Neural Nets Compression

Dasari Rishikesh[#], Matangi Sravan^{*}, Sompalli Ajay Kumar, MSVSS Hanuma[#]

*Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Information Technology Dharwad Address

119bcs035@iiitdwd.ac.in

219bcs068@iiitdwd.ac.in

319bcs101@iiitdwd.ac.in

419bec023@iiitdwd.ac.in

Abstract— Deep Learning is advanced for usage in wide range of applications including Image classification, speech recognition, and text recognition. In order to obtain accuracies neural networks became larger. Its hard to embed them on the low computation devices like mobiles, drones and ARs. They are computationally expensive and memory intensive. To embed these Networks on the low computation systems Network computation is came into existence. Several models were developed to the size of the models. In this project we focussed on Convolution Neural Network and used knowledge distillation on the Residual Network models and the compressed the same models of their families with different datasets.

Keywords— Deep Neural Networks, Low computation devices, Knowledge Distillation, Network compression, Residual network

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the Deep Neural Networks has grown with huge popularity in computer vision tasks as they are used in different applications and the result accuracies has improved the tasks. Trying to chase the accuracies made them large. Many are them are over the order of millions too. Using them in real time applications made difficult as they require high computation and more memory. They use high end GPUs and powerful processors for processing. Low computational devices like mobiles, drones, and UAV (unmanned ariel vehicles) are must needed to embed the neural nets for better privacy, less network bandwidth and better privacy. Compressing the neural nets makes them smaller and able to embed them on the low computation devices. There are different compression models. Some of them are categorized as parameter pruning and quantisation, low rank approximation, knowledge distillation. In this project we look forward into knowledge distillation of residual network because of complex architecture. [4] ResNet require expensive hardware such as powerful GPUs to achieve real time performances and architecture with dimensionality dependencies. Therefore, importance of compression came into factor. Further sections describe the progress of the project.

II. BACKGROUND

The concept of knowledge distillation came up by Caruana [3] for deep network compression in which the driven model learned from large model. [4] deals with selective layer pruning and knowledge distillation of other layer for avoiding the network structure break. The paper by Lucas Bayer [2] focussed on the issue of frequent used models and most download counts from the TensorFlow Hub i.e., ResNet-50 model, as a result many improvements in the computer vision has not applied in real world. It went through the ResNet upon knowledge distillation as model pruning arise reduces them by stripping away their parts.

III. METHODOLOGY

In this project, we used parameter pruning and quantisation at our initial stages on smaller neural networks and opted the results with the aim of achieving towards the Deep Compression [1]. Being usage of pruning restricted the experimentation with architecture challenges and unchanged in model family. Encountering such issues our next progress turned to usage of the recent introduced and mostly explored model i.e., knowledge distillation. Primarily we experimented with CNN model on MINST and CIFAR-10 datasets.

Further progress went on with the usage of knowledge distillation using function matching hypothesis.

A. Datasets

The dataset that implemented with the compression models are MNIST, CIFAR 10 dataset. In Addition, Flower 102 dataset is experimented as because of vary in classes and make the distilled model for practical usage and assurance of validity.

B. Knowledge Distillation

Knowledge distillation is the idea of distilling the knowledge the larger model (i.e., teacher model) into the smaller models (i.e., student model). In this technique the knowledge is transferred from teacher model to the student model. It means making the student to match the outputs prediction values of the teacher. The knowledge distillation reduces the distillation loss (loss of soft targets) and matches

the logits. The logits are applied with the temperature T in Soft max function for effective smoothing out the probability distribution. As growth of T values more knowledge will be extracted from the teacher model. With high T values the model will be overfitted.

Cross entropy calculated to for soft targets and hard targets. The final loss is the average of the loses of both the soft targets and hard targets. We need to reduce them as minimum as possible.

Loss= α *(Loss of soft targets)+(1- α)(Loss of hard targets) **Function Matching:**

The function matching hypothesis deals with the three key takeaways:

During distillation no ground truth tables are considered. Teacher and student models should go through the same augmentation and long training schedules for distillation.[2]

C. Experimentation

The initial stage of project aimed with the pruning and quantisation models. We constructed a small neural network that consists of six layers and pruned the network with 5x sparsity. The models give accuracy with minimal reduction. But the pruning doesn't work for large models as the complexity increases with pruning of more networks which loses the information. Then progressed with the knowledge distillation of teacher CNN (layers 256, 512) and student model CNN (16, 32) with datasets MNIST and CIFAR -10 gained the nearest accuracies to the teacher models. On further references of latest studies the function matching hypothesis is introduced and experimented with same dataset Flower-102 but change in the teacher model i.e., ResNet101 architecture.

D. Tables and Results

The Table-1 shows the results CNN with knowledge distillation and Table-2 ResNet with function matching hypothesis.

TABLE I

Datasets	Models	Architecture	Accuracy (sparse categorical accuracy)	Epochs
MNIST	CNN(Teacher)	Layers (256,512)	97.78%	25
MNIST	CNN(Student)	Layers (16,32)	97.50%	25
CIFAR- 10	CNN(Teacher)	Layers (256,512)	69.72%	10
CIFAR- 10	CNN(Student)	Layers (16,32)	58.55%	10

TABLE II

Dataset	Models	Accuracy (Top-
		1 Accuracy)
Flowers -102	BiT ResNet 101*3(Teacher)	98.18%
Flowers -102	BiT ResNet 50*1 (Student) for 1000 epochs	81.02%

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The project went through usage of the different models and observed that knowledge distillation on the large networks architectures gave more better results than the other models as they don't fit the large architectures. The analysis with application of the knowledge distillation makes the results accurate nearest to the teacher.

REFERENCES

- [1] Song Han, Huizi Mao, William J. Dally, "Deep Compression: Compression Deep Neural Networks with pruning, Trained quantization and Huffman coding", Conference at ICLR 2016
- [2] Lucas Beyer, Xiaohua Zhai, Amelie Royer, Larisa Markeeva, "Knowledge distillation: A good teacher is patient and consistent", CVPR 2022.
- [3] J. Ba and R. Caruana, "Do deep nets really need to be deep?" in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2014, December 8-13 2014, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 2014, pp. 2654-2662.
- [4] Nima Aghli, Eraldo Ribeiro, "Combining Weight Pruning and knowledge Distillation for CNN compression", CVPR 2021.
- [5] Yu Cheng, Duo Wang, Pan Zhou, "A survey of Model Compression and Acceleration for Deep Neural Networks", IEEE signal processing Magazine.