

# <sup>1</sup> LabelFusion: Learning to Fuse LLMs and Transformer Classifiers for Robust Text Classification

<sup>3</sup> Michael Schlee<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Weisser<sup>1</sup>, Timo Kivimäki<sup>2</sup>, Melchizedek  
<sup>4</sup> Mashiku<sup>4</sup>, and Benjamin Saefken<sup>3</sup>

<sup>5</sup> 1 Centre for Statistics, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany <sup>2</sup> Department of Politics and  
<sup>6</sup> International Studies, University of Bath, Bath, UK <sup>3</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Clausthal University of  
<sup>7</sup> Technology, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany <sup>4</sup> Tanaq Management Services LLC, Contracting Agency to  
<sup>8</sup> the Division of Viral Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Chamblee, Georgia, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review ↗](#)
- [Repository ↗](#)
- [Archive ↗](#)

---

Editor: [Open Journals ↗](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright  
and release the work under a  
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).  
21  
22  
23

## <sup>9</sup> Summary

<sup>10</sup> LabelFusion is a fusion ensemble for text classification that learns to combine a traditional  
<sup>11</sup> transformer-based classifier (e.g., RoBERTa) with one or more Large Language Models (LLMs)  
<sup>12</sup> such as OpenAI GPT, Google Gemini, or DeepSeek to deliver accurate and cost-aware predictions  
<sup>13</sup> across multi-class and multi-label tasks. The package provides a simple high-level interface  
<sup>14</sup> (AutoFusionClassifier) that trains the full pipeline end-to-end with minimal configuration,  
<sup>15</sup> and a flexible API for advanced users. Under the hood, LabelFusion concatenates vector signals  
<sup>16</sup> from the ML backbone (logits) and LLM(s) (per-class scores) and trains a compact multi-layer  
<sup>17</sup> perceptron (FusionMLP) to produce the final prediction. This learned fusion approach captures  
<sup>18</sup> complementary strengths of LLM reasoning and traditional transformer-based classifiers,  
<sup>19</sup> yielding robust performance across domains—achieving 92.4% accuracy on AG News topic  
<sup>20</sup> classification—while enabling practical trade-offs between accuracy, latency, and cost.

## <sup>21</sup> Statement of Need

<sup>22</sup> Modern text classification spans diverse scenarios, from sentiment analysis to complex topic  
<sup>23</sup> tagging ([Kant et al., 2025](#); [A. Thielmann, Weisser, Krenz, & Säfken, 2021](#); [A. Thielmann,](#)  
<sup>24</sup> [Weisser, & Krenz, 2021](#); [A. F. Thielmann et al., 2024](#)), often under constraints that vary per  
<sup>25</sup> deployment (throughput, cost ceilings, data privacy). While transformer classifiers such as  
<sup>26</sup> BERT/RoBERTa achieve strong supervised performance ([Devlin et al., 2018](#); [Liu et al., 2019](#)),  
<sup>27</sup> frontier LLMs can excel in low-data, ambiguous, or cross-domain settings ([OpenAI, 2023](#)). No  
<sup>28</sup> single model family is typically uniformly best: LLMs are powerful, but comparatively costly,  
<sup>29</sup> whereas fine-tuned transformers are efficient but may struggle with out-of-distribution cases.

<sup>30</sup> LabelFusion addresses this gap by: (1) exposing a minimal “AutoFusion” interface that trains a  
<sup>31</sup> learned combination of an ML backbone and one or more LLMs; (2) supporting both multi-class  
<sup>32</sup> and multi-label classification; (3) providing a lightweight fusion learner that directly fits on LLM  
<sup>33</sup> scores and ML logits; and (4) integrating cleanly with existing ensemble utilities. Researchers  
<sup>34</sup> and practitioners can therefore leverage LLMs where they add value while retaining the speed  
<sup>35</sup> and determinism of transformer models.

## <sup>36</sup> State of the Field

<sup>37</sup> In applied NLP, common tools such as scikit-learn ([Pedregosa et al., 2011](#)) and Hugging Face  
<sup>38</sup> Transformers ([Wolf et al., 2019](#)) offer strong baselines but do not provide a learned fusion of  
<sup>39</sup> LLMs with supervised transformers. Orchestration frameworks (e.g., LangChain) focus on tool  
<sup>40</sup> use rather than classification ensembles. LabelFusion contributes a focused, production-minded

<sup>41</sup> implementation of a small learned combiner that operates on per-class signals from both model  
<sup>42</sup> families.

