## PCA algorithm

## December 3, 2023

```
[23]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
      import pandas as pd
      import numpy as np
 [2]: from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
 [3]: #Importing breast cancer dataset from the sk-learn library into the cancer

    library

      cancer = load_breast_cancer()
      #Displaying the keys of the loaded dataset with provides information about the
       →different attributes of the dataset
      cancer.keys()
 [3]: dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'frame', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names',
      'filename', 'data_module'])
 [4]: #Displaying the description of the breast cancer dataset
      #The 'DESCR' key typically contains information about the dataset, itsu
      ⇔features, and its origin
      print(cancer['DESCR'])
     .. _breast_cancer_dataset:
     Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset
     **Data Set Characteristics:**
         :Number of Instances: 569
         :Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class
         :Attribute Information:
             - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)
             - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
             - perimeter
             - area
             - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
```

- compactness (perimeter^2 / area 1.0)
- concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.

## - class:

- WDBC-Malignant
- WDBC-Benign

## :Summary Statistics:

	=====	=====
	Min	Max
	=====	=====
radius (mean):	6.981	28.11
texture (mean):	9.71	39.28
<pre>perimeter (mean):</pre>	43.79	188.5
area (mean):	143.5	2501.0
<pre>smoothness (mean):</pre>	0.053	0.163
compactness (mean):	0.019	0.345
concavity (mean):	0.0	0.427
concave points (mean):	0.0	0.201
<pre>symmetry (mean):</pre>	0.106	0.304
fractal dimension (mean):	0.05	0.097
radius (standard error):	0.112	2.873
texture (standard error):	0.36	4.885
perimeter (standard error):	0.757	21.98
area (standard error):	6.802	542.2
smoothness (standard error):	0.002	0.031
compactness (standard error):	0.002	0.135
concavity (standard error):	0.0	0.396
concave points (standard error):	0.0	0.053
symmetry (standard error):	0.008	0.079
fractal dimension (standard error):	0.001	0.03
radius (worst):	7.93	36.04
texture (worst):	12.02	49.54
perimeter (worst):	50.41	251.2
area (worst):	185.2	4254.0
<pre>smoothness (worst):</pre>	0.071	0.223
compactness (worst):	0.027	1.058
<pre>concavity (worst):</pre>	0.0	1.252
concave points (worst):	0.0	0.291

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets. https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in:
[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

- .. topic:: References
  - W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.
  - O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and

prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577,

July-August 1995.

- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques

to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994)

163-171.

[6]: #Displaying the first five rows of the new DataFrame df.head()

