

Texting While Doctoring: A Patient Safety Hazard

Christine A. Sinsky, MD, and John W. Beasley, MD

Texting while driving is associated with a 23-fold increased risk for crashing (1) and is illegal in most states (2). Using a cell phone while driving reduces the amount of brain activity devoted to driving by 37% (3). Multitasking is dangerous—cognitive scientists have shown that engaging in a secondary task disrupts primary task performance (3).

Might physician typing into electronic health records pose similar risks? As when driving, physicians also need to be alert to environmental cues and unexpected turns. Multitasking can undermine the core activities of observation, communication, problem solving, and developing trusting relationships. Although it can be argued that texting is unrelated to the task of driving and that typing may be part of the patient care process, we believe the issue of distraction is nonetheless relevant, especially given the realities of information chaos during the encounter (4). Problems in care have been documented (5).

Although there is a relative lack of observational data, in clinics across the country we have observed patients send signals of depression, disagreement, and lack of understanding and have witnessed kind, compassionate, and well-intended physicians missing these signals while they multitask. These physicians are concentrating not only on the patient but on typing the history, checking boxes, performing order entry, and other electronic tasks (6). One physician noted, “I am always multitasking . . . I am entering orders, checking labs, downloading information while I talk to the patient. It requires chronic hypervigilance, which is exhausting and demands conscious effort to stay in the ‘present’ with the patient” (Day S. Personal communication.). External forces drive this. Vendors market their electronic health records with the pitch that costs will be offset by a reduction in transcription expenses as physicians type their notes. Computerized physician order entry displaces to the physician clerical tasks once performed by others, increasing time commitment and cognitive interruptions (7).

Stage 2 meaningful use criteria (8) require clinicians to type in orders so that physicians view clinical decision-support reminders; however, most tests ordered in the primary care setting do not require nor can they be addressed by this system. We found that less than 0.1% of the tests ordered in our practice could potentially benefit from point-of-care clinical decision support, a function not yet available for these tests. We are concerned about the hazards of applying a work burden to 100% of orders when less than 0.1% might benefit.

Time motion studies in our practice demonstrate that an additional 3 hours per week of physician time is lost to

order entry when physicians, rather than staff, perform these tasks. The time cost of this additional clerical work prevents physicians from “working to the top of their license,” is a form of waste, and effectively reduces primary care capacity. Yet, this workflow is associated with penalties in stage 2 meaningful use reporting.

It is time to envision technologically supported, team-based models of care within a more sophisticated socio-technical framework. In these models, physicians give their patients undivided attention while other team members perform clerical and routine clinical functions, such as data acquisition, visit note documentation, and order entry.

Emerging innovative models hold promise. We have observed in other practices (6, 9) and developed our own collaborative care model in which nurses, medical assistants, or health coaches manage electronic information, thus allowing the physician to provide undivided attention to the patient. Practices using these new models report greater patient access, better staff and physician satisfaction, and higher-quality metrics.

To flourish, these new models need both new policies and new technologies, such as a team login to allow seamless collaborative documentation between nurse and physician, team signatures to empower nursing staff to sign off on much of the paperwork in the practice, and meaningful use policies that allow nonclinical staff to fully support care.

New payment models will also help. The current visit-based, fee-for-service model contributes to the pressures to “text while doctoring” as clinicians record history on billing templates, progress through drop-down boxes to justify a level of service, distractedly multitask, and thus give their patients only partial attention. In contrast, we visited a practice under a global payment model in which clinician revenue does not depend on recording the encounter in a visit-based, level-of-service framework. Documentation in this practice, done largely by health coaches, focuses on the longitudinal portions of the record (problem list, patient goals, social history, and medication history), that is, those portions of the record that are most useful for care coordination and long-term management.