### <sup>43</sup> Functionality and Design

<sup>44</sup> LabelFusion consists of three layers:

- <sup>45</sup> ▪ ML component: a RoBERTa-style classifier produces per-class logits for input texts.
- <sup>46</sup> ▪ LLM component(s): provider-specific classifiers (OpenAI, Gemini, DeepSeek) return
- <sup>47</sup> per-class scores via prompting. Scores can be cached to minimize API calls when cache
- <sup>48</sup> locations are provided.
- <sup>49</sup> ▪ Fusion component: a compact MLP concatenates ML logits and LLM scores and outputs
- <sup>50</sup> fused logits. The ML backbone is trained/fine-tuned with a small learning rate; the fusion
- <sup>51</sup> MLP uses a higher rate, enabling rapid adaptation without destabilizing the encoder.

<sup>52</sup> Key features:

- <sup>53</sup> ▪ **Multi-class and multi-label support** with consistent data structures and unified training pipeline.
- <sup>54</sup> ▪ **Optional LLM response caching** reuses on-disk predictions when cache paths are supplied, with dataset-hash validation to guard against stale files.
- <sup>55</sup> ▪ **Batched scoring** processes multiple texts efficiently with configurable batch sizes for both
- <sup>56</sup> ML tokenization and LLM API calls.
- <sup>57</sup> ▪ **Results management** via ResultsManager tracks experiments, stores predictions, computes metrics, and enables reproducible research workflows.
- <sup>58</sup> ▪ **Flexible interfaces**: Command-line training via `train_fusion.py` with YAML configs for
- <sup>59</sup> research; or minimal AutoFusion API for quick deployment.
- <sup>60</sup> ▪ **Composable design**: LabelFusion can serve as a strong base learner in higher-level
- <sup>61</sup> ensembles (e.g., voting/weighted combinations of multiple fusion models).

<sup>65</sup> Formally, multi-class classification assigns each input  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  to exactly one label among  $K$   
<sup>66</sup> mutually exclusive classes:

$$f_{\text{mc}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}.$$

<sup>67</sup> In contrast, multi-label classification predicts a subset of relevant classes, represented as a  
<sup>68</sup> binary indicator vector  $y \in \{0, 1\}^K$ , where  $y_k = 1$  denotes membership in class  $k$ :

$$f_{\text{ml}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^K.$$

### <sup>69</sup> Minimal Example (AutoFusion)

```
from textclassify import AutoFusionClassifier

config = {
    'llm_provider': 'deepseek',
    'label_columns': ['positive', 'negative', 'neutral']
}

clf = AutoFusionClassifier(config)
clf.fit(train_dataframe)           # trains ML backbone, gathers LLM scores, fits fusi
pred = clf.predict(["This is amazing!"]) # fused prediction
```

### <sup>70</sup> CLI and Configuration

<sup>71</sup> Users can generate a starter config and train via the command line:

- <sup>72</sup> ▪ Create config: `python train_fusion.py --create-config fusion_config.yaml`
- <sup>73</sup> ▪ Train: `python train_fusion.py --config fusion_config.yaml`
- <sup>74</sup> ▪ Optional test data and output artifacts are also supported.

## 75    Quality Control

76    The repository ships legacy unit tests under `tests/evaluation/old/` that cover configuration  
 77    handling, core types, and package integration. Fusion-specific logic is currently exercised  
 78    through CLI-driven workflows and notebooks that run end-to-end training with deterministic  
 79    seeds where applicable.

80    Evaluation scripts (`tests/evaluation/`) provide comprehensive benchmarking on standard  
 81    datasets: - **AG News** (Zhang et al., 2015): 4-class topic classification with experiments  
 82    across varying training data sizes (20%–100%) - **GoEmotions** (Demszky et al., 2020): 28-class  
 83    multi-label emotion classification for validating multi-label fusion performance

84    LLM scoring paths implement retries and disk caching; transformer training supports standard  
 85    sanity checks (overfit a small batch, reduced batch sizes for constrained hardware). Metrics  
 86    (accuracy/F1, per-label scores) are computed automatically and stored with run artifacts to  
 87    facilitate regression tracking and reproducibility.

