


Introduction to Computer Graphics

GAMES101, Lingqi Yan, UC Santa Barbara

Lecture 4: Transformation Cont.



Announcement

- Homework 0 will be released TODAY
- This lecture will be difficult :)

Last Lecture

- Transformation
 - Why study transformation
 - 2D transformations: rotation, scale, shear
 - Homogeneous coordinates
 - Composite transform
 - 3D transformations

Today

- 3D transformations
- Viewing (观测) transformation
 - View (视图) / Camera transformation
 - Projection (投影) transformation
 - Orthographic (正交) projection
 - Perspective (透视) projection

3D Transformations

Use homogeneous coordinates again:

- 3D point = $(x, y, z, 1)^T$
- 3D vector = $(x, y, z, 0)^T$

In general, (x, y, z, w) ($w \neq 0$) is the 3D point:
 $(x/w, y/w, z/w)$

3D Transformations

Use 4×4 matrices for affine transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & t_x \\ d & e & f & t_y \\ g & h & i & t_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

What's the order?

Linear Transform first or Translation first?

3D Transformations

Scale

$$\mathbf{S}(s_x, s_y, s_z) = \begin{pmatrix} s_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Translation

$$\mathbf{T}(t_x, t_y, t_z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & t_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$


3D Transformations

Rotation around x-, y-, or z-axis

$$\mathbf{R}_x(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_y(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & 0 & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_z(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$




Anything strange about \mathbf{R}_y ?

3D Rotations

Compose any 3D rotation from \mathbf{R}_x , \mathbf{R}_y , \mathbf{R}_z ?

$$\mathbf{R}_{xyz}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathbf{R}_x(\alpha) \mathbf{R}_y(\beta) \mathbf{R}_z(\gamma)$$

- So-called *Euler angles*
- Often used in flight simulators: roll, pitch, yaw



Rodrigues' Rotation Formula

Rotation by angle α around axis \mathbf{n}

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{n}, \alpha) = \cos(\alpha) \mathbf{I} + (1 - \cos(\alpha)) \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^T + \sin(\alpha) \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -n_z & n_y \\ n_z & 0 & -n_x \\ -n_y & n_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{N}}$$

How to prove this magic formula?

Check out the supplementary material on the course website!

Today

- 3D transformations
- **Viewing** transformation
 - **View / Camera transformation**
 - Projection transformation
 - Orthographic projection
 - Perspective projection

View / Camera Transformation


- What is view transformation?
- Think about how to take a photo
 - Find a good place and arrange people (**model** transformation)
 - Find a good “angle” to put the camera (**view** transformation)
 - Cheese! (**projection** transformation)

View / Camera Transformation

- How to perform view transformation?


- Define the camera first

- Position \vec{e}
- Look-at / gaze direction \hat{g}
- Up direction \hat{t}
(assuming perp. to look-at)



View / Camera Transformation


- Key observation
 - If the camera and all objects move together, the “photo” will be the same



- How about that we always transform the camera to
 - The origin, up at Y, look at -Z
 - And transform the objects along with the camera

View / Camera Transformation

- Transform the camera by M_{view}
 - So it's located at the origin, up at Y, look at -Z
- M_{view} in math?
 - Translates e to origin
 - Rotates g to -Z
 - Rotates t to Y
 - Rotates $(g \times t)$ To X
 - Difficult to write!



View / Camera Transformation

- M_{view} in math?

- Let's write $M_{view} = R_{view}T_{view}$

- Translate e to origin

$$T_{view} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -x_e \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -y_e \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -z_e \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Rotate g to -Z, t to Y, (g x t) To X

- Consider its **inverse** rotation: X to (g x t), Y to t, Z to -g

$$R_{view}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & x_t & x_{-g} & 0 \\ y_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & y_t & y_{-g} & 0 \\ z_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & z_t & z_{-g} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{WHY?}} R_{view} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & y_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & z_{\hat{g} \times \hat{t}} & 0 \\ x_t & y_t & z_t & 0 \\ x_{-g} & y_{-g} & z_{-g} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

View / Camera Transformation

- Summary
 - Transform objects together with the camera
 - Until camera's at the origin, up at Y, look at -Z
- Also known as ModelView Transformation
- But why do we need this?
 - For projection transformation!


Today

- 3D transformations
- **Viewing** transformation
 - View / Camera transformation
 - **Projection transformation**
 - Orthographic projection
 - Perspective projection

Projection Transformation

- Projection in Computer Graphics
 - 3D to 2D
 - Orthographic projection
 - Perspective projection

Orthographic
projection



Perspective
projection





Fig. 7.1 from *Fundamentals of Computer Graphics, 4th Edition*

Projection Transformation


- Perspective projection vs. orthographic projection



<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/36573283/from-perspective-picture-to-orthographic-picture>


Orthographic Projection

- A simple way of understanding
 - Camera located at origin, looking at -Z, up at Y (looks familiar?)
 - Drop Z coordinate
 - Translate and scale the resulting rectangle to $[-1, 1]^2$




