A Unified Field Theory on the Biquaternionic Manifold $\mathbb{B}^4$: Consciousness, Quantum Fields, and Emergent Space-Time

David Jaroš

2025

Abstract

We develop a mathematically consistent unified field theory constructed on a four-dimensional biquaternionic manifold $\mathbb{B}^4$, which incorporates complexified spacetime and internal phase dimensions naturally into its geometric structure. The core field $\Theta(q)$ is a tensor-spinor-gauge-valued section over $\mathbb{B}^4$, encoding all known fundamental interactions, emergent quantum behavior, and phenomenology of consciousness. The theory eliminates the need for external projections ...

We develop a rigorous unified field theory on a four-dimensional biquaternionic manifold $\mathbb{B}^4 = (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})^4$, where each coordinate is a biquaternion representing complexified internal and external degrees of freedom. The primary field $\Theta(q)$ is a tensor-spinor-valued section over $\mathbb{B}^4$, encoding gravitational, gauge, quantum, and cognitive structure. We formulate the covariant dynamics, metric geometry, low-energy quantum limits, emergent conscious par...

1 Biquaternionic Manifold and Field Definition

We define the manifold $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{B}^4$, where each point is a 4-tuple of biquaternions:

$$q^\mu = x^\mu + iy^\mu + \mathbf{j}z^\mu + i\mathbf{j}w^\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

with components $x^\mu, y^\mu, z^\mu, w^\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The basis elements $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ satisfy the quaternionic algebra.

The unified field is defined as:

$$\Theta(q) \in \Gamma\left(T^{(1,1)}(\mathbb{B}^4) \otimes \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{G}\right),$$

where $T^{(1,1)}$ denotes the (1,1) tensor bundle over $\mathbb{B}^4$, $\mathbb{S}$ is a spinor bundle, and $\mathbb{G}$ is an internal gauge fiber.

2 Metric Geometry and Covariant Derivatives

We define a complexified metric tensor on $\mathbb{B}^4$:

$$G_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle dq^\mu, dq^\nu \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a biquaternionic inner product. The affine and spin connections $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}$, Ω_μ are derived accordingly.

Covariant derivative:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta = \partial_\mu \Theta + \Omega_\mu \cdot \Theta + ig A^a_\mu T^a \Theta,$$

with gauge coupling and spin connection terms included.

3 General Relativity and Emergent Geometry

The metric tensor $G_{\mu\nu}(q)$ defined over $\mathbb{B}^4$ generalizes the Lorentzian metric of General Relativity to a biquaternionic setting. The curvature tensors are constructed from the complexified affine connection:

$$R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}.$$

The Einstein field equations emerge as a projection of the variation of the geometric Lagrangian:

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} R \right) \delta G^{\mu\nu}.$$

This shows that classical spacetime curvature is a low-energy limit of the intrinsic geometry of the biquaternionic manifold. The extended degrees of freedom in y^μ, z^μ give rise to higher-order corrections and dynamical compactification in early-universe cosmology.

4 Lagrangian and Field Equations

Total action on $\mathbb{B}^4$ reads:

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{B}^4} d^4q \, \sqrt{|\det G|} \left(\mathcal{L}_\Theta + \mathcal{L}_{\text{geom}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} \right),$$

where:

$$\mathcal{L}_\Theta = \Re \left[\bar{\Theta} \left(i\Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu - M(q) \right) \Theta \right],$$

with $M(q)$ potentially depending on internal phase coordinates y^μ, z^μ, representing cognitive or entropic symmetry breaking.

5 Tensor Decomposition of Θ

The field can be locally decomposed as:

$$\Theta(q) = \phi(q) + i\psi^\mu(q)\gamma_\mu + \eta(q)\mathbb{I} + i\chi(q)\mathbb{J},$$

where $\chi(q)$ represents internal oscillations related to consciousness and subjective time.

6   Quantum Limits and Classical Reductions

In the real spacetime limit $y^\mu, z^\mu, w^\mu \to 0$, the action reduces to:

- Dirac equation for spinor sector:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0,$$

- Schrödinger equation in nonrelativistic limit:

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V \right) \psi,$$

- Effective quantum field theory in flat $\mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{B}^4$.

7   Gauge Theory and Standard Model Embedding

The internal gauge structure of Θ accommodates:

$$\mathbb{G} \cong SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1),$$

and the covariant derivative generalizes to the Yang-Mills form. Higgs-like mass terms can arise via internal symmetry oscillations in the z^μ, w^μ directions.

