.0
5 or More Units	9.9	14.1
Mobile Home/Other*	0.6	0.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*“Other” includes boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, etc.

## Housing Size, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
0 or 1 Bedroom	14.9	19.1
2 Bedrooms	40.7	38.2
3 Bedrooms	30.4	26.6
4 Bedrooms	9.5	9.8
5 or More Bedrooms	4.5	6.2
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7	5.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

\*Includes living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, etc., that are separated by built-in, floor-to-ceiling walls.

Excludes bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, and unfinished basements.

## Housing Age, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1.0	1.5
Built 1970 to 1999	7.0	7.1
Built 1940 to 1969	26.0	22.5
Built Before 1940	66.0	69.0
Median Year Built	N/A	1926

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units

## Vehicles Available per Household, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
No Vehicle Available	16.8	12.1
1 Vehicle Available	39.6	36.1
2 Vehicles Available	32.4	34.4
3 or More Vehicles Available	11.2	17.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units

## Mode of Travel to Work, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
Work at Home*	1.9	4.1
Drive Alone	53.4	62.9
Carpool	19.1	11.2
Transit	21.5	17.1
Walk or Bike	3.2	3.7
Other	1.0	1.0
<b>TOTAL COMMUTERS</b>	<b>98.1</b>	<b>95.9</b>
<b>Mean Commute Time (Minutes)</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>35.5</b>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older

\*Not included in total commuters or mean commute time.

## Employment Status, Over Time

	2006-2010 Percent	2016-2020 Percent
<b>In Labor Force</b>	<b>69.1</b>	<b>68.2</b>
Employed <sup>†*</sup>	87.1	94.1
Unemployed*	12.9	5.9
<b>Not in Labor Force</b>	<b>30.9</b>	<b>31.8</b>

Source: 2006-2010 and 2016-2020 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 16 years and older

<sup>†</sup>Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

\*Universe: In labor force



## ON TO 2050 Indicators

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators) to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Hermosa	CMAP Region		Source
		Current	Current	2050 Target+	
Community	Population located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	46.1%	53.6%	CMAP, 2018
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	100.0%	41.9%	46.0%	CMAP, 2018
Prosperity	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	24.8%	47.7%	64.9%	ACS, 2016-2020
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	82.0%	81.2%	83.4%	ACS, 2016-2020
Environment	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.8%	65.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.3%	40.0%	CMAP, 2015
	Impervious acres per household	0.08	0.19	0.17	USGS NLCD, 2019
Mobility	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	100.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-single occupancy vehicle modes	36.1%	32.0%	37.3%	ACS, 2016-2020

+Select targets have been provisionally revised as part of the ON TO 2050 Update, which is currently pending adoption. Please visit [cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan](http://cmap.illinois.gov/2050/updating-the-plan) to learn more.