**Group 4:**

**Total: (93/100)**

* **Objective** **(5/5):** The objective is concise and is feasible to achieve. However, I am little concerned about the GAN approach. I think it will take a lot of effort, additionally you have to bear in mind that the training dataset should be different (different statistical distribution) in order to “generalize knowledge”.
* **Innovation (10/10):** This approach was done before in the computer vision community, and I don't think it was used for medical imaging. One of my suggestions is to leverage experiments trying with different set distributions, playing around with data augmentation. Also consider visual representation approaches (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.07733>)
* **Data description (8/10):** The data description looks good. However, I think you should include class distribution between all subsets. I also strongly suggest including some images on the website to see how it looks.
* EDA: **(65/70)**
  + **(-5)** For following reasons:
* Be aware of plot readability. It is hard for a reader to understand a plot without any label or description (notebook).
* Your EDA is spot on. However, it would have been helpful for you to include descriptions of what the takeaways/observations are from the different plots. Some are obvious, but some could be explained.
* References **(5/5):** Good references!

**Suggestions:** Could you please make your website public?

**Note:** Also, we would like to see some images from your dataset on your website.