	mean radius	mean te	xture	mean	perimet	er mean	area	mean smoothr	ess	\
0					-					•
3										
4										
	mean compac	tness me	an con	cavity	mean	concave	points	mean symmet	ry \	
0	0.	27760	(	0.3001		0	.14710	0.24	19	
1	0.	07864	(	0.0869		0	.07017	0.1812		
2	0.	15990	(	0.1974		0	.12790	0.2069		
3	0.	28390	28390 0.2414 0.10520 0.2597				97			
4	0.	13280	(	0.1980		0	.10430	0.18	809	
					4		<b>. .</b>			\
	mean iracta	1 dimensi		worst				-		
Λ		0 070	71		JE 30		17 99	) 1		
0		0.078			25.38		17.33		84.60	
1		0.056	67 <b></b>		24.99		23.41	. 1	58.80	
1 2		0.056 0.059	67 99		24.99 23.57		23.41 25.53	1 3 1	.58.80 .52.50	
1 2 3		0.056 0.059 0.097	67 99 44		24.99 23.57 14.91		23.41 25.53 26.50	1 3 1 )	.58.80 .52.50 98.87	
1 2		0.056 0.059	67 99 44		24.99 23.57		23.41 25.53	1 3 1 )	.58.80 .52.50	
1 2 3	worst area	0.056 0.059 0.097 0.058	67 99 44 83	ss wo	24.99 23.57 14.91 22.54		23.41 25.53 26.50 16.67	1 3 1 ) 7 1	58.80 52.50 98.87 52.20	
1 2 3	worst area 2019.0	0.056 0.059 0.097	67 99 44 83		24.99 23.57 14.91 22.54		23.41 25.53 26.50 16.67 worst	1 3 1 )	58.80 52.50 98.87 52.20	
1 2 3 4		0.056 0.059 0.097 0.058	67 99 44 83	22	24.99 23.57 14.91 22.54	pactness	23.41 25.53 26.50 16.67 worst	1 3 1 0 1 7 2 concavity	58.80 52.50 98.87 52.20	
1 2 3 4	2019.0	0.056 0.059 0.097 0.058	67 99 44 83 oothnes	22 38	24.99 23.57 14.91 22.54	pactness 0.6656	23.41 25.53 26.50 16.67 worst	13 1 3 1 7 1 5 concavity 0.7119	58.80 52.50 98.87 52.20	
1 2 3 4 0 1	2019.0 1956.0	0.056 0.059 0.097 0.058	67 99 44 83 oothnes 0.163	22 38 44	24.99 23.57 14.91 22.54	pactness 0.6656 0.1866	23.41 25.53 26.50 16.67 worst	13 1 3 1 7 1 5 concavity 0.7119 0.2416	58.80 52.50 98.87 52.20	
	1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3	0 17.99 1 20.57 2 19.69 3 11.42 4 20.29  mean compac 0 0.1 1 0.2 2 0.3 4 0.	0 17.99 1 20.57 2 19.69 3 11.42 4 20.29 mean compactness me 0 0.27760 1 0.07864 2 0.15990 3 0.28390 4 0.13280 mean fractal dimensi	0 17.99 10.38 1 20.57 17.77 2 19.69 21.25 3 11.42 20.38 4 20.29 14.34 mean compactness mean cond 0 0.27760 1 0.07864 2 0.15990 3 0.28390 4 0.13280 mean fractal dimension	0 17.99 10.38 1 20.57 17.77 2 19.69 21.25 3 11.42 20.38 4 20.29 14.34 mean compactness mean concavity 0 0.27760 0.3001 1 0.07864 0.0869 2 0.15990 0.1974 3 0.28390 0.2414 4 0.13280 0.1980 mean fractal dimension worst	0 17.99 10.38 122. 1 20.57 17.77 132. 2 19.69 21.25 130. 3 11.42 20.38 77. 4 20.29 14.34 135.  mean compactness mean concavity mean 0 0.27760 0.3001 1 0.07864 0.0869 2 0.15990 0.1974 3 0.28390 0.2414 4 0.13280 0.1980  mean fractal dimension worst radius	0 17.99 10.38 122.80 10 1 20.57 17.77 132.90 10 2 19.69 21.25 130.00 10 3 11.42 20.38 77.58 4 20.29 14.34 135.10 10  mean compactness mean concavity mean concave 10 0 0.27760 0.3001 0 1 0.07864 0.0869 0 2 0.15990 0.1974 0 3 0.28390 0.2414 0 4 0.13280 0.1980 0  mean fractal dimension worst radius worst	0 17.99 10.38 122.80 1001.0 1 20.57 17.77 132.90 1326.0 2 19.69 21.25 130.00 1203.0 3 11.42 20.38 77.58 386.1 4 20.29 14.34 135.10 1297.0  mean compactness mean concavity mean concave points 0 0.27760 0.3001 0.14710 1 0.07864 0.0869 0.07017 2 0.15990 0.1974 0.12790 3 0.28390 0.2414 0.10520 4 0.13280 0.1980 0.10430  mean fractal dimension worst radius worst texture	0       17.99       10.38       122.80       1001.0       0.11         1       20.57       17.77       132.90       1326.0       0.08         2       19.69       21.25       130.00       1203.0       0.10         3       11.42       20.38       77.58       386.1       0.14         4       20.29       14.34       135.10       1297.0       0.10         mean compactness mean concavity mean concave points mean symmet       0       0.27760       0.3001       0.14710       0.24         1       0.07864       0.0869       0.07017       0.18         2       0.15990       0.1974       0.12790       0.20         3       0.28390       0.2414       0.10520       0.25         4       0.13280       0.1980       0.10430       0.18         mean fractal dimension worst radius worst texture worst period	0       17.99       10.38       122.80       1001.0       0.11840         1       20.57       17.77       132.90       1326.0       0.08474         2       19.69       21.25       130.00       1203.0       0.10960         3       11.42       20.38       77.58       386.1       0.14250         4       20.29       14.34       135.10       1297.0       0.10030         mean compactness mean concavity mean concave points mean symmetry         0       0.27760       0.3001       0.14710       0.2419         1       0.07864       0.0869       0.07017       0.1812         2       0.15990       0.1974       0.12790       0.2069         3       0.28390       0.2414       0.10520       0.2597         4       0.13280       0.1980       0.10430       0.1809

