# NTU CSIE FAI 2024 Homework 3

# **Hand-written Part**

For the hand-written part, I discussed with 金京(B10204022), she inspired me a lot when I was struggling with mathematical problems.

$$\nabla_{w} \operatorname{err}(w^{T} \times \mathcal{A}) = -2X\mathcal{A} (\operatorname{max}(1-\mathcal{A}w^{T} \times, 0))^{2}$$

$$\nabla E_{in}(W) = -\frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_{n} \mathcal{A}_{n} (\operatorname{max}(1-\mathcal{A}w^{T} \times, 0))$$

$$Z \cdot P_{u}(X_{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(N_{n}-u)^{2}}{2}}$$

$$U^{k} = \operatorname{argmax} \mathcal{A} P_{u}(X_{n}), \text{ argmax} \Rightarrow \operatorname{derivative} \cdot 0.$$
Since we want to find "u", and log worst affect their scale relationship
$$\Rightarrow \text{ we can use In to make it easier to calculate.}$$

$$|n| (\mathcal{A} P_{u}(X_{n})) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \mathbf{u} + |n(\sqrt{n\pi})|)$$

$$\nabla_{u} |n| (\mathcal{A} P_{u}(X_{n})) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2n^{-u}}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{k} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{A} = [0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} W_{n}^{k+1} \delta(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_{n}), \mathcal{A}_{n})$$

$$\sum_{n=$$

# **Programming Part**

For the programming part, I used ChatGPT and Copilot as my assistant.

# 1. Source code (Python)

see hw3.py.

# 2. Report

# (a)

Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.644444444444445

Linear Regression MSE: 43.55517372516372

Decision Tree Regressor MSE: 28.341570306709183 Random Forest Regressor MSE: 23.4852529856091

In both classification and regression, the performance of random forest is the best, which is same as my prior speculation. The rationale behind my speculation is

- 1. Linear models may not be powerful enough for the task due to their inability to capture non-linear relationships.
- 2. Decision tree is prone to overfitting.

In most cases, random forest performs the best among these models. This is because random forest leverages bagging and majority voting to prevent overfitting and enhance both performance and robustness.

# (b)

#### **Normalization:**

Accuracy: 0.64444444444445

Rationale: Use the minimum and maximum values of the features to rescale the data evenly.

### Advantages:

- 1. Rescale the data to a fixed range, which is friendly to algorithms that are sensitive to the scale of the data.
- 2. Maintains the original shape of the data distribution better.

### Disadvantages:

- 1. Sensitive to outliers.
- 2. May not perform well with algorithms that assume data in normal distribution.

### **Standardization**

Accuracy: 0.866666666666667

Rationale: Rescales the features to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

## Advantages:

- 1. Less sensitive to outliers.
- 2. Perform well with algorithms that assume data in normal distribution.

### Disadvantages:

- 1. Transformed data does not have a fixed range.
- 2. May not perform well if the data is not approximately normally distributed.

Which strategy is better depends on the dataset.

# (c)

Config 1: learning rate: 0.01, iterations: 1000

Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.644444444444445

Linear Regression MSE: 43.55517372516372

Config 2: learning rate: 0.03, iterations: 1000

Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.75555555555555555

Linear Regression MSE: 41.20507163976007

Config 3: learning rate: 0.01, iterations: 3000

Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.75555555555555555

Linear Regression MSE: 41.20274641952791

## **Learning Rate**

The performances of Config 2 are better than Config 1 in both classification and regression. Higher learning rate will let the model converge faster, and therefore provide a better performance.

#### **Iteration**

The performances of Config 3 are better than Config 1 in both classification and regression.

More Iterations will give the model a higher chance to converge, and achieve better performance.

After the experiments, I consider the model of Config 1 is still underfitting.

# (d)

Config 1: depth: 5, size: 100

Random Forest Classifier Accuracy: 0.9111111111111111

Random Forest Regressor MSE: 23.4852529856091

Config 2: depth: 10, size: 100

Random Forest Classifier Accuracy: 0.9111111111111111

Random Forest Regressor MSE: 23.704580444810183

Config 3: depth: 5, size: 200

Random Forest Classifier Accuracy: 0.9111111111111111

Random Forest Regressor MSE: 23.398899871131306

### **Discussion**

Larger max-depth leads to higher complexity, lower generalization, and higher chance of overfitting. Larger forest size leads to higher complexity, higher generalization, and less chance of overfitting. Finally, I choose max-depth as 5 and forest size as 100 considering model complexity, generalization, and overfitting.

# (e)

### **Linear Model**

# Strengths:

- 1. Extremely low model complexity
- 2. Less prone to overfitting
- 3. Works well with linear relationships

### Weaknesses:

Limited expressiveness

#### **Decision Tree**

Strengths:

- 1. Non-linearity
- 2. Low model complexity
- 3. Feature importance
- 4. Better expressiveness

### Weaknesses:

1. Overfitting

### **Random Forest**

## Strengths:

- 1. Non-linearity
- 2. Robustness
- 3. Feature importance
- 4. Better expressiveness

### Weaknesses:

- 1. High model complexity
- 2. The decision of the forest is less interpretable due to majority voting.

In my opinion, if the task is easy, I would choose linear model for the sake of model complexity. Otherwise, I would choose random forest.

I think under no circumstances will I choose decision tree because it is vulnerable to noises and overfitting.