University of Warsaw
Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics

Adam Starak
Student no. 361021

Title in English

Master's thesis
in COMPUTER SCIENCE

Supervisor:
dr Michał Pilipczuk
Instytut Informatyki

May 2017

Supervisor's statement

Hereby I confirm that the presented thesis was prepared under my supervision and that it fulfils the requirements for the degree of Master of Computer Science.

Date Supervisor's signature

Author's statement

Hereby I declare that the presented thesis was prepared by me and none of its contents was obtained by means that are against the law.

The thesis has never before been a subject of any procedure of obtaining an academic degree.

Moreover, I declare that the present version of the thesis is identical to the attached electronic version.

Date Author's signature

Abstract

W pracy przedstawiono prototypową implementację blabalizatora różnicowego bazującą na teorii fetorów σ-ρ profesora Fifaka. Wykorzystanie teorii Fifaka daje wreszcie możliwość efektywnego wykonania blabalizy numerycznej. Fakt ten stanowi przełom technologiczny, którego konsekwencje trudno z góry przewidzieć.

Keywords

parameterized algorithm

Thesis domain (Socrates-Erasmus subject area codes)

11.3 Informatyka

Subject classification

D. Software
D.127. Blabalgorithms
D.127.6. Numerical blabalysis

Tytuł pracy w języku polskim

Tytuł po polsku

Contents

Introduction 5

1. Basic definitions 7
1.1. Structures 7
1.2. Parameterized complexity 7
1.3. Graph decomposition 7

2. Spanning Star Forest Problem 9
2.1. Obtaining a solution 10
2.2. Spanning Star Forest parameterized by the number of stars 10

Bibliografia 11

Introduction

Blabalizator różnicowy jest podstawowym narzędziem blabalii fetorycznej. Dlatego naukowcy z całego świata prześcigają się w próbach efektywnej implementacji. Opracowana przez prof. Fifaka teoria fetorów σ-ρ otwiera w tej dziedzinie nowe możliwości. Wykorzystujemy je w niniejszej pracy.

Chapter 1

Basic definitions

1.1. Structures

A simple graph G is a pair (V, E) where V denotes a set of vertices and E denotes a set of undirected edges. Let $deg_G(v)$ denote a degree of vertex v in graph G. Let $G \setminus \{v\}$ be the abbreviation for $G' = (V(G) \setminus \{v\}, E(G) \setminus \{(u, v) : u \in V(G)\})$.A *tree* T is a graph where two vertices are connected by excatly one path. A *spanning tree* T of a graph G is a graph which includes all of the vertices of G, with minimum possible number of edges. A *star* S is a tree of size at least 2 for which at most 1 vertex has a degree greater than 1.

1.2. Parameterized complexity

Definition 1.1. *Parameterized problem*

Definition 1.2. *FPT algorithm*

Definition 1.3. *Kernel*

Definition 1.4. *Kernelization algorithm*

1.3. Graph decomposition

Definition 1.5. *Path decomposition and pathwidth*

Definition 1.6. *Tree decomposition and treewidth*

Definition 1.7. *Nice tree decomposition*

Chapter 2

Spanning Star Forest Problem

For a given graph G, we say that S is a *Spanning Star Forest* if every connected component C is a star. In the *Spanning Star Forest Problem* given a graph G, the objective is to determine whether there exists a *Spanning Star Forest*.

It turns out that the problem formulated in such a way is relatively simple. Although, various parametrizations described in this paper make it more complex. The following lemma easily clarifies all the concerns.

Lemma 2.1. *A graph G has a Spanning Star Forest if and only if it does not contain any isolated vertices.*

Proof. If G has a Spanning Star Forest S, then trivially $\forall_{v \in V(G)}\ 1 \leq deg_S(v) \leq deg_G(v)$. Thus, none of the vertices is isolated.

