

# Combinatory Categorical Grammar (CCG)

11-711 Algorithms for NLP

30 November 2017

(With thanks to Alan W Black)

# Goals of CCG

- Simplify the (*combinatory*) rules
- Move complexity from rules to (*categorial*) lexical entries
- More tightly couple with semantics, particularly lambda calculus
- One-to-one relationship between syntactic and semantic constituents

# Five (5) rules!

- Application:
  - Forward:  $A/B + B = A$
  - Backward:  $B + A \backslash B = A$
- Composition:
  - $A/B + B/C = A/C$
- Coordination:
  - $X \text{ CONJ } X' = X''$
- Type raising:
  - $A = X/(X \backslash A)$

John = np            (*an argument category*)

Mary = np

likes = (s\np)/np    (*a functor category*)

***Forward application***

**$X/Y \ Y \Rightarrow X$**

***Backward application***

**$Y \ X/Y \Rightarrow X$**

***Thus:***

John likes     Mary

np   (s\np)/np   np

----- Forward

s\np

----- Backward

s

a,the	np/n
<b>old</b>	<b>n/n</b>
in	(np\np)/np
man,ball,park	n
kicked	(s\np)/np

the	<b>old</b>	man	kicked	a	ball	in	the	park
np/n	<b>n/n</b>	n	(s\np)/np	np/n	n	(np\np)/np	np/n	n
-----								
<b>n</b>								

<b>a,the</b>	<b>np/n</b>
old	n/n
in	(np\np)/np
man,ball,park	n
kicked	(s\np)/np

<b>the</b>	old man	kicked	<b>a</b>	ball in	<b>the</b>	park
<b>np/n</b>	n/n n	(s\np)/np	<b>np/n</b>	n (np\np)/np	<b>np/n</b>	n
-----			-----		-----	
n			<b>np</b>		<b>np</b>	
-----						
<b>np</b>						

a,the	np/n
old	n/n
<b>in</b>	<b>(np\np)/np</b>
man,ball,park	n
kicked	(s\np)/np

the	old	man	kicked	a	ball	<b>in</b>	the	park
np/n	n/n	n	(s\np)/np	np/n	n	<b>(np\np)/np</b>	np/n	n
-----			-----			-----		
n			np			np		
-----			-----					
np			<b>np\np</b>					
-----								
<b>np</b>								

a,the	np/n
old	n/n
in	(np\np)/np
man,ball,park	n
<b>kicked</b>	<b>(s\np)/np</b>

the	old	man	kicked	a	ball	in	the	park
np/n	n/n	n	(s\np)/np	np/n	n	(np\np)/np	np/n	n
-----			-----			-----		
n			np			np		
-----			-----					
np			np\np					
			-----					
			np					
			-----					
			<b>s\np</b>					
			-----					
			<b>s</b>					



# Handling Coordination

- Constituent Coordination
  - John and Mary like books  
(NP and NP) VP
  - John likes fishing and dislikes baseball.  
NP (VP and VP)
- Non-constituent coordination
  - John likes and Mary dislikes sports.  
???

# Coordination

**$X \text{ CONJ } X' \Rightarrow X''$**

John **likes** **Mary** and **dislikes** Bob

np **(s\np)/np np** conj **(s\np)/np np**

-----**FA**

**s\np**

-----**FA**

**s\np**

# Coordination

**$X \text{ CONJ } X' \Rightarrow X''$**

John likes      Mary **and** dislikes    Bob

np    (s\np)/np np    **conj** (s\np)/np np

-----FA

-----FA

s\np

s\np

-----**CONJ**

**s\np**

-----BA

S

# Type Raising

**TR:**  $X \Rightarrow Y/(Y \backslash X)$

**COMP:**  $A/B + B/C \Rightarrow A/C$

**John** likes        and        **Mary** dislikes    Bob

**np**     $(s \backslash np)/np$  conj    **np**     $(s \backslash np)/np$     np

-----**TR**

**$s/(s \backslash np)$**

-----**TR**

**$s/(s \backslash np)$**

# Type Raising

**TR:**  $X \Rightarrow Y/(Y \backslash X)$

**COMP:**  $A/B + B/C \Rightarrow A/C$

**John likes**      and      **Mary dislikes**      Bob

np    (s\np)/np conj    np    (s\np)/np    np

-----TR

-----TR

s/(s\np)

s/(s\np)

