

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING  
EASTERN INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY



**MIS 443**  
**BUSINESS DATA MANAGEMENT**

**Final Project**  
**Case Study 4 - Data Bank**

**Lecturers: *Mr. Dang Thai Doan***  
***Ms. Huynh Tuyet Ngan***

GROUP 3A	
Name	IRN
Nguyễn Thành Đạt	2132300562
Lê Nguyễn Tâm Như	2132300065
Nguyễn Hoàng Vinh	2132300522

**Quarter 4/2024-2025**

**Date Submission: 13/8/2025**

## Table Of Content

<b>1. Objective.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. Project Overview: Data Bank.....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1. Introduction.....	3
2.2. Key Data Elements.....	3
<b>3. Deliverables.....</b>	<b>3</b>
A. Customer Nodes Exploration.....	3
B. Customer Transactions.....	8
C. Data Allocation Challenge.....	13
<b>4. Final Summary.....</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1. Customer Nodes.....	18
4.2. Customer Transactions.....	18
4.3. Data Allocation Challenge.....	18

## 1. Objective

The objective of this report is to analyze the Data Bank case study by applying SQL queries to address key business questions. The analysis involves understanding customer behavior, transaction patterns, and regional performance using real-world data. The aim is to extract actionable insights that align with business objectives, which can inform decisions about customer segmentation, regional marketing strategies, and operational optimizations. This report highlights the SQL skills employed to develop queries and derive meaningful conclusions from the data, focusing on enhancing analytical thinking and problem-solving abilities in a business context.

## 2. Project Overview: Data Bank

### 2.1. Introduction

The "Data Bank" project simulates a digital-only bank integrated with a secure distributed data storage platform. The bank allocates data storage limits based on the amount of money customers hold in their accounts. The aim of the project is to analyze various datasets related to customer transactions and data allocations, and to provide insights into Data Bank's operations. This analysis will help in better understanding the customer base, forecasting future storage needs, and addressing core business questions for improved strategic planning.

In this project, we utilize SQL queries to explore, aggregate, and analyze data from three main tables: regions, customer\_nodes, and customer\_transactions. The goal is to uncover patterns, summarize important metrics, and answer business-critical questions that will assist in Data Bank's growth and operational efficiency.

### 2.2. Key Data Elements

- Regions - Information about different geographical regions where Data Bank operates.
- Customer Nodes - Details on customer allocation to specific nodes (data storage locations) along with their active dates.
- Customer Transactions - Records of customer financial activities, including deposits, withdrawals, and purchases made using their Data Bank debit card.

## 3. Deliverables

### A. Customer Nodes Exploration

*Question 1: How many unique nodes are there on the Data Bank system?*

SQL Query:

```

5  ▼ select
6      count(distinct node_id) as total_unique_nodes
7  from
8      data_bank.customer_nodes;

```

SQL output:

	total_unique_nodes 
1	5

Insights:

The Data Bank system has 5 unique nodes, indicating a distributed infrastructure that enhances data security and redundancy. This setup ensures efficient storage management, reduces the risk of data loss, and supports scalability as the customer base grows.

***Question 2 What is the number of nodes per region?***

SQL Query:

```

11 ▼ select
12     rg.region_name, count(distinct nd.node_id) as total_nodes
13 from
14     data_bank.customer_nodes as nd
15 inner join
16     data_bank.regions as rg on rg.region_id = nd.region_id
17 group by
18     rg.region_name
19 order by
20     rg.region_name;

```

SQL output:

	region_name character varying (9) 🔒	total_nodes bigint 🔒
1	Africa	5
2	America	5
3	Asia	5
4	Australia	5
5	Europe	5

Insights:

Each region in the Data Bank system contains exactly 5 unique nodes. This uniform distribution across all regions suggests that Data Bank aims for equal infrastructural capacity globally. It reflects a strategy to ensure consistent data storage and security, reducing regional disparities and providing balanced access to their services across different regions.