8   Gauge Symmetries: QED, QCD and the Standard Model Embedding

We extend the field $\Theta(q)$ to carry internal gauge indices under the symmetry group $\mathcal{G} = U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$, corresponding to the Standard Model gauge groups for electromagnetism, weak, and strong interactions.

Let $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$ denote the full gauge connection, with generators T^a acting on $\Theta(q)$ in the appropriate internal representation.

The full covariant derivative becomes:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta = \partial_\mu \Theta + \Omega_\mu \cdot \Theta + ig_1 B_\mu Y \Theta + ig_2 W_\mu^i \tau^i \Theta + ig_3 G_\mu^a \lambda^a \Theta,$$

where: - B_μ is the $U(1)_Y$ hypercharge field, - W_μ^i are the weak $SU(2)_L$ fields, - G_μ^a are the gluon fields of $SU(3)_C$, - Y, τ^i, and λ^a are the corresponding generators.

The gauge-invariant kinetic Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_a F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\,\mu\nu},$$

with:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c,$$

where f^{abc} are the structure constants of the respective Lie algebras.

The interaction term in the unified Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Theta,\text{int}} = \Re\left[\bar{\Theta} i \Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta\right],$$

ensures that the dynamics of Θ is fully coupled to the standard model gauge fields.

:

9 Low-Energy Limits: Dirac and Schrödinger Equations

To demonstrate compatibility with established quantum mechanics, we derive the Dirac and Schrödinger equations as limiting cases of the unified biquaternionic field equation for $\Theta(q)$.

Starting from the unified covariant derivative:

$$\mathcal{D}_A \Theta = \partial_A \Theta + \Omega_A \cdot \Theta + ig A_A^a T^a \Theta,$$

we consider the case where curvature effects are small, gauge fields are static or slowly varying, and the manifold $\mathbb{C}^5$ can be approximated by a local inertial frame. In this approximation, the equation of motion simplifies to:

$$\Gamma^A \partial_A \Theta = m\Theta,$$

which reduces to the **Dirac equation** in the limit where only 4 spacetime dimensions are active and Γ^A matrices correspond to standard Dirac matrices γ^μ. This confirms that the spinor nature of Θ is consistent with relativistic quantum field theory.

In the non-relativistic limit ($v \ll c$), a standard Foldy–Wouthuysen decomposition leads to the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V\right)\psi,$$

where ψ is a projection of Θ onto low-energy modes.

Thus, our unified theory contains both quantum mechanics and relativistic field theory as natural limits, while offering a richer structure due to its biquaternionic and spin-tensor form defined on $\mathbb{C}^5$.

10 Conscious Oscillations and Emergent Mind

Internal modes $\chi(q) \sim \sin(\omega \cdot y^\mu)$ describe periodic subjective phase. Collapse of these oscillations projects classical reality. We interpret eigenmodes:

$$\Theta_n(q) \sim e^{in\psi}\Psi_n(x),$$

as discrete conscious quanta (psychons), with transitions corresponding to awareness or memory shifts.

11 Gauge Symmetries: QED, QCD and the Standard Model Embedding

We extend the field $\Theta(q)$ to carry internal gauge indices under the symmetry group $\mathcal{G} = U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$, corresponding to the Standard Model gauge groups for electromagnetism, weak, and strong interactions.

Let $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$ denote the full gauge connection, with generators T^a acting on $\Theta(q)$ in the appropriate internal representation.

The full covariant derivative becomes:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta = \partial_\mu \Theta + \Omega_\mu \cdot \Theta + ig_1 B_\mu Y \Theta + ig_2 W_\mu^i \tau^i \Theta + ig_3 G_\mu^a \lambda^a \Theta,$$

where:

- B_μ is the $U(1)_Y$ hypercharge field,

- W_μ^i are the weak $SU(2)_L$ fields,

- G_μ^a are the gluon fields of $SU(3)_C$,

- Y, τ^i, and λ^a are the corresponding generators.

The gauge-invariant kinetic Lagrangian reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_a F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\,\mu\nu},$$

with:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c,$$

where f^{abc} are the structure constants of the respective Lie algebras.

The interaction term in the unified Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Theta,\text{int}} = \Re \left[\bar{\Theta} i \Gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta \right],$$

ensures that the dynamics of Θ is fully coupled to the standard model gauge fields.

12 Free Energy Principle and Fokker–Planck Flow

We apply the FEP:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial \psi} = -\nabla_q \cdot (DP) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_q^2 (D^2 P),$$

modeling informational entropy flow across $\mathbb{B}^4$. Drift terms represent prediction error; diffusion encodes uncertainty and updating.

13   Cosmological Aspects

The internal phase coordinate plays a role similar to an inflaton or entropy-gradient field. Toroidal compactification of internal directions leads to inflationary and cyclic cosmological models.