## 88    Availability and Installation

89    LabelFusion is distributed as part of the `textclassify` package under the MIT license and  
 90    is available at <https://github.com/DataandAIResearch/LabelFusion>. The fusion components  
 91    require Python 3.8+ and common scientific Python dependencies (PyTorch, transformers,  
 92    scikit-learn, numpy, pandas, PyYAML). Optional plotting depends on matplotlib/seaborn.  
 93    Installation and quick-start snippets are provided in the README and FUSION\_README.md.

## 94    Production-Ready Features

95    Beyond the core fusion methodology, LabelFusion includes features for practical deployment:

- 96       **▪ LLM Response Caching:** Optional disk-backed caches reuse prior predictions when cache  
 97       paths are supplied, with dataset hashes to flag inconsistent inputs.
- 98       **▪ Results Management:** Built-in `ResultsManager` tracks experiments, stores predictions,  
 99       and computes metrics automatically. Supports comparison across runs and configuration  
 100       tracking.
- 101       **▪ Batch Processing:** Efficient batched scoring of texts with configurable batch sizes for  
 102       both ML and LLM components.

## 103    Impact and Use Cases

### 104    Empirical Performance

105    LabelFusion has been evaluated on standard benchmark datasets to validate its effectiveness.  
 106    Key findings demonstrate consistent improvements over individual model components:

#### 107    AG News Topic Classification

108    Evaluation on the AG News dataset (Zhang et al., 2015) (4-class topic classification) with  
 109    5,000 test samples shows:

Training Data	Model	Accuracy	F1-Score	Precision	Recall
20% (800)	<b>Fusion</b>	<b>92.2%</b>	<b>0.922</b>	0.923	0.922
20% (800)	RoBERTa	89.8%	0.899	0.902	0.898
20% (800)	OpenAI	84.4%	0.844	0.857	0.844
40% (1,600)	<b>Fusion</b>	<b>92.2%</b>	<b>0.922</b>	0.924	0.922
40% (1,600)	RoBERTa	91.0%	0.911	0.913	0.910
40% (1,600)	OpenAI	84.4%	0.844	0.857	0.844
100% (4,000)	<b>Fusion</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	<b>0.924</b>	0.926	0.924

Training Data	Model	Accuracy	F1-Score	Precision	Recall
100% (4,000)	RoBERTa	92.2%	0.922	0.923	0.922
100% (4,000)	OpenAI	84.4%	0.844	0.857	0.844

<sup>110</sup> **Key Observations:** - Fusion consistently outperforms individual models across all training  
<sup>111</sup> data sizes - With only 20% training data, Fusion achieves 92.2% accuracy—matching its  
<sup>112</sup> performance with full data - Demonstrates superior **data efficiency**: fusion learning extracts  
<sup>113</sup> maximum value from limited examples - RoBERTa alone requires 100% of data to approach  
<sup>114</sup> Fusion's 20% performance - LLM (OpenAI) shows stable but lower performance, highlighting  
<sup>115</sup> the value of combining approaches

<sup>116</sup> These results validate that learned fusion captures complementary strengths: the LLM provides  
<sup>117</sup> robust reasoning even with limited training data, while the ML backbone adds efficiency and  
<sup>118</sup> domain-specific patterns.

### <sup>119</sup> Application Domains

<sup>120</sup> Learned fusion excels in scenarios where model strengths complement each other:

- <sup>121</sup> ▪ **Customer feedback analysis** with nuanced multi-label taxonomies where LLMs handle  
<sup>122</sup> ambiguous sentiment while ML models efficiently process clear cases
- <sup>123</sup> ▪ **Content moderation** where uncertain cases benefit from LLM reasoning while routine  
<sup>124</sup> items rely on the fast ML backbone, enabling real-time processing with accuracy  
<sup>125</sup> guarantees
- <sup>126</sup> ▪ **Scientific literature classification** across heterogeneous topics where domain shift is  
<sup>127</sup> common and LLMs provide robustness to new terminology
- <sup>128</sup> ▪ **Low-resource settings** where limited training data is available but task complexity requires  
<sup>129</sup> sophisticated reasoning

<sup>130</sup> The approach enables pragmatic cost control (e.g., the fusion layer learns when to rely more  
<sup>131</sup> heavily on the efficient ML backbone versus the more expensive LLM signal) while retaining a  
<sup>132</sup> single trainable decision surface that optimizes for the specific deployment constraints.

