Final Project – Self-Grading

Shradha Godse – Credit Risk

ı	Machine I	earning	\cap	uestion: 2	n	nts
ı	Triacillitie i	Loanning	$\mathbf{\mathcal{V}}$	ucouon. Z	v	ρω

- A. Is the background context for the question stated clearly (with references)? \rightarrow 4
- B. Is the hypothesis/problem stated clearly \rightarrow 5
- C. Is it clear why the problems are important? Is it clear why anyone would care? \rightarrow 5
- D. Is it clear why the data chosen should be able to answer the question being asked? \rightarrow 4
- E. How new, non-obvious, and significant are your problems? Do you go beyond checking the easy and obvious? \rightarrow 5

II Data Cleaning/Checking/Data Exploration: 20pts

- A. Did you perform a thorough EDA (points below included)? \rightarrow 3
- B. Did you check for outliers? \rightarrow 3
- C. Did you check the units of all data points to make sure they are in the right range? \rightarrow 1 multiple features, so went through a few
- D. Did you identify the missing data code? \rightarrow 4
- E. Did you reformat the data properly with each instance/observation in a row and each variable in a column? \rightarrow 5
- F. Did you keep track of all parameters and units? \rightarrow 4
- G. Do you have a specific code for reformating the data that does not require information not documented (eg. magic numbers)? \rightarrow 5
- H. Did you plot univariate and multivariate summaries of the data including histograms, density plots, and boxplots? \rightarrow 2
- I. Did you consider correlations between variables (scatterplots)? \rightarrow 3
- J. Did you consider plot the data on the right scale? For example, on a log scale? \rightarrow 0

- K. Did you make sure that your target variables were not contaminating your input variables? \rightarrow 4
- L. If you had to make synthetic data was it a useful representation of the problem you were trying to solve? \rightarrow 4
- III. Transformation, Feature Selection, and Modeling: 30pts
- A. Did you transform, normalize, filter the data appropriately to solve your problem? Did you divide by max-min, or the sum, root-square-sum, or did you z-score the data? Did you justify what you did? \rightarrow 4
- B. Did you justify normalization or lack of checking which works better as part of your hyper-parameters? \rightarrow 5
- C. Did you explore univariate and multivariate feature selection? (if not why not) \rightarrow 5
- D. Did you try dimension reduction and which methods did you try? (if not why not) \rightarrow 5
- E. Did you include 1-2 simple models, for example with classification LDA,Logistic Regression or KNN? → 4
- F. Did you pick an appropriate set of models to solve the problem? Did you justify why these models and not others? \rightarrow 4
- G. Did you try at least 4 models including one Neural Network Model using

 Tensor-Flow or Pytorch? → 4
- H. Did you exercise the data science models/problems we described in the lectures showing what was presented? \rightarrow 5
- I. Are you using appropriate hyper-parameters? For example, if you are using a KNN regression are you investigating the choice of K and whether you use uniform or distance weighting? If you are using K-means do you explain why K? If you are using PCA do you explore how many dimensions such as by looking at the eigenvalues? → 4

- IV. Metrics, Validation and Evaluation 20pts
- A. Are you using an appropriate choice of metrics? Are they well justified? If you are doing classification do you show a ROC curve? If you are doing regression are you justifying the metric least squares vs. mean absolute error? Do you show both? \rightarrow 5
- B. Do you validate your choices of hyperparameters? For example, if you use KNN or K-means do you use cross-validation to optimize your choice of parameters? \rightarrow 5
- C. Did you make sure your training and validation process never used the training data? \rightarrow 4
- D. Do you estimate the uncertainty in your estimates using cross-validation? \rightarrow 4
- E. Can you say how much you are overfitting? → 4
- V. Visualization 10pts
- A. Do you provide visualization summaries for all your data and features? \rightarrow 2
- B. Do you use the correct visualization type, eg. bar graphs for categorical data, scatter plots for numerical data, etc? \rightarrow 3
- C. Are your axes properly labeled? \rightarrow 4
- D. Do you use color properly? \rightarrow 5
- E. Do you use opacity and dot size so that scatterplots with lots of data points are not just a mass of interpretable dots? \rightarrow 4
- F. Do you write captions explaining what a reader should conclude from each figure (not just saying what it is but what it tells you)? \rightarrow 5
- VI. Code 20pts
- A. Is the code provided can reproduce the entire work? \rightarrow 4
- B. Is the data included or at least linked (externally) with instructions on how to download it? \rightarrow 5
- C. Do you factor repeated operations into functions to avoid repetitively and error-prone copy-paste? \rightarrow 4

- E. Do you use docstrings and numpy documentation style:
 https://github.com/numpy/numpy/blob/master/doc/HOWTO_DOCUMENT.rst.txt
 to make your code clear and readable? → 4
- F. Do you use markdown cells to explain every step of your code similar to Homeworks and some example notebooks? \rightarrow 5
- G. Does the code demonstrate considerable work given the number of people on the project? \rightarrow 5
- VII. Presentation 30pts
- A. Do you tell a coherent story with a beginning, middle, and end? \rightarrow 4
- B. Do you introduce why the problem is important? \rightarrow 5
- C. Do you explain in the first couple of slides what you accomplished on solving the problem? \rightarrow 1
- D. Are you careful not to have slides filled with text (keep in notes)? \rightarrow 3
- E. Is data and evaluations presented as clear figures (mostly)? \rightarrow 3
- F. Do you make sure to say what is or should be learned from each figure? \rightarrow 3
- G. Do you stay within your time limits 15 min? \rightarrow 5
- H. Do you avoid useless padding slides of no relevance? 5

VIII. Report 30pts → 4