For the opposite direction, we prove the lemma by induction on $|V(G)|$. Assume $|V(G)| = 2$. The statement trivially holds because a graph representing an edge is a correct Spanning Star Forest. Let $|V(G)| > 2$ and let v be an arbitrary vertex. From the inductive assumption, let S be a Spanning Star Forest of a graph $G \setminus \{v\}$, u be a vertex such that $(u, v) \in E(G)$ and w be a vertex such that $w \in N_S(u)$. Consider the 3 following cases:

1. Let $deg_S(u) > 1$. Then, $S' = (V(S) \cup \{v\}, E(S) \cup \{(u, v)\})$ is a correct solution for graph G.

2. Let $deg_S(u) = deg_S(w) = 1$. Then, $S' = (V(S) \cup v, E(S) \cup (u, v))$ is a correct solution for graph G.

3. Let $deg_S(w) > 1$. The, $S' = (V(S) \cup \{v\}, (E(S) \cup \{(u, v)\}) \setminus \{(u, w)\})$ is a correct solution for graph G.

Observe that in graph G there are no isolated vertices. Thus, one can always extend a solution inductively.

□

Application of Lemma 2.1 yields the following result for Spanning Star Forest Problem:

Theorem 2.1. *Decision version of Spanning Star Forest Problem can be solved in linear time.*

Proof. Given an input $G = (V, E)$ we answer YES if $\forall_{v \in V(G)}\ deg_G(v) \neq 0$ and NO otherwise.

□

2.1. Obtaining a solution

In this section the focus will be set on obtaining an arbitrary solution for a given instance of the *Spanning Star Forest Problem.*

Theorem 2.2. *A solution for a Spanning Star Forest Problem can be found in linear time.*

2.2. Spanning Star Forest parameterized by the number of stars

In the *Spanning Star Forest Problem* parameterized by the number of stars, given a graph G and a natural number k, the objective is to determine whether there exists a *Spanning Star Forest* S such that the number of components is less than k.

It is natural to ask whether one can find a solution that minimizes the number of connected components. Even though the problem looks slightly different than the previous one, *Spanning Star Forest* parameterized by the number of stars is NP-Complete. The following theorem proves the statement:

Theorem 2.3. *Spanning Star Forest Parameterized by the number of stars is NP-Complete.*

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a reduction from Spanning Star Forest parameterized by the number of stars to Dominating Set.*

Bibliography

[Bea65] Juliusz Beaman, *Morbidity of the Jolly function*, Mathematica Absurdica, 117 (1965) 338–9.

[Blar16] Elizjusz Blarbarucki, *O pewnych aspektach pewnych aspektów*, Astrolog Polski, Zeszyt 16, Warszawa 1916.

[Fif00] Filigran Fifak, Gizbert Gryzogrzechotalski, *O blabalii fetorycznej*, Materiały Konferencji Euroblabal 2000.

[Fif01] Filigran Fifak, *O fetorach* σ-ρ, Acta Fetorica, 2001.

[Głomb04] Gryzybór Głombaski, *Parazytonikacja blabiczna fetorów — nowa teoria wszystkiego*, Warszawa 1904.

[Hopp96] Claude Hopper, *On some* Π*-hedral surfaces in quasi-quasi space*, Omnius University Press, 1996.

[Leuk00] Lechoslav Leukocyt, *Oval mappings ab ovo*, Materiały Białostockiej Konferencji Hodowców Drobiu, 2000.

[Rozk93] Josip A. Rozkosza, *O pewnych własnościach pewnych funkcji*, Północnopomorski Dziennik Matematyczny 63491 (1993).

[Spy59] Mrowclaw Spyrpt, *A matrix is a matrix is a matrix*, Mat. Zburp., 91 (1959) 28–35.

[Sri64] Rajagopalachari Sriniswamiramanathan, *Some expansions on the Flausgloten Theorem on locally congested lutches*, J. Math. Soc., North Bombay, 13 (1964) 72–6.

[Whi25] Alfred N. Whitehead, Bertrand Russell, *Principia Mathematica*, Cambridge University Press, 1925.

[Zen69] Zenon Zenon, *Użyteczne heurystyki w blabalizie*, Młody Technik, nr 11, 1969.