




## Experimental page: ratios of curve fit deaths to curve fit confirmed cases (CFR)





















## Demonstration of SIR model where $R_{\,e\,}$ is linearly reduced to 0.75 at the end

False Positives Demonstration



Use 0.20% from US est. incidence above as estimated daily incidence


\*Prevalence\* estimated as avg. infected period of 2 weeks X incidence


99% accuracy of test

0.20% X 14 = 2.800%

Positive Negative
test pos 2.772% 0.972% 3.74%
test neg 0.028% 96.228% 96.26%
2.800% 97.200% 100.00%

Reducing the  $R_e$  while keeping gamma constant is the same as reducing contact rate. Contact rate is reduced through isolation, lockdowns, and vaccinations. Doesn't make much difference in this case, though.





Counter-act this tendency by increasing test sensitivity. However this may increase false negatives, the recipients of which may be positive, think they're negative, and go spread it around some more.

## **USA Excess Deaths (from CDC data):**

Annualized on 48 weeks

Timedized on To Weeks			
	All Cause	All Cause, excl.	CV19
3 yr average before 2020	855:100,000	855:100,000	-
2020	978:100,000	890:100,000	-
Diff.	123:100,000	35:100,000	88:100,000
Diff.	+14.4%	+4.1%	+10.3%

3 yr average weighted 859:100,000

 $29\%\,$  of All-Cause excess deaths are non-CV19

## Here are some demonstrations of SIR model, using $R_{\rm e}$ , gamma, and beta

