

pestpp-opt

May 7, 2019

1 Run PESTPP-OPT

In this notebook we will setup and solve a mgmt optimization problem around how much ground-water can be pumped while maintaining sw-gw exchange

```
In [1]: import os
import shutil
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import matplotlib as mpl
plt.rcParams['font.size']=12
import flopy
import pyemu
```

flopy is installed in /Users/jeremyw/Dev/gw1876/activities_2day_mfm/notebooks/flopy

```
In [2]: t_d = "template"
m_d = "master_opt"
```

```
In [3]: pst = pyemu.Pst(os.path.join(t_d,"freyberg.pst"))
pst.write_par_summary_table(filename="none").sort_index()
```

```
Out[3]:
```

	type	transform	count	initial value \
cn_hk6	cn_hk6	log	1	0
cn_hk7	cn_hk7	log	1	0
cn_hk8	cn_hk8	log	1	0
cn_prsity6	cn_prsity6	log	1	0
cn_prsity7	cn_prsity7	log	1	0
cn_prsity8	cn_prsity8	log	1	0
cn_rech4	cn_rech4	log	1	0
cn_rech5	cn_rech5	log	1	-0.39794
cn_ss6	cn_ss6	log	1	0
cn_ss7	cn_ss7	log	1	0
cn_ss8	cn_ss8	log	1	0
cn_strt6	cn_strt6	log	1	0

cn_strt7	cn_strt7	log	1	0
cn_strt8	cn_strt8	log	1	0
cn_sy6	cn_sy6	log	1	0
cn_sy7	cn_sy7	log	1	0
cn_sy8	cn_sy8	log	1	0
cn_vka6	cn_vka6	log	1	0
cn_vka7	cn_vka7	log	1	0
cn_vka8	cn_vka8	log	1	0
drncond_k00	drncond_k00	log	10	0
flow	flow	log	1	0
gr_hk3	gr_hk3	log	705	0
gr_hk4	gr_hk4	log	705	0
gr_hk5	gr_hk5	log	705	0
gr_prsity3	gr_prsity3	log	705	0
gr_prsity4	gr_prsity4	log	705	0
gr_prsity5	gr_prsity5	log	705	0
gr_rech2	gr_rech2	log	705	0
gr_rech3	gr_rech3	log	705	0
...
gr_strt5	gr_strt5	log	705	0
gr_sy3	gr_sy3	log	705	0
gr_sy4	gr_sy4	log	705	0
gr_sy5	gr_sy5	log	705	0
gr_vka3	gr_vka3	log	705	0
gr_vka4	gr_vka4	log	705	0
gr_vka5	gr_vka5	log	705	0
pp_hk0	pp_hk0	log	32	0
pp_hk1	pp_hk1	log	32	0
pp_hk2	pp_hk2	log	32	0
pp_prsity0	pp_prsity0	log	32	0
pp_prsity1	pp_prsity1	log	32	0
pp_prsity2	pp_prsity2	log	32	0
pp_rech0	pp_rech0	log	32	0
pp_rech1	pp_rech1	log	32	0
pp_ss0	pp_ss0	log	32	0
pp_ss1	pp_ss1	log	32	0
pp_ss2	pp_ss2	log	32	0
pp_strt0	pp_strt0	log	32	0
pp_strt1	pp_strt1	log	32	0
pp_strt2	pp_strt2	log	32	0
pp_sy0	pp_sy0	log	32	0
pp_sy1	pp_sy1	log	32	0
pp_sy2	pp_sy2	log	32	0
pp_vka0	pp_vka0	log	32	0
pp_vka1	pp_vka1	log	32	0
pp_vka2	pp_vka2	log	32	0
strk	strk	log	40	0
welflux	welflux	log	2	0 to 0.176091