Orthographic Projection


- In general
 - We want to map a cuboid $[l, r] \times [b, t] \times [f, n]$ to the “canonical (正则、规范、标准)” cube $[-1, 1]^3$



Translate




Scale




Orthographic Projection


- Slightly different orders (to the “simple way”)
 - Center cuboid by translating
 - Scale into “canonical” cube



Translate




Scale




Orthographic Projection

- Transformation matrix?
 - Translate (**center** to origin) **first**, then scale (length/width/height to **2**)


$$M_{ortho} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{r-l} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{t-b} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{n-f} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{r+l}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{t+b}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{n+f}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Translate




Scale




Orthographic Projection

- Caveat


- Looking at / along $-Z$ is making near and far not intuitive ($n > f$)
- FYI: that's why OpenGL (a Graphics API) uses left hand coords.



Translate




Scale



Perspective Projection

- Most common in Computer Graphics, art, visual system
- Further objects are smaller
- Parallel lines not parallel; converge to single point





Perspective Projection

- Euclid was wrong??!!

In **geometry**, **parallel** lines are **lines** in a **plane** which do not meet; that is, two lines in a plane that do not **intersect** or **touch** each other at any point are said to be parallel. By extension, a line and a plane, or two planes,

in **three-dimensional Euclidean space** that do not share a point are said to be parallel. However, two lines in three-dimensional space which do not meet must be in a common plane to be considered parallel; otherwise they are called **skew lines**. Parallel planes are planes in the same three-dimensional space that never meet.

Parallel lines are the subject of **Euclid's parallel postulate**.^[1] Parallelism is primarily a property of **affine geometries** and **Euclidean geometry** is a special instance of this type of geometry. In some other geometries, such as **hyperbolic geometry**, lines can have analogous properties that are referred to as parallelism.



[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_\(geometry\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_(geometry))

Perspective Projection

- Before we move on
- Recall: property of homogeneous coordinates
 - $(x, y, z, 1)$, $(kx, ky, kz, k \neq 0)$, **$(xz, yz, z^2, z \neq 0)$** all represent the same point (x, y, z) in 3D
 - e.g. $(1, 0, 0, 1)$ and $(2, 0, 0, 2)$ both represent $(1, 0, 0)$
- Simple, but useful

Perspective Projection

- How to do perspective projection
 - First “squish” the frustum into a cuboid ($n \rightarrow n, f \rightarrow f$) ($M_{\text{persp} \rightarrow \text{ortho}}$)
 - Do orthographic projection (M_{ortho} , already known!)





Fig. 7.13 from *Fundamentals of Computer Graphics, 4th Edition*

Perspective Projection

- In order to find a transformation
 - Recall the key idea: Find the relationship between transformed points (x', y', z') and the original points (x, y, z)



Perspective Projection

- In order to find a transformation
 - Find the relationship between transformed points (x', y', z') and the original points (x, y, z)

$$y' = \frac{n}{z}y \quad x' = \frac{n}{z}x \text{ (similar to } y')$$

- In homogeneous coordinates,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} nx/z \\ ny/z \\ \text{unknown} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{mult. by } z} \begin{pmatrix} nx \\ ny \\ \text{still unknown} \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

Perspective Projection

- So the “squish” (persp to ortho) projection does this

$$M_{persp \rightarrow ortho}^{(4 \times 4)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nx \\ ny \\ \text{unknown} \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

- Already good enough to figure out part of $M_{persp \rightarrow ortho}$

$$M_{persp \rightarrow ortho} = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n & 0 & 0 \\ ? & ? & ? & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{WHY?}$$

Perspective Projection

- How to figure out the third row of $M_{\text{persp} \rightarrow \text{ortho}}$

- Any information that we can use?

$$M_{\text{persp} \rightarrow \text{ortho}} = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n & 0 & 0 \\ ? & ? & ? & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Observation: the third row is responsible for z'
 - Any point on the near plane will not change
 - Any point's z on the far plane will not change

Perspective Projection

- Any point on the near plane will not change

$$M_{persp \rightarrow ortho}^{(4 \times 4)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nx \\ ny \\ \text{unknown} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{replace } z \text{ with } n]{\text{blue arrow}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} == \begin{pmatrix} nx \\ ny \\ n^2 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

- So the third row must be of the form (0 0 A B)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = n^2 \quad \text{blue text: } n^2 \text{ has nothing to do with } x \text{ and } y$$

Perspective Projection

- What do we have now?

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = n^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad An + B = n^2$$

- Any point's z on the far plane will not change


$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} == \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f^2 \\ f \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad Af + B = f^2$$

Perspective Projection

- Solve for A and B

$$An + B = n^2$$

$$Af + B = f^2$$



$$A = n + f$$

$$B = -nf$$

- Finally, every entry in $M_{\text{persp} \rightarrow \text{ortho}}$ is known!
- What's next?
 - Do orthographic projection (M_{ortho}) to finish
 - $M_{\text{persp}} = M_{\text{ortho}} M_{\text{persp} \rightarrow \text{ortho}}$

Thank you!