***Question 3: How many customers are allocated to each region?***

SQL Query:

```
23  ✓ select
24      rg.region_name, count(distinct nd.customer_id) as total_customers
25  from
26      data_bank.customer_nodes as nd
27  inner join
28      data_bank.regions as rg on rg.region_id = nd.region_id
29  group by
30      rg.region_name
31  order by
32      total_customers desc;
```

SQL output:

	region_name character varying (9) 🔒	total_customers bigint 🔒
1	Australia	110
2	America	105
3	Africa	102
4	Asia	95
5	Europe	88

Insights:

The number of customers allocated to each region varies, with Australia having the highest at 110 customers and Europe having the lowest at 88 customers. This distribution suggests that customer density is higher in certain regions, likely due to factors such as regional popularity or market penetration. Regions with fewer customers may require targeted strategies to increase customer acquisition and engagement.

***Question 4: How many days on average are customers reallocated to a different node?***

SQL Query:

```
38 WITH active_days_in_nodes AS
39     (SELECT
40         nd.customer_id,
41         nd.node_id,
42         SUM(nd.end_date - nd.start_date) AS active_days_in_nodes
43     FROM
44         data_bank.customer_nodes AS nd
45     WHERE
46         end_date != '9999-12-31'
47     GROUP BY
48         nd.customer_id, nd.node_id
49     ORDER BY
50         customer_id, node_id)
51 SELECT round(AVG(adin.active_days_in_nodes)) AS active_days_in_nodes
52 FROM active_days_in_nodes AS adin
```

SQL output:

	active_days_in_nodes numeric
1	24

#### Insights:

On average, customers are reallocated to a different node every 24 days. This reflects how often Data Bank reassigned customers to new storage nodes, possibly due to their security protocols or data management strategies. Regular node reallocation ensures that data is distributed evenly and reduces the risk of data breaches or system vulnerabilities, providing customers with enhanced security and reliable service.

**Question 5: What is the median, 80th and 95th percentile for this same reallocation days metric for each region?**

#### SQL Query:

```

56 SELECT
57     rg.region_name,
58     PERCENTILE_CONT(0.5) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY nd.end_date - nd.start_date) AS median_days,
59     PERCENTILE_CONT(0.8) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY nd.end_date - nd.start_date) AS p80_days,
60     PERCENTILE_CONT(0.95) WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY nd.end_date - nd.start_date) AS p95_days
61 FROM
62     data_bank.customer_nodes as nd
63 INNER JOIN
64     data_bank.regions as rg on rg.region_id = nd.region_id
65 WHERE
66     end_date != '9999-12-31'
67 GROUP BY
68     rg.region_name
69 ORDER BY
70     rg.region_name;

```

#### SQL output:

	region_name character varying (9)	median_days double precision	p80_days double precision	p95_days double precision
1	Africa	15	24	28
2	America	15	23	28
3	Asia	15	23	28
4	Australia	15	23	28
5	Europe	15	24	28

### Insights:

The median, 80th, and 95th percentiles for reallocation days vary slightly across regions. The median is consistently 15 days for all regions, while the 80th percentile ranges from 23 to 24 days, and the 95th percentile remains 28 days. This indicates that while most customers are reallocated within 15 days, some regions experience slightly longer reallocation periods, especially for a small portion of customers at the 80th percentile. These variations suggest that Data Bank's reallocation strategy may differ slightly by region, likely influenced by factors such as data load or operational differences.

## **B. Customer Transactions**

***Question 1: What is the unique count and total amount for each transaction type?***

### SQL Query:

```
76  SELECT
77      txn_type,
78      COUNT(customer_id) as total_transactions,
79      sum(txn_amount) as total_amount
80  FROM
81      data_bank.customer_transactions
82  GROUP BY
83      txn_type
84  ORDER BY
85      txn_type;
```

### SQL output:

	txn_type character varying (10) 🔒	total_transactions bigint 🔒	total_amount bigint 🔒
1	deposit	2671	1359168
2	purchase	1617	806537
3	withdrawal	1580	793003

### Insights:

The analysis shows that deposit transactions are the most frequent, with 2,671 occurrences and a total of 1,359,168. Purchase transactions follow with 1,617 occurrences and 806,537, while withdrawals have 1,580 occurrences totaling 793,003. This indicates that deposits are the primary activity in the system, with purchases and withdrawals representing balanced



financial behavior. The high deposit total underscores the focus on customer savings and account growth.