welflux_k02	welflux_k02	log	6	0
	upper bound	lower bound	standard deviation	
cn_hk6	1	-1	0.5	
cn_hk7	1	-1	0.5	
cn_hk8	1	-1	0.5	
cn_prsity6	0	-1	0.25	
cn_prsity7	0	-1	0.25	
cn_prsity8	0	-1	0.25	
cn_rech4	0.0791812	-0.09691	0.0440228	
cn_rech5	-0.09691	-1	0.225772	
cn_ss6	1	-1	0.5	
cn_ss7	1	-1	0.5	
cn_ss8	1	-1	0.5	
cn_strt6	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664	
cn_strt7	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664	
cn_strt8	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664	
cn_sy6	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
cn_sy7	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
cn_sy8	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
cn_vka6	1	-1	0.5	
cn_vka7	1	-1	0.5	
cn_vka8	1	-1	0.5	
drncond_k00	1	-1	0.5	
flow	0.09691	-0.124939	0.0554622	
gr_hk3	1	-1	0.5	
gr_hk4	1	-1	0.5	
gr_hk5	1	-1	0.5	
gr_prsity3	0	-1	0.25	
gr_prsity4	0	-1	0.25	
gr_prsity5	0	-1	0.25	
gr_rech2	0.0413927	-0.0457575	0.0217875	
gr_rech3	0.0413927	-0.0457575	0.0217875	
...	
gr_strt5	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664	
gr_sy3	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
gr_sy4	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
gr_sy5	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275	
gr_vka3	1	-1	0.5	
gr_vka4	1	-1	0.5	
gr_vka5	1	-1	0.5	
pp_hk0	1	-1	0.5	
pp_hk1	1	-1	0.5	
pp_hk2	1	-1	0.5	
pp_prsity0	0	-1	0.25	
pp_prsity1	0	-1	0.25	
pp_prsity2	0	-1	0.25	
pp_rech0	0.0413927	-0.0457575	0.0217875	

pp_rech1	0.0413927	-0.0457575	0.0217875
pp_ss0	1	-1	0.5
pp_ss1	1	-1	0.5
pp_ss2	1	-1	0.5
pp_strt0	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664
pp_strt1	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664
pp_strt2	0.0211893	-0.0222764	0.0108664
pp_sy0	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275
pp_sy1	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275
pp_sy2	0.243038	-0.60206	0.211275
pp_vka0	1	-1	0.5
pp_vka1	1	-1	0.5
pp_vka2	1	-1	0.5
strk	2	-2	1
welflux	0.176091 to 0.30103	-0.30103 to 0	0.0752575 to 0.11928
welflux_k02	1	-1	0.5

[65 rows x 7 columns]

define our decision variable group and also set some ++args

```
In [4]: pst.pestpp_options = {}
        #dvg = ["welflux_k02", "welflux"]
        dvg = ["welflux_k02"]
        pst.pestpp_options["opt_dec_var_groups"] = dvg
        pst.pestpp_options["opt_direction"] = "max"
```

For the first run, we wont use chance constraints, so just fix all non-decision-variable parameter. We also need to set some realistic bounds on the welflux multiplier decision variables. Finally, we need to specify a larger derivative increment for the decision variable group

```
In [5]: par = pst.parameter_data
        par.loc[:, "partrans"] = "fixed"

        #turn off pumping in the scenario
        par.loc["welflux_001", "parlbnd"] = 0.0
        par.loc["welflux_001", "parval1"] = 0.0
        dvg_pars = par.loc[par.pargp.apply(lambda x: x in dvg), "parnme"]
        par.loc[dvg_pars, "partrans"] = "none"
        par.loc[dvg_pars, "parlbnd"] = 0.0
        par.loc[dvg_pars, "parubnd"] = 2.0
        par.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"] = 1.0

        pst.rectify_pgroups()
        pst.parameter_groups.loc[dvg, "inctyp"] = "absolute"
        pst.parameter_groups.loc[dvg, "inctyp"] = "absolute"
        pst.parameter_groups.loc[dvg, "derinc"] = 0.25

        pst.parameter_groups.loc[dvg, :]
```

```
Out [5]:
```

	pargpnme	inctyp	derinc	derinclb	forcen	derincmul	\
	pargpnme						
welflux_k02	welflux_k02	absolute	0.25	0.0	switch	2.0	

	dermthd	splitthresh	splitreldiff	splitaction	extra
	pargpnme				
welflux_k02	parabolic	0.00001	0.5	smaller	NaN

1.0.1 define constraints

model-based constraints are identified in pestpp-opt by an obs group that starts with “less_than” or “greater_than” and a weight greater than zero. So first, we turn off all of the weights and get names for the sw-gw exchange observations

```
In [6]: obs = pst.observation_data
obs.loc[:, "weight"] = 0.0
swgw_hist = obs.loc[obs.obsnme.apply(lambda x: "fa" in x and( "hw" in x or "tw" in x))
obs.loc[swgw_hist, :]
```

```
Out [6]:
```

	obsnme	obsval	weight	obgnme	extra
	obsnme				
fa_hw_19791230	fa_hw_19791230	-1218.82440	0.0	flaqx	NaN
fa_hw_19801229	fa_hw_19801229	-890.55650	0.0	flaqx	NaN
fa_tw_19791230	fa_tw_19791230	-1005.06000	0.0	flaqx	NaN
fa_tw_19801229	fa_tw_19801229	-622.11836	0.0	flaqx	NaN