worst concave points worst symmetry worst fractal dimension

```
0
                       0.2654
                                       0.4601
                                                                0.11890
                                       0.2750
      1
                       0.1860
                                                                0.08902
      2
                       0.2430
                                       0.3613
                                                                0.08758
      3
                       0.2575
                                       0.6638
                                                                0.17300
                       0.1625
                                       0.2364
                                                                0.07678
      [5 rows x 30 columns]
 [7]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
 [8]: #Creating an instance of the StandardScaler, which will be used to standardize
      \hookrightarrow the data
      scaler = StandardScaler()
      #Fitting the StandardScaler to the data in the DataFrame 'df'
      #This computes the mean and standard deviation necessary for standardization
      scaler.fit(df)
 [8]: StandardScaler()
 [9]: #Creating another instance of the StandardScaler class with default parameter,
       values which allows you to customize the behavior of the scaler
      StandardScaler(copy=True, with_mean=True, with_std=True)
 [9]: StandardScaler()
[10]: #Using the previously fitted StandardScaler to transform the data in the
      →DataFrame 'df'
      #The transform method applies the scaling computed during fitting to the input_1
      scaled_data = scaler.transform(df)
[11]: #Displaying the variable 'scaled data'
      scaled_data
[11]: array([[ 1.09706398, -2.07333501, 1.26993369, ..., 2.29607613,
               2.75062224, 1.93701461],
             [ 1.82982061, -0.35363241, 1.68595471, ..., 1.0870843 ,
              -0.24388967, 0.28118999],
             [ 1.57988811, 0.45618695, 1.56650313, ..., 1.95500035,
               1.152255 , 0.20139121],
             [0.70228425, 2.0455738, 0.67267578, ..., 0.41406869,
              -1.10454895, -0.31840916],
             [ 1.83834103, 2.33645719, 1.98252415, ..., 2.28998549,
               1.91908301, 2.21963528],
             [-1.80840125, 1.22179204, -1.81438851, ..., -1.74506282,
              -0.04813821, -0.75120669]])
```

```
[12]: #Importing the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) class from scikit-learn #PCA is a technique used for dimensionality reduction and feature extraction from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
```

- [15]: #Fitting the PCA model to the scaled data
  #This step computes the principal components based on the scaled input data
  pca.fit(scaled\_data)
- [15]: PCA(n\_components=2)
- [18]: #Transforming the scaled data into the lower-dimensional space using the fitted  $\rightarrow$  PCA model #The transform method applies the dimensionality reduction to the input data  $x_pca = pca.transform(scaled_data)$
- [16]: #Displaying the shape (dimensions) of the scaled data
  #This provides information about the number of samples and features in the

  dataset
  scaled\_data.shape
- [16]: (569, 30)
- [20]: #Displaying the scaled data

  #This shows the dataset after standardization, where each feature has zero mean

  → and unit variance

  scaled\_data

```
[20]: array([[ 1.09706398, -2.07333501, 1.26993369, ..., 2.29607613, 2.75062224, 1.93701461],
        [ 1.82982061, -0.35363241, 1.68595471, ..., 1.0870843, -0.24388967, 0.28118999],
        [ 1.57988811, 0.45618695, 1.56650313, ..., 1.95500035, 1.152255, 0.20139121],
        ...,
        [ 0.70228425, 2.0455738, 0.67267578, ..., 0.41406869, -1.10454895, -0.31840916],
        [ 1.83834103, 2.33645719, 1.98252415, ..., 2.28998549, 1.91908301, 2.21963528],
        [-1.80840125, 1.22179204, -1.81438851, ..., -1.74506282, -0.04813821, -0.75120669]])
```

```
[19]: #Displaying the shape (dimensions) of the reduced-dimensional data obtained
       \hookrightarrow from PCA
      #This provides information about the number of samples and the reduced number
       ⇔of features/components
      x_pca.shape
[19]: (569, 2)
[21]: #Displaying the reduced-dimensional data obtained from PCA
      #This data represents the original dataset transformed into a lower-dimensional _{\sqcup}
       ⇔space
      x_pca
[21]: array([[ 9.19283683, 1.94858307],
             [2.3878018, -3.76817174],
             [ 5.73389628, -1.0751738 ],
             [ 1.25617928, -1.90229671],
             [10.37479406, 1.67201011],
             [-5.4752433 , -0.67063679]])
[25]: #Creating a scatter plot to visualize the reduced-dimensional data
      \#Each point in the plot represents a sample, colored based on the target
       \neg variable
      #The x-axis corresponds to the first principal component, and the y-axis to the
      ⇔second principal component
      plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
      plt.scatter(x_pca[:, 0], x_pca[:, 1], c = cancer['target'])
      plt.xlabel('First principle component')
      plt.ylabel('Second principle component')
```

