



# ChatGPT

**Attached Deliverables:** Please find the following files for download: (1) [bibliography.csv](#) – a comprehensive spreadsheet of sources with claims and details, (2) [fact\\_cards.csv](#) – compact claim→fact→source mappings, and (3) [top\\_30\\_sources.md](#) – a markdown reference list of the 30 most relevant sources (with “why it matters” and supported claims).

## Summary of Coverage, Gaps, and Conflicts:

Claim Category	Coverage (Sources & Alignment)	Gaps / Needs	Conflicts / Differences
<b>Capacity (MW vs kW) –</b> Hyperscale vs Edge vs Enterprise sizing.	<p><i>Covered by multiple sources:</i></p> <p>JLL <a href="#">1</a> and Dgtl Infra provide ranges (hyperscale 50–100+ MW vs edge ~50–500 kW; enterprise/colo in between). McKinsey (2025) reinforces hyperscale growth (200 MW now “normal”). All sources align that <i>hyperscalers operate at orders of magnitude larger scale</i> than typical enterprise or edge sites.</p>	<p><i>No major gaps:</i> Could use more real-world examples of <b>enterprise</b> DC capacities (most sources generalize ranges). However, current coverage sufficiently establishes typical load profiles for each category.</p>	<p><b>Definition variance:</b> JLL’s definition of “edge” (up to 2 MW) <a href="#">1</a> vs. Dgtl Infra’s stricter 0.5 MW upper bound – indicating <i>industry terminology varies</i>. Overall message is consistent (edge &lt;&lt; hyperscale).</p>
<b>Redundancy Models (N, N+1, 2N, 2N+1) –</b> Uptime trade-offs.	<p><i>Well covered:</i> CoreSite and 123NET blogs define N vs N+1 vs 2N vs 2(N+1) with examples. All sources agree on what each level means (N+1 = one spare, 2N = full duplication, etc.) and their relative uptime (e.g. Tier IV ~99.995% vs Tier I ~99.671%). These independent sources (colocation providers and Uptime Institute data via CoreSite) <b>corroborate each other</b> on redundancy concepts.</p>	<p><i>No significant gaps:</i> Covered definitions and examples. Perhaps could include more on “distributed redundancy” (e.g. 3N/2), but this is beyond the main scope. Overall, redundancy tiers and uptime implications are documented with multiple sources.</p>	<p><b>No direct conflicts:</b> Sources are in agreement. Only nuance: 123NET/ others note N+1 still has common-circuit risk. This nuance complements (doesn’t contradict) the general definitions. All sources consistently differentiate <i>parallel N+1</i> vs <i>fully fault-tolerant 2N</i>.</p>

Claim Category	Coverage (Sources & Alignment)	Gaps / Needs	Conflicts / Differences
<b>UPS Topologies</b> - Double-conversion vs Rotary (DRUPS); Battery types.	<p><i>Extensive coverage:</i> DCD article clearly explains static <b>double-conversion UPS</b> (motors/flywheels). CoreSite (Hatzenbuehler) and Schneider data cover <b>Lithium-ion vs VRLA batteries</b>, aligning on Li-ion's ~3x longer life and faster recharge. Sources uniformly highlight that <i>modern data centers predominantly use double-conversion UPS with VRLA, but Li-ion adoption is rising.</i></p>	<p><i>Minor gaps:</i> Could use more vendor-neutral data on <b>operational experience with Li-ion UPS</b> (most info comes from vendor blogs). Also, limited neutral data on <b>DRUPS reliability</b> beyond expert commentary (e.g., no broad stats on DRUPS vs static UPS failure rates). These areas might be bolstered by future case studies.</p>	<p><b>No major conflicts:</b> All sources agree on technical facts (e.g., Li-ion ~15-year life vs VRLA ~5-year). A slight difference in emphasis: DCD notes rotary UPS are a <i>niche mostly in Europe</i>, which doesn't conflict but adds context. Overall, the narrative is consistent: <i>double-conversion UPS + batteries is standard; rotary/DRUPS are specialized.</i></p>
<b>Generator Systems</b> - Diesel, Gas, Hydrogen; Utility feeds.	<p><i>Well covered:</i> Multiple sources (Rolls-Royce mtu via DCD <sup>2</sup>, DCF on Generac) confirm <b>diesel generators</b> as the dominant backup (2-3 MW units common) and note emerging <b>natural gas options</b>. Microsoft's report on a <b>3 MW hydrogen fuel cell</b> trial provides a forward-looking example. EPI/Uptime sources cover <b>utility feed redundancy</b> (Tier IV requiring two substations). Overall, sources agree that <i>diesel gensets with N+1 or 2N are standard, with gas/hydrogen just starting to appear.</i></p>	<p><i>Gaps:</i> Lack of independent field data on <b>natural gas generator performance</b> in data centers (e.g., latency, reliability vs diesel). Also, hydrogen fuel cell adoption is nascent – we only have a pilot case (Microsoft) – so no neutral stats on reliability/cost for that yet. These are noted as future areas to watch ("no neutral source on hydrogen genset adoption yet").</p>	<p><b>No direct contradictions:</b> All sources acknowledge diesel as current standard. Some variation in <b>emphasis:</b> e.g., vendor sources tout gas gensets as viable now, while others note gas's slower ramp as a drawback (implied in Rolls-Royce piece). These aren't outright conflicts – just different focus. There's also a <i>practical vs theoretical gap:</i> hydrogen is praised for zero-emission, but <b>Uptime/industry reports caution it's not yet widely adopted</b> (no disagreement, just reality vs future hope).</p>

Claim Category	Coverage (Sources & Alignment)	Gaps / Needs	Conflicts / Differences
<b>Efficiency &amp; PUE – Energy efficiency benchmarks.</b>	<p><i>Strong coverage:</i> Uptime Institute data (via DCK and Uptime's own report) shows <b>average PUE ~1.55</b> in recent years – indicating industry-wide efficiency has plateaued. Vertiv and others highlight the <b>PUE gap between hyperscalers (~1.1) and typical enterprise (~1.7).</b> All sources align that <i>lower PUE is better and hyperscalers are leading, while the global average is higher.</i> We have both the broad survey data and specific provider examples.</p>	<p><i>Gaps:</i> Could include more segmented data (e.g., PUE by region or by facility size). Also, no source in this pack specifically gives <b>PUE by tier</b> or by cooling type – although we have enough to infer trends. In general, current sources cover the main points; detailed breakdowns (if needed) might come from future DOE/EPA reports.</p>	<p><b>Conflicts:</b> Mainly in the form of <i>claims vs reality:</i> Hyperscalers (Google/Facebook) <b>claim ~1.1 PUE</b>, whereas the <b>industry average remains ~1.5+.</b> This isn't a direct disagreement between sources, but a contrast between <i>best-in-class vs typical</i>. It underscores potential skepticism on provider claims – some colos advertise PUE ~1.2, but Uptime data suggests most run higher. No conflicting numbers on the same statistic from two credible sources were found in our research.</p>

1 Why smaller data centers are taking off

<https://www.jll.com/en-us/insights/why-smaller-data-centers-are-taking-off>

2 Balancing act: How demand for data centers can square with environmental impact - DCD

<https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/opinions/balancing-act-how-demand-for-data-centers-can-square-with-environmental-impact/>