14   Conclusion

We have proposed a unified framework on $\mathbb{B}^4$ where geometry, gauge theory, quantum physics, and subjective consciousness are aspects of a single field $\Theta(q)$. This approach explains both standard physics and phenomenological features of cognition, predicting new psychon-like excitations and quantum collapse via internal oscillations.

15   Quantum Electrodynamics and Quantum Chromodynamics

The field $\Theta(q)$ contains internal symmetry structures corresponding to the gauge groups of the Standard Model. In particular, the internal gauge fiber $\mathbb{G}$ carries representations of:

$$\mathbb{G} \cong SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y,$$

embedded within the matrix structure of Θ.

15.1   QED Sector

The Abelian part of the gauge group $U(1)$ governs the electromagnetic interaction. The corresponding gauge field A_μ enters the covariant derivative as:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta = \partial_\mu \Theta + \Omega_\mu \cdot \Theta + ieA_\mu \Theta,$$

with Maxwell-type field strength:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu.$$

15.2   QCD Sector

The non-Abelian color interaction is embedded via the gluon fields G_μ^a, $a = 1, \ldots, 8$, and SU(3) generators T^a:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Theta = \cdots + ig_s G_\mu^a T^a \Theta.$$

The gluonic field strength is:

$$G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu G_\nu^a - \partial_\nu G_\mu^a + g_s f^{abc} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c.$$

15.3   Symmetry Breaking and Higgs-Like Mechanism

The internal phase coordinates y^μ, z^μ provide a natural mechanism for spontaneous symmetry breaking:

$$\langle \chi(q) \rangle \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad m \neq 0,$$

where χ acts as a Higgs-like internal mode within Θ. Mass hierarchies emerge from oscillatory patterns in the biquaternionic manifold.

16   Discussion of Particle Spectrum

Excitations of the field Θ include not only standard fermions and bosons but also additional modes due to its internal spinor-gauge structure. We predict:

- Standard particle spectrum (quarks, leptons, gauge bosons) as tensor-spinor projections,

- Scalar internal oscillations as Higgs-like or axion-like particles,

- Psychon modes — coherent phase oscillations corresponding to discrete conscious states,

- Potential graviton-like curvature modes in the geometric component.

17   Summary and Outlook

This framework combines geometry, quantum field theory, and information dynamics in a single elegant model defined on $\mathbb{B}^4$. It naturally unifies:

- General relativity as geometric dynamics of $G_{\mu\nu}(q)$,

- Quantum field theory via tensor-spinor structure of $\Theta(q)$,

- Gauge interactions through internal fiber $\mathbb{G}$,

- Consciousness as internal oscillations and collapse of $\chi(q)$.

Future work will explore quantization in curved biquaternionic space, phenomenology of psychon transitions, and links to holographic principles and modular topologies.

18   Limit: General Relativity

In the classical limit where oscillatory and imaginary components vanish, the biquaternionic metric $G_{\mu\nu}(q)$ reduces to a standard pseudo-Riemannian real-valued tensor on a 4D Lorentzian manifold.

Let $\Re(G_{\mu\nu}) \to g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, where $x^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^4$ are spacetime coordinates. The resulting Levi-Civita connection $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$, Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$, and scalar curvature R are defined in the usual way via the metric compatibility and torsion-free condition.

From the action:

$$S_{\text{geom}} = \int d^4x \, \sqrt{-g} \, \frac{1}{2\kappa} R,$$

we obtain Einstein's equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G \, T_{\mu\nu},$$

as the low-energy limit of the unified biquaternionic dynamics. Thus, general relativity is fully embedded in the geometric projection of our theory.

19 Free Energy Principle, Drift, and Metacognitive Field

The internal dynamics of $\chi(q)$, representing subjective or conscious phase, follow a stochastic evolution modulated by environmental prediction.

We introduce a Fokker–Planck-like evolution equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\chi, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\mu\rho) + D\nabla^2 \rho,$$

where ρ is the probability distribution over conscious phase modes, μ is the drift induced by minimization of variational free energy $F[q]$, and D is the diffusion tensor.

The **metakas** is then defined as the evolving state of this internal probability structure over $\mathbb{B}^4$, including memory, attention, and perception gradients.

The drift term reflects predictive coding:

$$\mu = -\nabla \log P_{\text{sensory}} + \nabla \log \rho,$$

corresponding to active inference. Cognitive dynamics are thus described by stochastic partial differential equations over the internal degrees of freedom of Θ, with attractor-like behavior for stable conscious trajectories.