***Question 2: What is the average total historical deposit counts and amounts for all customers?***

SQL Query:

```
89  SELECT
90      ROUND(AVG(deposit_count)) AS avg_deposit_times,
91      ROUND(AVG(deposit_amount)) AS avg_deposit_amount
92  FROM (
93      SELECT
94          customer_id,
95          COUNT(*) AS deposit_count,
96          AVG(txn_amount) AS deposit_amount
97  FROM data_bank.customer_transactions
98  WHERE txn_type = 'deposit'
99  GROUP BY customer_id
100 ) AS deposit;
```

SQL output:

	avg_deposit_times numeric	avg_deposit_amount numeric
1	5	509

Insights:

On average, each customer has made 5 deposits historically, with an average deposit amount of 509. This suggests that customers tend to make a moderate number of deposits over time, with relatively consistent transaction sizes. The deposit amount indicates typical individual deposit values, reflecting steady but not excessively large contributions into customer accounts.

***Question 3: For each month - how many Data Bank customers make more than 1 deposit and either 1 purchase or 1 withdrawal in a single month?***

#### SQL Query:

```
106 WITH customer_dep_pur_with_count AS (SELECT
107     customer_id,
108     EXTRACT(MONTH FROM txn_date) AS month,
109     SUM(CASE WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' then 1 else 0 end) as total_deposit_count,
110     SUM(CASE WHEN txn_type = 'purchase' then 1 else 0 end) as total_purchase_count,
111     SUM(CASE WHEN txn_type = 'withdrawal' then 1 else 0 end) as total_withdrawal_count
112 FROM
113     data_bank.customer_transactions
114 GROUP BY
115     customer_id, month
116 ORDER BY
117     customer_id, month)
118 SELECT
119     MONTH, COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id) as total_customers
120 FROM
121     customer_dep_pur_with_count
122 WHERE
123     total_deposit_count > 1 AND (total_purchase_count >= 1 OR total_withdrawal_count >=1)
124 GROUP BY month
125 ORDER BY month;
```

#### SQL output:

	month numeric 🔒	total_customers bigint 🔒
1	1	168
2	2	181
3	3	192
4	4	70

#### Insights:

The results show how many customers made more than 1 deposit and at least 1 purchase or withdrawal in each month. In the first month (January), 168 customers met this criterion, while 181 customers did so in February and 192 customers in March. The data indicates a growing trend of active engagement among customers, with a noticeable increase in transaction activity, likely reflecting more frequent use of their accounts for deposits and other transactions as the months progress.

***Question 4: What is the closing balance for each customer at the end of the month?***

#### SQL Query:

```

128 SELECT
129     customer_id,
130     txn_month,
131     SUM(net_change) OVER (
132         PARTITION BY customer_id
133         ORDER BY txn_month
134     ) AS closing_balance
135 FROM (
136     SELECT
137         customer_id,
138         DATE_TRUNC('month', txn_date) AS txn_month,
139         SUM(
140             CASE
141                 WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' THEN txn_amount
142                 WHEN txn_type IN ('withdrawal', 'purchase') THEN -txn_amount
143                 ELSE 0
144             END
145         ) AS net_change
146     FROM data_bank.customer_transactions
147     GROUP BY customer_id, DATE_TRUNC('month', txn_date)
148 ) AS monthly_change
149 ORDER BY customer_id, txn_month;

```

SQL output:

	customer_id integer	txn_month timestamp with time zone	closing_balance numeric
1	1	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	312
2	1	2020-03-01 00:00:00+07	-640
3	2	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	549
4	2	2020-03-01 00:00:00+07	610
5	3	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	144
6	3	2020-02-01 00:00:00+07	-821
7	3	2020-03-01 00:00:00+07	-1222
8	3	2020-04-01 00:00:00+07	-729
9	4	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	848
10	4	2020-03-01 00:00:00+07	655
11	5	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	954
12	5	2020-03-01 00:00:00+07	-1923
13	5	2020-04-01 00:00:00+07	-2413
14	6	2020-01-01 00:00:00+07	733
15	6	2020-02-01 00:00:00+07	-52

### Insights:

The SQL query returns each customer's closing balance at the end of every month, with positive values indicating net deposits and negative values indicating net withdrawals or spending. From the output, we can observe varied financial patterns: some customers maintain positive balances across months, while others experience significant declines, sometimes turning negative. These fluctuations highlight differences in spending habits, saving behavior, and potential financial risk, which could inform targeted financial advice or interventions.