We need to change the obs group (obgnme) so that pestpp-opt will recognize these two model outputs as constraints. The obsval becomes the RHS of the constraint. We also need to set a lower bound constraint on the total abstraction rate (good thing we included all those list file budget components as observations!)

```
In [7]: obs.loc[swgw_hist, "obgnme"] = "less_than"
obs.loc[swgw_hist, "weight"] = 1.0

obs.loc[swgw_hist, "obsval"] = -300

tot_abs_rate = ["flx_wells_19791230"]#, "flx_wells_19801229"]
obs.loc[tot_abs_rate, "obgnme"] = "less_than"
obs.loc[tot_abs_rate, "weight"] = 1.0
obs.loc[tot_abs_rate, "obsval"] = -600.0
pst.less_than_obs_constraints
```

```
Out [7]:
```

obsnme	
fa_hw_19791230	fa_hw_19791230
fa_hw_19801229	fa_hw_19801229
fa_tw_19791230	fa_tw_19791230
fa_tw_19801229	fa_tw_19801229
flx_wells_19791230	flx_wells_19791230

Name: obsnme, dtype: object

```
In [8]: pst.control_data.noptmax = 1
        pst.write(os.path.join(t_d, "freyberg_opt.pst"))
```

```
In [9]: pyemu.os_utils.start_slaves(t_d, "pestpp-opt", "freyberg_opt.pst", num_slaves=10, master_d=
```

Let's load and inspect the response matrix

```
In [10]: jco = pyemu.Jco.from_binary(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt.1.jcb")).to_dataframe().loc[
        jco
```

```
Out[10]:
```

	wf0200090016	wf0200110013	wf0200200014	wf0200260010	\
fa_hw_19791230	137.57200	126.32400	46.30000	21.90800	
fa_hw_19801229	22.58400	28.65600	12.03600	12.29200	
fa_tw_19791230	6.50728	14.53516	93.28136	92.42320	
fa_tw_19801229	4.10836	7.60104	15.29948	30.88604	
flx_wells_19791230	-150.00000	-150.00000	-150.00000	-150.00000	
	wf0200290006	wf0200340012			
fa_hw_19791230	18.12000	4.8320			
fa_hw_19801229	13.12800	3.3560			
fa_tw_19791230	71.84608	82.9612			
fa_tw_19801229	34.79872	17.5232			
flx_wells_19791230	-150.00000	-150.0000			

Let's also load the optimal decision variable values:

```
In [11]: par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt.1.par"))
        print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"].sum())
        par_df.loc[dvg_pars, :]
```

8.1332977617072

```
Out[11]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	0.133298	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	0.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	2.000000	1.0	0.0

The sum of these values is the optimal objective function value. However, since these are just mulitpliers on the pumping rate, this number isnt too meaningful. Instead, lets look at the residuals file

```
In [12]: pst = pyemu.Pst(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt.pst"), resfile=os.path.join(m_d, "freyber
        pst.res.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names, :]
```

```

Out [12]:
          name      group  measured  modelled  \
name
fa_hw_19791230      fa_hw_19791230  less_than   -300.0  -699.3735
fa_hw_19801229      fa_hw_19801229  less_than   -300.0  -714.4580
fa_tw_19791230      fa_tw_19791230  less_than   -300.0  -407.7249
fa_tw_19801229      fa_tw_19801229  less_than   -300.0  -299.7868
flx_wells_19791230  flx_wells_19791230  less_than   -600.0 -1219.9948

          residual  weight
name
fa_hw_19791230      399.3735      1.0
fa_hw_19801229      414.4580      1.0
fa_tw_19791230      107.7249      1.0
fa_tw_19801229       -0.2132      1.0
flx_wells_19791230  619.9948      1.0

```

Sweet as! lots of room in the optimization problem. The bounding constraint is the one closest to its RHS

1.0.2 Opt under uncertainty part 1: FOSM chance constraints

This is where the process of uncertainty quantification/history matching and mgmt optimization meet - worlds collide!

Mechanically, in PESTPP-OPT, to activate the chance constraint process, we need to specify a risk != 0.5

```

In [13]: pst.pestpp_options["opt_risk"] = 0.4

```

For the FOSM-based chance constraints, we also need to have at least one adjustable non-dec-var parameter so that we can propagate parameter uncertainty to model-based constraints (this can also be posterior FOSM is non-constraint, non-zero-weight observations are specified). For this simple demo, let's just use the constant multiplier parameters in the prior uncertainty stance:

```

In [14]: cn_pars = par.loc[par.pargp.apply(lambda x: "cn" in x), "parname"]
          cn_pars

```

