

SQL Exercise Report - Exercise 3.9

Author: Alexandru Cojocari

Task 1A - Calculating Average Total Amount from Top Customers

To calculate the average total payment amount of the top five customers residing in the ten most populated cities (from the top ten countries with the most customers), the following CTEs were defined:

1. Top_10_countries: Extracts the ten countries with the highest number of customers.
2. Top_city: Identifies the top cities based on customer count within those top countries.
3. Top_customer: Sums up the total payments from customers in those top cities and retrieves the top five based on total payment amount.

[Query](#) [Query History](#)

```
21 limit 10),
22 Top_customer AS
23 (SELECT SUM(A.amount) AS total_amount
24 FROM payment A
25 INNER JOIN customer B ON A.customer_id = B.customer_id
26 INNER JOIN address C ON B.address_id = C.address_id
27 INNER JOIN city D ON C.city_id = D.city_id
28 INNER JOIN country E ON D.country_id = E.country_id
29 where D.city in ( select * from Top_city)
30 group by B.first_name, B.last_name, D.city, E.country
31 order by total_amount desc
32 limit 5)
33 select avg(total_amount)
34 from top_customer
35
```

[Data Output](#) [Messages](#) [Notifications](#)

	<div>avg numeric</div>
1	105.5540000000000000

Task 1B - Counting Customers by Country and Comparing Top Performers

This task enhances the previous query to count all distinct customers in each country and compare them with the number of top customers (from the earlier result) in those same countries.

Reflection: Transitioning from Subqueries to CTEs

To refactor the original subqueries into CTEs, I began by isolating the deepest filtering layer: the top countries. Then, I created a second CTE for top cities, using the previous CTE to retain logical linkage. Lastly, a third CTE was defined for top customers. This structured method ensures clarity and modularity in the query design.

```
5 count(distinct Top_customer.customer_id) AS top_customer_count
6 from customer A
7 inner join address B on A.address_id = B.address_id
8 inner join city C on B.city_id = C.city_id
9 inner join country D on C.country_id = D.country_id
9 left join Top_customer Top_customer On D.country = top_customer.country
1 group by D.country
2 order by top_customer_count desc
3 limit 10
4
5
```

Data Output Messages Notifications

+

📄

▼

📋

▼

🗑️

🗄️

⬇️

📈

SQL

country	all_customer_count	top_customer_count
character varying (50)	bigint	bigint
Mexico	30	1
China	53	1
Japan	31	1
United States	36	1
India	60	1

Task 2 - Performance Comparison: CTE vs. Subquery

I found that CTEs offered slightly better performance and significantly improved readability. The table below shows the execution cost and speed for both approaches:

Query Type | CTE Cost | Subquery Cost | CTE Speed | Subquery Speed

-----|-----|-----|-----|-----

Query 1 | 167 | 127.63 | 92 ms | 125 ms

Query 2 | 268.99 | 231.70 | 107 ms | 197 ms

Observation: While performance differences were minor, the structure and maintainability of CTEs make them favorable, especially in complex queries.

Task 3 - Challenges Faced

One of the primary challenges was adjusting the nested subqueries into standalone CTEs without altering the result. This required rethinking how data flows between each segment. I had to troubleshoot the CTE logic more frequently to ensure accurate output due to slight variations in CTE structure requirements.