***Question 5: What is the percentage of customers who increase their closing balance by more than 5%?***

### SQL Query:

```
151 WITH monthly_closing_balance AS (  
152     SELECT  
153         customer_id,  
154         EXTRACT(MONTH FROM txn_date) AS month,  
155         SUM(CASE  
156             WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' THEN txn_amount  
157             WHEN txn_type IN ('withdrawal', 'purchase') THEN -txn_amount  
158             ELSE 0  
159         END) AS balance  
160     FROM data_bank.customer_transactions  
161     GROUP BY customer_id, month  
162     ORDER BY customer_id, month  
163 ),  
  
164 |  
165 balance_with_previous AS (  
166     SELECT  
167         customer_id,  
168         month,  
169         balance,  
170         LAG(balance) OVER (PARTITION BY customer_id ORDER BY month) AS prev_balance  
171     FROM monthly_closing_balance  
172 ),  
173 increase_over_5_percent as (SELECT *  
174     FROM balance_with_previous  
175     WHERE prev_balance is not null AND balance > prev_balance and ((balance/prev_balance) > 1.05 )  
176 ),  
177 final_percentage as (SELECT  
178     COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id) * 100.0 /  
179     (SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id) FROM data_bank.customer_transactions) AS percent_increased  
180     FROM increase_over_5_percent)  
181  
182 SELECT round(percent_increased,2) || '%' as increase_over_5_percent FROM final_percentage;
```

SQL output:

	increase_over_5_percent text
1	13.20%

Insights:

Approximately 13.20% of customers have increased their closing balance by more than 5% in a given month. This indicates that a portion of customers are seeing steady growth in their account balances, which could reflect successful savings or positive financial activity.

Monitoring this metric is valuable for Data Bank to assess customer engagement and identify strategies to help more customers achieve similar growth.

### **C. Data Allocation Challenge**

***Question: To test out a few different hypotheses - the Data Bank team wants to run an experiment where different groups of customers would be allocated data using 3 different options:***

- ***Option 1: data is allocated based off the amount of money at the end of the previous month***
- ***Option 2: data is allocated on the average amount of money kept in the account in the previous 30 days***
- ***Option 3: data is updated real-time***

***For this multi-part challenge question - you have been requested to generate the following data elements to help the Data Bank team estimate how much data will need to be provisioned for each option:***

- ***running customer balance column that includes the impact each transaction***
- ***customer balance at the end of each month***
- ***minimum, average and maximum values of the running balance for each customer***

***Using all of the data available - how much data would have been required for each option on a monthly basis?***

a. Running customer balance column that includes the impact each transaction

SQL Query:

```
204 WITH running_balance_by_customer AS (  
205     SELECT  
206         customer_id,  
207         txn_date,  
208         txn_type,  
209         txn_amount,  
210         SUM(CASE  
211             WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' THEN txn_amount  
212             WHEN txn_type IN ('withdrawal', 'purchase') THEN - txn_amount  
213             ELSE 0  
214         END) OVER (  
215             PARTITION BY customer_id  
216             ORDER BY txn_date) AS running_balance  
217     FROM data_bank.customer_transactions),  
218     running_balance_by_month AS (  
219         SELECT  
220             EXTRACT(MONTH FROM txn_date) as month,  
221             SUM(running_balance)  
222         FROM running_balance_by_customer  
223         GROUP BY month  
224         ORDER BY month)  
225     SELECT AVG(sum) as average_balance_needed FROM running_balance_by_month;
```

SQL output:

	average_balance_needed numeric
1	-245675.750000000000

Insights:

The running balance reflects the cumulative impact of each transaction, showing how each deposit, withdrawal, or purchase affects a customer's balance. In this case, the average running balance is -245675.75, indicating a significant fluctuation in customer accounts.

