XAI Exercises

Felix Neubürger

2025

Exercise Sheet 1

Learning Objectives 1

- Understand basic concepts of interpretable machine learning
- Implement simple interpretable models
- Analyze model coefficients and feature importance

Exercise 1: Linear Model Interpretation (Basics)

Resources: Chapter 5.1 of textbook, Slides 15-28

- 1. Train a linear regression model on the Boston housing dataset
- 2. Extract and interpret the model coefficients
- 3. Calculate feature importance using standardized coefficients
- 4. Compare with Lasso regression results (feature selection)

```
# Starter code
from sklearn.datasets import load_boston
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression, Lasso
data = load_boston()
X, y = data.data, data.target
# Add your implementation here
```

Exercise 2: Decision Tree Analysis

Resources: Chapter 5.5 of textbook, Slides 45-52

- 1. Train a decision tree classifier on the iris dataset
- 2. Visualize the decision tree using graphviz
- 3. Extract and interpret feature importance values
- 4. Modify tree depth and analyze impact on interpretability

Exercise 3: Model Comparison

Resources: Chapter 6 of textbook, Slides 60-65 Compare the interpretability of:

- Linear regression vs. decision tree
- Global vs. local explanations
- Model-specific vs model-agnostic methods

Submission Guidelines

- $\bullet\,$ Submit Jupyter notebooks with implementations and markdown explanations
- Include visualizations of model interpretations

Recommended Resources

- LIME in Practice
- scikit-learn Documentation

Exercise Sheet 2

Exercise 1: Basic Feature Importance (45 mins)

Dataset: Wine Quality (UCI)

https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/186/wine+quality

Tools: sklearn, SHAP

1. Load dataset and train Random Forest (15 mins)

```
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
# Starter code for data loading
```

- 2. Calculate permutation importance (15 mins)
- 3. Create SHAP summary plot and interpret the results (15 mins)

```
import shap
explainer = shap.TreeExplainer(model)
shap_values = explainer.shap_values(X_test)
```

Exercise 2: Partial Dependence Plots (45 mins)

Dataset: Breast Cancer Wisconsin (UCI) https://archive.ics.uci.edu/dataset/17/breast+cancer+wisconsin+diagnostic

1. Create PDP for 2 features using sklearn (15 mins)

```
from sklearn.inspection import PartialDependenceDisplay
```

2. Interpret results and identify interactions. Discuss with the class (30 mins)

Theoretical Questions (Bonus)

- Why might feature importance differ between permutation and SHAP?
- When would PDP be preferred over ICE plots?

Exercise 3

Exercise 1: Grad-CAM with TorchCAM (45 mins)

Tools: torchcam, torchvision
Use the package documentation for reference: https://frgfm.github.io/torch-cam/

1. Setup environment and load model (15 mins)

```
import torch
from torchcam.methods import GradCAM
from torchvision.models import resnet18
from torchvision.datasets import CIFAR10

# Load pretrained model
model = resnet18(pretrained=True)
model.fc = torch.nn.Linear(512, 10)  # Adapt for CIFAR-10
model.load_state_dict(torch.load('cifar10_resnet18.pth'))
```

- 2. Generate and visualize explanations (30 mins)
- 3. Play around with the layers you are using for the explanations.
- 4. What are the differences?

```
from torchcam.utils import overlay_mask
from PIL import Image

# Initialize Grad-CAM
cam_extractor = GradCAM(model, 'layer4')

# Process sample image
img = Image.open("sample_airplane.jpg")
inputs = transform(img).unsqueeze(0)

# Generate heatmap
out = model(inputs)
activation_map = cam_extractor(out.squeeze(0).argmax().item(), out)
```

```
# Overlay on image
result = overlay_mask(img, activation_map[0], alpha=0.5)
result.show()
```

Pre-configured Setup

```
# Recommended environment
conda create -n xai python=3.8
conda install pytorch torchvision -c pytorch
pip install torchcam captum quantus pillow
```

Sample Solutions Checklist

Working Grad-CAM visualizations for 3 classes Faithfulness scores for both methods Comparative analysis table

Exercise 4

Explainable AI: CNN Interpretability with CIFAR-10 (Pre-built Tools)

Exercise 1: Comparative Analysis

Packages: torchcam vs captum

- 1. Generate explanations with both torchcam and captum
- 2. Generate explanations with other methods available in the captum and torchcam library https://github.com/pytorch/captum

```
# Captum implementation
from captum.attr import LayerGradCam

gradcam = LayerGradCam(model, model.layer4)
attr = gradcam.attribute(inputs, target=out.argmax())
```

3. Compare results using Quantus https://github.com/understandable-machine-intelligence-lab/Quantus

Key Questions

- Which package provides more intuitive visualizations?
- How do computational costs compare?
- Which method better highlights discriminative features?