### <sup>133</sup> Acknowledgements

<sup>134</sup> We thank contributors and users who reported issues and shared datasets. LabelFusion builds on  
<sup>135</sup> the open-source ecosystem, notably Hugging Face Transformers ([Wolf et al., 2019](#)), scikit-learn  
<sup>136</sup> ([Pedregosa et al., 2011](#)), PyTorch ([Paszke et al., 2019](#)), and LLM provider SDKs. The work  
<sup>137</sup> presented in this paper was conducted independently by the author Melchizedek Mashiku and  
<sup>138</sup> is not affiliated with Tanaq Management Services LLC, Contracting Agency to the Division  
<sup>139</sup> of Viral Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Chamblee, Georgia, USA. We  
<sup>140</sup> acknowledge the use of the AG News and GoEmotions benchmark datasets for evaluation.

### <sup>141</sup> References

- <sup>142</sup> Demszky, D., Movshovitz-Attias, D., Ko, J., Cowen, A., Nemade, G., & Ravi, S. (2020).  
<sup>143</sup> GoEmotions: A dataset of fine-grained emotions. *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting*  
<sup>144</sup> *of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 4040–4054.
- <sup>145</sup> Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). BERT: Pre-training of deep  
<sup>146</sup> bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- <sup>147</sup> Kant, G., Wiebelt, L., Weisser, C., Kis-Katos, K., Luber, M., & Säfken, B. (2025). An  
<sup>148</sup> iterative topic model filtering framework for short and noisy user-generated data: Analyzing  
<sup>149</sup> conspiracy theories on twitter. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, 20(2),  
<sup>150</sup> 269–289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41060-022-00321-4>

- 151 Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., Levy, O., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer,  
152 L., & Stoyanov, V. (2019). RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach.  
153 *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1907.11692*.
- 154 OpenAI. (2023). GPT-4 technical report. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2303.08774*.
- 155 Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin,  
156 Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., & others. (2019). PyTorch: An imperative style, high-  
157 performance deep learning library. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*,  
158 32.
- 159 Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M.,  
160 Prettenhofer, P., Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., & others. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning  
161 in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12(Oct), 2825–2830.
- 162 Thielmann, A. F., Weisser, C., & Säfken, B. (2024). Human in the loop: How to effectively  
163 create coherent topics by manually labeling only a few documents per class. In N. Calzolari,  
164 M.-Y. Kan, V. Hoste, A. Lenci, S. Sakti, & N. Xue (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2024 joint  
165 international conference on computational linguistics, language resources and evaluation  
(LREC-COLING 2024)* (pp. 8395–8405). ELRA; ICCL. <https://aclanthology.org/2024.lrec-main.736/>
- 166
- 167
- 168 Thielmann, A., Weisser, C., & Krenz, A. (2021). One-class support vector machine and LDA  
169 topic model integration—evidence for AI patents. In N. H. Phuong & V. Kreinovich (Eds.),  
170 *Soft computing: Biomedical and related applications* (pp. 263–272). Springer International  
171 Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76620-7\\_23](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76620-7_23)
- 172 Thielmann, A., Weisser, C., Krenz, A., & Säfken, B. (2021). Unsupervised document  
173 classification integrating web scraping, one-class SVM and LDA topic modelling. *Journal  
174 of Applied Statistics*, 50(3), 574–591. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2021.1919063>
- 175 Wolf, T., Debut, L., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., Delangue, C., Moi, A., Cistac, P., Rault, T.,  
176 Louf, R., Funtowicz, M., & others. (2019). HuggingFace’s transformers: State-of-the-art  
177 natural language processing. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1910.03771*.
- 178 Zhang, X., Zhao, J., & LeCun, Y. (2015). Character-level convolutional networks for text  
179 classification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 28, 649–657.