b. Customer balance at the end of each month

SQL Query:

```

228 v WITH closing_balance_by_customer AS (SELECT
229     customer_id,
230     end_of_month,
231     SUM(net_change)
232     OVER (PARTITION BY customer_id
233         ORDER BY end_of_month) AS closing_balance
234 FROM (SELECT
235     customer_id,
236     EXTRACT(MONTH FROM txn_date) AS end_of_month,
237     SUM(CASE
238         WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' THEN txn_amount
239         WHEN txn_type IN ('withdrawal', 'purchase') THEN -txn_amount
240         ELSE 0
241     END
242     ) AS net_change
243 FROM data_bank.customer_transactions
244 GROUP BY customer_id, end_of_month
245 ORDER BY customer_id, end_of_month
246 ) AS monthly_change
247 ORDER BY customer_id, end_of_month),
248
249 closing_balance_by_month AS (
250     SELECT
251         end_of_month, SUM(closing_balance)
252     FROM
253         closing_balance_by_customer
254     GROUP BY end_of_month
255     ORDER BY end_of_month)
256
257 SELECT AVG(sum) as average_balance_needed
258 FROM closing_balance_by_month;
259

```

SQL output:

	average_balance_needed numeric 
1	-71007.500000000000

Insights:

The closing balance at the end of each month shows the final financial state of each customer, accounting for all transactions. Here, the closing balance is -71007.5, indicating that some customers have a negative balance, suggesting more withdrawals or purchases than deposits.

*c. Minimum, average and maximum values of the running balance for each customer*

SQL Query:

```

262 WITH running_balance AS (
263     SELECT customer_id,
264            txn_date,
265            txn_type,
266            txn_amount,
267            SUM(CASE
268                WHEN txn_type = 'deposit' THEN txn_amount
269                WHEN txn_type IN ('withdrawal', 'purchase') THEN -txn_amount
270                ELSE 0
271            END) OVER (PARTITION BY customer_id ORDER BY txn_date) AS running_balance
272     FROM data_bank.customer_transactions
273 ),
274 balance_by_customer AS (SELECT customer_id, EXTRACT(MONTH FROM txn_date) as month,
275                             MIN(running_balance) AS min_balance,
276                             AVG(running_balance) AS avg_balance,
277                             MAX(running_balance) AS max_balance
278     FROM running_balance
279     GROUP BY customer_id, month
280     ORDER BY customer_id, month),
281 balance_by_month as (
282     SELECT
283         month,
284         sum(min_balance) as min,
285         round(sum(avg_balance)) as avg,
286         sum(max_balance) as max
287     FROM
288         balance_by_customer
289     GROUP BY month
290     ORDER BY month)
291 SELECT
292     AVG(min) as total_min_balance,
293     AVG(avg) as total_avg_balance,
294     AVG(max) as total_max_balance
295 FROM balance_by_month;

```

#### SQL output:

	total_min_balance numeric	total_avg_balance numeric	total_max_balance numeric
1	-194750.500000000000	-21176.250000000000	153039.500000000000

#### Insights:

The minimum, average, and maximum balances provide a range of each customer's financial activity. The minimum balance of -194750.5 shows the lowest point of the balance, indicating a significant negative fluctuation. The average balance of -21176.25 reflects the typical financial status of the customer, showing an overall negative trend. Meanwhile, the maximum balance of 153039.5 represents the peak financial state, highlighting periods of higher account activity. These values are crucial for understanding the variability in customer balances and help determine the range of data allocation needs based on these fluctuations.



## **4. Final Summary**

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the Data Bank case study using SQL queries to answer key business questions, focusing on customer behavior, transaction patterns, and data allocation strategies.

### **4.1. Customer Nodes**

Data Bank has an effective node distribution system across its regions, ensuring secure and efficient data storage. Customers are reallocated to different nodes approximately every 23-24 days, indicating a systematic and balanced approach to ensuring both data security and reliability.

### **4.2. Customer Transactions**

Deposits are the most common type of transaction in the system, with customers regularly depositing funds. The average deposit per customer remains steady, and 13.20% of customers have seen their closing balance increase by more than 5% in a given month, indicating positive growth in their accounts.

### **4.3. Data Allocation Challenge**

Three data allocation methods were explored:

- Option 1: Data allocation based on the end-of-month balance.
- Option 2: Data allocation based on the average balance over the past 30 days.
- Option 3: Real-time data updates for each transaction.

Each method offers unique advantages, with Option 2 providing a more dynamic allocation strategy that accounts for fluctuations in customer balances, making it a more flexible option for data management.