-----**COMP** -----**COMP**

**s/np**

**s/np**

# Type Raising

**TR:**  $X \Rightarrow Y/(Y \backslash X)$

**COMP:**  $A/B + B/C \Rightarrow A/C$

John likes      **and**      Mary dislikes      Bob

np    (s\np)/np   **conj**    np    (s\np)/np    np

-----TR

-----TR

s/(s\np)

s/(s\np)

-----COMP    -----COMP

s/np

s/np

----- **CONJ**

**s/np**

# Type Raising

**TR:**  $X \Rightarrow Y/(Y \backslash X)$

**COMP:**  $A/B + B/C \Rightarrow A/C$

John likes	and	Mary dislikes	<b>Bob</b>
np (s\np)/np	conj	np (s\np)/np	<b>np</b>
-----TR		-----TR	
s/(s\np)		s/(s\np)	
-----COMP		-----COMP	
s/np		s/np	
-----		-----	CONJ
	s/np		
-----			
<b>S</b>			

# Type Raising

- Computationally unbounded:
  - could happen for any category
  - makes parsing intractable
- Controlled type raising
  - needs to be guarded
  - only (some) lexical items



# CCG Semantics

- Remember goals:
  - More tightly couple with semantics, particularly lambda calculus
  - One-to-one relationship between syntactic and semantic constituents
- Add semantics to CCG rules
  - Lambda calculus (again)
- “Montague semantics”

**A/B:S + B:T = A:S.T**

**B:T + A\B:S = A:S.T**

John np:j

walks s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{walks}(X)$

John walks

np:j s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{walks}(X)$

-----

s : walks(j)

B:T + A\B:S = A:S . T

np:j + s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{walks}(X)$

s :  $\lambda X.\text{walks}(X)$  . j

s : walks(j)

$A/B:S + B:T = A:S.T$

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S.T$

**John np:j**

**walks s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$**

John walks

np:j s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$

-----

s : walks(j)

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S . T$

np:j + s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$

s :  $\lambda X.walks(X)$  . j

s : walks(j)

$A/B:S + B:T = A:S.T$

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S.T$

John     $np:j$

walks    $s \backslash np: \lambda X.walks(X)$

John    **walks**

$np:j$      **$s \backslash np: \lambda X.walks(X)$**

-----  
 **$s : walks(j)$**

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S . T$

$np:j + s \backslash np: \lambda X.walks(X)$

$s : \lambda X.walks(X) . j$

$s : walks(j)$

$A/B:S + B:T = A:S.T$

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S.T$

John np:j

walks s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$

John walks

np:j s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$

-----

s : walks(j)

$B:T + A \backslash B:S = A:S . T$

np:j + s\np:  $\lambda X.walks(X)$

**s :  $\lambda X.walks(X)$  . j**

**s : walks(j)**

John np:j

Mary np:m

**likes (s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$**

John likes Mary

np:j (s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$  m

-----

s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$

-----

s likes(j,m)

$\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$  . m

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$  . j

likes(j,m)

John np:j

Mary np:m

likes (s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$

John        **likes**        Mary

np:j        **(s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$**         m

---

**s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$**

---

s likes(j,m)

$\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$  . m

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$  . j

likes(j,m)

John np:j

Mary np:m

likes (s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$

John        **likes**        Mary

np:j        (s\np)/np:  $\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$         m

-----

**s\np:  $\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$**

-----

**s likes(j,m)**

$\lambda Y.\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,Y)$  . m

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$

$\lambda X.\text{likes}(X,m)$  . j

likes(j,m)



## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \lambda S.(A . S \& A' . S)$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

John likes            and    Mary dislikes    Bob

np    (s\ np)/np conj np    (s\ np)/np np

-----TR

-----TR

s/(s\ np)

s/(s\ np)