```

Out [14]: parname
hk6_cn      hk6_cn
hk7_cn      hk7_cn
hk8_cn      hk8_cn
prsity6_cn  prsity6_cn
prsity7_cn  prsity7_cn
prsity8_cn  prsity8_cn
rech4_cn    rech4_cn
rech5_cn    rech5_cn
ss6_cn      ss6_cn
ss7_cn      ss7_cn
ss8_cn      ss8_cn
strt6_cn    strt6_cn

```

```

str7_cn      str7_cn
str8_cn      str8_cn
sy6_cn       sy6_cn
sy7_cn       sy7_cn
sy8_cn       sy8_cn
vka6_cn      vka6_cn
vka7_cn      vka7_cn
vka8_cn      vka8_cn
Name: parnme, dtype: object

```

```

In [15]: par = pst.parameter_data
par.loc[cn_pars,"partrans"] = "log"
pst.control_data.noptmax = 1
pst.write(os.path.join(t_d,"freyberg_opt_uu1.pst"))
pst.npar_adj

```

Out[15]: 26

```

In [16]: pyemu.os_utils.start_slaves(t_d,"pestpp-opt","freyberg_opt_uu1.pst",num_slaves=20,master_pid=1)

```

```

In [17]: pst = pyemu.Pst(os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_uu1.pst"),resfile=os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_uu1.res"))
pst.res.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names,:]

```

```

Out[17]:

```

	name	group	measured	modelled	\
name					
fa_hw_19791230	fa_hw_19791230	less_than	-300.0	-666.13442	
fa_hw_19801229	fa_hw_19801229	less_than	-300.0	-682.60800	
fa_tw_19791230	fa_tw_19791230	less_than	-300.0	-223.47050	
fa_tw_19801229	fa_tw_19801229	less_than	-300.0	-208.37540	
flx_wells_19791230	flx_wells_19791230	less_than	-600.0	-1586.33800	

	residual	weight
name		
fa_hw_19791230	366.13442	1.0
fa_hw_19801229	382.60800	1.0
fa_tw_19791230	-76.52950	1.0
fa_tw_19801229	-91.62460	1.0
flx_wells_19791230	986.33800	1.0

```

In [18]: par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_uu1.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars,"parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars,:]

```

10.575587155980312

```

Out[18]:

```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.000000	1.0	0.0

wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	1.481006	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	1.094581	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	2.000000	1.0	0.0

1.0.3 Opt under uncertainty part 2: ensemble-based chance constraints

PESTPP-OPT can also skip the FOSM calculations if users specify model-based constraint weights as standard deviations. These can be derived from existing ensembles (oh snap!)

```
In [19]: obs_df = pd.read_csv(os.path.join("master_prior_sweep", "sweep_out.csv"), index_col=0)
obs_df = obs_df.loc[obs_df.failed_flag==0,:]
```

```
In [20]: pr_std = obs_df.std().loc[pst.nnz_obs_names]
pr_std
```

```
Out[20]: fa_hw_19791230      342.243277
fa_hw_19801229      504.751673
fa_tw_19791230      460.304344
fa_tw_19801229      551.145476
flx_wells_19791230    634.870441
dtype: float64
```

Wait! Something is wrong here: The cumulative well flux constraint is not uncertain - it is just a summation of the specified flux. So lets give it a crazy small weight, implying it has a tiny uncertainty

```
In [21]: pr_std["flx_wells_19791230"] = 1.0e-10
pr_std
```

```
Out[21]: fa_hw_19791230      3.422433e+02
fa_hw_19801229      5.047517e+02
fa_tw_19791230      4.603043e+02
fa_tw_19801229      5.511455e+02
flx_wells_19791230      1.000000e-10
dtype: float64
```

```
In [22]: pst.observation_data.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names, "weight"] = pr_std.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names]
pst.pestpp_options["opt_std_weights"] = True
pst.write(os.path.join(t_d, "freyberg_opt_uu2.pst"))
```

```
In [23]: pyemu.os_utils.start_slaves(t_d, "pestpp-opt", "freyberg_opt_uu2.pst", num_slaves=10, mas
```

```
In [24]: par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_uu2.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars,:]
```

```
11.014792918935584
```

```
Out [24]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	1.014793	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	2.000000	1.0	0.0

1.0.4 Super secret mode

turns out, if the opt problem is truly linear, we can reuse results of a previous PESTPP-OPT run to modify lots of the pieces of the optimization problem and resolve without running the model even once! WAT!?

```
In [25]: shutil.copy2(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_uu2.1.jcb"), os.path.join(m_d, "restart.jcb"))
shutil.copy2(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_uu2.jcb.1.rei"), os.path.join(m_d, "restart.rei"))

pst.pestpp_options["base_jacobian"] = "restart.jcb"
pst.pestpp_options["hotstart_resfile"] = "restart.rei"
pst.pestpp_options["opt_skip_final"] = True
pst.write(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.pst"))

In [26]: pyemu.os_utils.run("pestpp-opt freyberg_opt_restart.pst", cwd=m_d)

In [27]: par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars, :]
```

11.014792918935584

```
Out [27]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	1.014793	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	2.000000	1.0	0.0

Oh snap! that means we can do all sort of kewl optimization testing really really fast....