A tsunami is approaching the U.S. health care system: an obese, aging population, many newly insured, and a delivery system with limited primary care capacity, low numbers of students choosing primary care, and increasing burnout. But the problem is not simply one of physician supply—it is also one of physician utilization, which could be at least partially addressed by changing how work is organized, tasks are distributed, and the enterprise is regulated. At a time when so many are calling for teamwork in health care (10), policies and technologies that support

teamwork, including team login, seamless transitions between users, clerical assistant order entry, and administrative regulatory relief, are desperately needed.

Reducing texting while doctoring will decrease the hazards of distracted physicians making perceptual and cognitive errors during the medical encounter. We believe it will also improve patient and physician satisfaction.

From Medical Associates Clinic, Dubuque, Iowa, and University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin.

Potential Conflicts of Interest: Disclosures can be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=M13-0931.

Request for Single Reprints: Christine A. Sinsky, MD, Medical Associates Clinic and Health Plans, Department of Internal Medicine, 1000 Langworthy Street, Dubuque, IA 52001; e-mail, csinsky1@mahealthcare.com.

Current author addresses and author contributions are available at www.annals.org.

Ann Intern Med. 2013;159:782-783.

References

1. Olson RL, Hanowski RJ, Hickman JS, Bocanegra J. Driver Distraction in Commercial Vehicle Operations. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Transportation; 2009. Accessed at www.distraction.gov/research/PDF-Files/Driver-Distraction-Commercial-Vehicle-Operations.pdf on 26 May 2013.
2. Distracted Driving Laws. Washington, DC: Governors Highway Safety Assoc; 2013. Accessed at www.ghsa.org/html/stateinfo/laws/cellphone_laws.html on 25 May 2013.
3. Just MA, Keller TA, Cynkar J. A decrease in brain activation associated with driving when listening to someone speak. *Brain Res.* 2008;1205:70-80. [PMID: 18353285]
4. Beasley JW, Wetterneck TB, Temte J, Lapin JA, Smith P, Rivera-Rodriguez AJ, et al. Information chaos in primary care: implications for physician performance and patient safety. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2011;24:745-51. [PMID: 22086819]
5. Harman JS, Rost KM, Harle CA, Cook RL. Electronic medical record availability and primary care depression treatment. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2012;27:962-7. [PMID: 22311334]
6. Sinsky CA, Willard-Grace R, Schutzbank AM, Sinsky TA, Margolius D, Bodenheimer T. In search of joy in practice: a report of 23 high-functioning primary care practices. *Ann Fam Med.* 2013;11:272-8. [PMID: 23690328]
7. Zheng K, Haftel HM, Hirschl RB, O'Reilly M, Hanauer DA. Quantifying the impact of health IT implementations on clinical workflow: a new methodological perspective. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2010;17:454-61. [PMID: 20595314]
8. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. EHR Incentive Programs, Stage 2. Accessed at www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/EHRIncentivePrograms/Stage_2.html on 25 May 2013.
9. Anderson P, Halley MD. A new approach to making your doctor-nurse team more productive. *Fam Pract Manag.* 2008;15:35-40. [PMID: 18763683]
10. Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation, ABIM Foundation, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. Team-Based Competencies: Building a Shared Foundation for Education and Clinical Practice. 2011. Accessed at www.macyfoundation.org/docs/macy_pubs/Team-Based_Competencies.pdf on 25 May 2013.

ACP CHAPTER MEETINGS

For information on upcoming ACP chapter meetings, including scientific programs and registration forms, please visit www.acponline.org/meetings/chapter.

Current Author Addresses: Dr. Sinsky: Medical Associates Clinic and Health Plans, Department of Internal Medicine, 1000 Langworthy Street, Dubuque, IA 52001.

Dr. Beasley: University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Departments of Family Medicine and Industrial and Systems Engineering, 1100 Delaplaine Court, Madison, WI 53715.

Author Contributions: Conception and design: C.A. Sinsky, J.W. Beasley.

Drafting of the article: C.A. Sinsky, J.W. Beasley.

Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: C.A. Sinsky, J.W. Beasley.

Final approval of the article: C.A. Sinsky.