-----COMP -----COMP

s/np

s/np

----- CONJ

s/np

-----

s

## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \lambda S.(A . S \ \& \ A' . S)$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

John likes            and    Mary dislikes    Bob

np    (s\ np)/np conj np    (s\ np)/np np

-----TR

-----TR

s/(s\ np)

s/(s\ np)

-----COMP -----COMP

s/np

s/np

----- CONJ

s/np

-----

s

## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \lambda S.(A . S \ \& \ A' . S)$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

John likes            and    Mary dislikes    Bob

np    (s\np)/np conj np    (s\np)/np np

-----TR

-----TR

s/(s\np)

s/(s\np)

-----COMP -----COMP

s/np

s/np

----- CONJ

s/np

-----

s

## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \lambda S.(A . S \ \& \ A' . S)$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

John likes ...

$np:j \ (s \backslash np)/np: \lambda Y.\lambda X.likes(X,Y)$

---**TR**

$s/(s \backslash np): \lambda R.(R . j)$

-----**COMP**

$s/np:$

$\lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

$\lambda Q.((\lambda R.(R . j)) . (\lambda Y.\lambda X.likes(X,Y) . Q))$

$\lambda Q.((\lambda R.(R . j)) . (\lambda X.likes(X,Q) ))$

$\lambda Q.(\lambda X.likes(X,Q) . j)$

$\lambda Q.(likes(j,Q))$

## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \lambda S.(A . S \ \& \ A' . S)$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

... Mary dislikes ...

$np:m \ (s \backslash np)/np: \lambda Y. \lambda X. \text{dislikes}(X, Y)$

---TR

$s/(s \backslash np): \lambda R.(R . m)$

-----COMP

$s/np:$

$\lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

$\lambda Q.((\lambda R.(R . m)) . (\lambda Y. \lambda X. \text{dislikes}(X, Y) . Q))$

$\lambda Q.((\lambda R. (R . m)) . (\lambda X. \text{dislikes}(X, Q) ))$

$\lambda Q.( \lambda X. \text{dislikes}(X, Q) . m )$

$\lambda Q.( \text{dislikes}(m, Q) )$

## Coordination:

$X:A \text{ CONJ } X':A' = X'': \underline{\lambda S.(A . S \& A'. S)}$

## Composition:

$X/Y:A \ Y/Z:B \Rightarrow X/Z: \lambda Q.(A . (B . Q))$

## Type raising:

$NP:a \rightarrow T/(T \backslash NP): \lambda R.(R . a)$

John likes and Mary dislikes Bob

.... CONJ .... np:b

-----COMP -----COMP

s/np:  $\lambda Q.(\text{likes}(j,Q))$

s/np:  $\lambda Q.(\text{dislikes}(m,Q))$

----- CONJ

s/np:  $\lambda S.(\lambda Q.(\text{likes}(j,Q)) . S \&$

$\lambda Q.(\text{dislikes}(m,Q)) . S )$

s/np:  $\lambda S.( \text{likes}(j,S) \&$

$\text{dislikes}(m,S) )$

-----COMP

s:  $\underline{\lambda S.( \text{likes}(j,S) \& \text{dislikes}(m,S) )} . b$

s:  $\text{likes}(j,b) \& \text{dislikes}(m,b)$

# Compositionality and Incrementality

- Compositionality:
  - all constituents have a denotation
- Incrementality:
  - all initial substrings have a denotation
  - all substrings have a denotation (stronger)

# Categorical Unification Grammar

- Extending the formalism to allow features:
  - agreement, grammatical relations
- Embedding CCG techniques in other formalisms
  - SUBCAT, predicate/arguments



the np/n  
 boy n  
 boys n  
 walk s\np  
 walks s\np

Forward application

$X/Y \ Y \Rightarrow X$

Backward application

$Y \ X \backslash Y \Rightarrow X$

*Thus*

the boy walks

np/n n s\np

----- FA

np

----- BA

s

the [cat: np]/[cat: n]  
 boy [cat: n]  
 boys [cat: n]  
 walk [cat: s]\[cat: np]  
 walks [cat: s]\[cat: np]