```
In [28]: pst.pestpp_options["opt_risk"] = 0.54
pst.write(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.pst"))
pyemu.os_utils.run("pestpp-opt freyberg_opt_restart.pst", cwd=m_d)
par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars, :]
```

4.941769583173621

```
Out [28]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.00000	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.00000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	0.94177	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	0.00000	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	0.00000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	0.00000	1.0	0.0

Lets use the functionality to evaluate how our OUU problem changes if we use posterior standard deviations:

```
In [29]: obs_df = pd.read_csv(os.path.join("master_ies", "freyberg_ies.3.obs.csv"), index_col=0)
pt_std = obs_df.std().loc[pst.nnz_obs_names]
pt_std["flx_wells_19791230"] = 1.0e-10
pt_std
```

```
Out [29]: fa_hw_19791230      1.993006e+02
fa_hw_19801229      2.445312e+02
fa_tw_19791230      1.554623e+02
fa_tw_19801229      1.779257e+02
flx_wells_19791230    1.000000e-10
dtype: float64
```

```
In [30]: pst.observation_data.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names, "weight"] = pt_std.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names]
pst.observation_data.loc[pst.nnz_obs_names, "weight"]
```

```
Out [30]: obsnme
fa_hw_19791230      1.993006e+02
fa_hw_19801229      2.445312e+02
fa_tw_19791230      1.554623e+02
fa_tw_19801229      1.779257e+02
flx_wells_19791230    1.000000e-10
Name: weight, dtype: float64
```

```
In [31]: pst.write(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.pst"))
pyemu.os_utils.run("pestpp-opt freyberg_opt_restart.pst", cwd=m_d)
par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d, "freyberg_opt_restart.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars, "parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars, :]
```

7.215167978145524

```
Out [31]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				

wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	2.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	0.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	0.000000	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	1.215168	1.0	0.0

```
In [32]: res_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_resfile(os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_restart.jcb.1.re
res_df
```

```
Out [32]:
```

	name	group	measured	modelled	\
name					
fa_hw_19791230	fa_hw_19791230	less_than	-300.0	-977.23900	
fa_hw_19801229	fa_hw_19801229	less_than	-300.0	-757.44600	
fa_tw_19791230	fa_tw_19791230	less_than	-300.0	-453.03310	
fa_tw_19801229	fa_tw_19801229	less_than	-300.0	-282.96436	
flx_wells_19791230	flx_wells_19791230	less_than	-600.0	-900.00000	

	residual	weight
name		
fa_hw_19791230	677.23900	1.993006e+02
fa_hw_19801229	457.44600	2.445312e+02
fa_tw_19791230	153.03310	1.554623e+02
fa_tw_19801229	-17.03564	1.779257e+02
flx_wells_19791230	300.00000	1.000000e-10

```
In [33]: pst.pestpp_options["opt_risk"] = 0.95
pst.write(os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_restart.pst"))
pyemu.os_utils.run("pestpp-opt freyberg_opt_restart.pst", cwd=m_d)
par_df = pyemu.pst_utils.read_parfile(os.path.join(m_d,"freyberg_opt_restart.1.par"))
print(par_df.loc[dvg_pars,"parval1"].sum())
par_df.loc[dvg_pars,:]
```

```
4.0000000000001097
```

```
Out [33]:
```

	parnme	parval1	scale	offset
parnme				
wf0200090016	wf0200090016	2.0	1.0	0.0
wf0200110013	wf0200110013	2.0	1.0	0.0
wf0200200014	wf0200200014	0.0	1.0	0.0
wf0200260010	wf0200260010	0.0	1.0	0.0
wf0200290006	wf0200290006	0.0	1.0	0.0
wf0200340012	wf0200340012	0.0	1.0	0.0

2 FINALLY!!!

We now see the reason for high-dimensional uncertainty quantification and history matching: to define and the reduce (through data assimilation) the uncertainty in the model-based constraints so that we can find a 95% risk-averse management solution. BOOM!