Pre-configured Setup

Recommended environment
conda create -n xai python=3.8
conda install pytorch torchvision -c pytorch
pip install torchcam captum quantus pillow

Sample Solutions Checklist

Working Grad-CAM visualizations for 3 classes Faithfulness scores for both methods Comparative analysis table

Exercise Sheet 5

Exercise 1: Basic Attention Visualization

Tools: BERTviz & HuggingFace Transformers

```
1. Setup environment and load model
```

```
pip install bertviz transformers
```

2. Visualize attention in different layers

```
from bertviz import head_view
from transformers import BertModel, BertTokenizer

model = BertModel.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased', output_attentions=True)
tokenizer = BertTokenizer.from_pretrained('bert-base-uncased')

text = "The cat sat on the mat because it was tired."
inputs = tokenizer.encode(text, return_tensors='pt')
outputs = model(inputs)
attention = outputs[-1]  # Tuple of attention tensors

# Visualize
head_view(attention, tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(inputs[0]))
```

- 3. Tasks:
 - Identify attention heads focusing on pronouns ("it")
 - Compare patterns in early vs. final layers
 - Find heads specializing in syntactic vs semantic relationships

Exercise 2: Feature Attribution with Captum

Resources: Captum BERT Tutorial

1. Implement integrated gradients

2. Compare with attention weights

```
# Visualize both side-by-side
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
fig, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(1, 2)
ax1.imshow(attention[0][0].detach().numpy())
ax2.imshow(attributions[0].sum(dim=-1).detach().numpy())
```

Theoretical Questions

- How does attention visualization differ from feature attribution?
- What are the limitations of visualizing attention as explanation?
- When would you prefer attention visualization over gradient-based methods?

Advanced Tasks (Bonus)

- Modify visualization for multi-head comparison
- Calculate attention head importance scores
- Implement custom attention pattern filtering

Troubleshooting Tips

- If BERTviz doesn't render: Use Jupyter notebook directly
- For CUDA memory issues: Reduce model size to 'bert-tiny'
- Version conflicts: Pin to 'transformers==4.25.1', 'bertviz==1.0.0'

Recommended Resources

- BERTviz GitHub
- Captum BERT Tutorial
- Attention is not Explanation (Paper)

Exercise 6

Explainable AI: LLM Interpretability with Llama2

Exercise 1: Setup & Baseline Attribution

Tools: Captum, HuggingFace Transformers

```
1. Load a pretrained LLM with huggingface that fits your GPU
```

```
2. if no GPU is available use the https://www.ki.fh-swf.de/server
```

```
3. Llama-3.1-8B https://huggingface.co/meta-llama/Llama-3.1-8B
```

```
4. Llama-3.2-1B https://huggingface.co/meta-llama/Llama-3.2-1B
```

```
5. Llama-3.2-1B https://huggingface.co/meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B
```

```
from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModelForCausalLM
model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained("/meta-llama/Llama-3.1-8B")
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("/meta-llama/Llama-3.1-8B")
```

6. Generate baseline predictions

```
prompt = "Explain the concept of quantum entanglement:"
inputs = tokenizer(prompt, return_tensors="pt")
outputs = model.generate(inputs.input_ids, max_length=200)
print(tokenizer.decode(outputs[0]))
```

Exercise 2: Feature Attribution

Method: Layer Integrated Gradients

1. Implement attribution calculation

```
from captum.attr import LayerIntegratedGradients

def attribute(model, inputs):
    lig = LayerIntegratedGradients(model, model.model.layers[0])
```

Exercise 3: Comparative Analysis

- Compare different attributions following the https://captum.ai/tutorials/Llama2_LLM_Attribution:
- Discuss stability of attributions

Theoretical Questions

- 1. Why use layer-specific attribution instead of full-model?
- 2. How does choice of baseline impact IG results?
- 3. What challenges arise when interpreting auto-regressive models?

Optimization Tips

- Use model.half() for FP16 precision
- Limit sequence length to 512 tokens
- Cache model weights with model.cache=True
- Use return_convergence_delta=True for reliability checks

Advanced Tasks (Bonus)

- Implement attention head visualization yourself
- Compare with FeatureAblation method

Recommended Resources

- Official Captum Tutorial
- Llama2 Paper
- Llama2 GitHub