**Group 1**

**Total: (94/100)**

The website and EDA overall look good. Great work. Keep it up.

* **Objective:** The objective is clear, however, I would like to know how you are going to achieve it, for example, which machine learning algorithms are you planning to use, analysis and so on. **(5/5)**
* **Innovation:** Your innovation is clear and concise. I also liked the way you have provided the background with citations. **(10/10)**
* **Data description:** Data description is good, it gives an overall idea about your dataset, however, adding a table for your data description would improve readability. **(10/10)**
* **EDA:** **(65/70)**
  + Good choice of plots for plots 1 and 2, however, for plot 3 a bar graph would be more appropriate because there is no association between the duration across subjects.
  + **Suggestion:** Looking at hypopnea duration would also give you some insights along with hypopnea count. You may see some variations across subjects.
  + It would be helpful to include legends for plot 5.
  + **(-6)** For following reasons:
    - No explanation/takeaway for plot 4 (box plots for each feature), 7, 8 and 9.
    - Axis labels missing plot 4, 5, 6, 7.
* **References:** It was impressive that you not only listed the references on your website but also used it for citations in your innovation section. **(5/5)**

**It seems Vafa, Piper and Harold are the contributors to github submissions for EDA, however, your project team is of 4 people. Keep in mind that all the team members should contribute to the progress of the project.**