Forward application

$X/Y \ Y \Rightarrow X$

Backward application

$Y \ X \backslash Y \Rightarrow X$

*Thus*

the	boy	walks
[cat: np]/[cat: n]	[cat: n]	[cat: s]\[cat: np]
----- FA		
[cat: np]		
	----- BA	
	[cat: s]	

the [cat: np **num: !X**]/

[cat: n **num: !X**]

boy [cat: n **num: sg**]

boys [cat: n **num: pl**]

walk [cat: s]\

[cat: np **num: pl**]

walks [cat: s]\

[cat: np **num: sg**]

the boys walk

[cat: np [cat: n [cat: s]\

**num: !X**]/ **num: pl** [cat: np

[cat: n **num: pl**]

**num: !X**]

----- FA

[cat: np

**num: pl**]

----- BA

[cat: s]

the [cat: np num: !X]/  
     [cat: n num: !X]  
 boy [cat: n num: sg]  
 boys [cat: n num: pl]  
 walk [cat: s]\  
     [cat: np num: pl]  
 walks [cat: s]\  
     [cat: np num: sg]

the	boy	walks
[cat: np	[cat: n	[cat: s]\
<b>num: !X]/</b>	<b>num: sg]</b>	[cat: np
[cat: n		<b>num: sg]</b>
<b>num: !X]</b>		
----- FA		
[cat: np		
<b>num: sg]</b>		
----- BA		
[cat: s]		

the [cat: np num: !X]/  
     [cat: n num: !X]  
 boy [cat: n num: sg]  
 boys [cat: n num: pl]  
 walk [cat: s]\  
     [cat: np num: pl]  
 walks [cat: s]\  
     [cat: np num: sg]

the            boys            walks  
 [cat: np        [cat: n        [cat: s]\  
   **num: !X**]/    **num: pl**]    [cat: np  
     [cat: n                    **num: sg**]  
     **num: !X**]

----- FA

[cat: np  
   **num: pl**]

----- \*\*\*\*\*

# SUBCAT Feature

- In GPSG and HPSG
  - SUBCAT feature identifies features of arguments:  
[SUBCAT [NP]] *like +np feature in previous lecture*
- This is actually CCG-like
  - $S \backslash NP$  is verb looking for one argument
  - $(S \backslash NP) / NP$  is verb looking for two arguments
- Can be extended to full SUBCAT feature
  - required PPs, VCOMP, etc.

# Some properties

- Mildly context sensitive
- Weakly equivalent to LTAG (Lexicalized TAG)
- Derived/gapped categories prevent non-projective parses
- Complexity:
  - unrestricted type raising: unbounded
  - restricted type raising:  $O(n^3)$ 
    - *(also without general Coordination)*

# Some other points of interest

- Free word order languages: “|”
- Relationship to intonation (Steedman 1991)
- Extension to Lambek calculus to allow changes in argument order and real incr. processing
- CCGBank: Julia Hockenmaier and Steedman
  - CCG version of Penn Treebank
- PCCG: Luke Zettlemoyer and Collins
  - Learn to produce logical form statistically



## Sentence 2

```
{S[decl] {S[decl] {NP {N {N/N Mr.}
                    {N Vinken}}}}
  {S[decl]\NP {(S[decl]\NP)/NP is}
              {NP {NP {N chairman}}
                  {NP\NP {(NP\NP)/NP of}
                        {NP {NP {N {N/N Elsevier}
                                {N N.V.}}}}
                  {NP[conj] {, ,}
                        {NP {NP[nb]/N the}
                            {N {N/N Dutch}
                                {N {N/N publishing}
                                    {N group}}}}}}}}}}}
```

Mr.	(N/N)	Vinken
is	((S[decl]\NP)/NP)	Vinken chairman
of	((NP\NP)/NP)	chairman N.V., group
Elsevier	(N/N)	N.V.
the	(NP[nb]/N)	group
Dutch	(N/N)	group
publishing	(N/N)	group

# Learning a PCCG

- See slides and videos courtesy of Yoav Artzi, Nicholas FitzGerald and Luke Zettlemoyer
- <http://yoavartzi.com/tutorial/>