Track Data Access in RCU Read-Side Critical Sections

Boqun Feng

Linux Technology Center, IBM

September 28, 2015

Track Data Access in RCU Read-Side Critical Sections

- An Introduction to RCU
 - What is RCU?
 - RCU read-side critical sections
- Why Tracking Data Accesses in RCU
- Design and Implementation
 - Basics: lockdep
 - Design
 - Implementation

What is RCU?

a lock->rwlock->RCU case:

```
1
         struct data {
2
             int a:
         };
3
4
         struct data *ap = NULL:
5
         spinlock_t lock;
7
         void read()
                                                  void update(int a)
10
             struct data *p;
                                                      struct data *p;
             spin_lock(&lock);
                                                      spin_lock(&lock);
11
12
             p = qp;
                                                      p = qp;
13
             if (p)
                                                      if (!p) {
                 do_something_with(p->a);
                                                          p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p));
14
             spin unlock(&lock):
15
                                                          gp = p;
16
17
                                                      p->a=a;
                                                      spin_unlock(&lock);
18
19
```

Reader/Writer blocks reader/writer.

What is RCU? (cont.)

a lock->rwlock->RCU case:

```
struct data {
1
2
             int a;
3
         };
         struct data *ap = NULL:
         rwlock_t rwlock:
6
7
         void read()
                                                  void update(int a)
8
10
             struct data *p;
                                                      struct data *p;
             spin_lock(&lock);
                                                      write_lock(&lock);
11
12
             p = qp;
                                                      p = qp;
13
             if (p)
                                                      if (!p) {
14
                 do_something_with(p->a);
                                                          p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p));
             spin_unlock(&lock);
15
                                                          gp = p;
16
17
                                                      p->a=a;
                                                      write unlock(&lock):
18
19
```

Reader doesn't blocks reader.

What is RCU? (cont.)

a lock->rwlock->RCU case:

```
1
         struct data {
2
             int a:
3
         };
4
         spinlock t update lock:
5
6
         struct data *qp = NULL;
7
         void read()
                                                 void update(int a)
10
             struct data *p;
                                                      struct data *p, *old_p;
             rcu read lock():
                                                      p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p)):
11
             p = rcu dereference(ap):
                                                      p->a = a:
12
             if (p)
13
                                                      spin_lock(&update_lock);
                 do somethina with(p->a):
                                                      old_p = qp;
14
             rcu read unlock():
15
                                                      rcu_assign_pointer(qp, p);
                                                      spin_unlock(&update_lock);
16
17
                                                      synchronize_rcu();
                                                      kfree(old_p);
18
19
```

Reader doesn't block reader/writer, and writer doesn't block reader.

RCU's fundamental guarantee

RCU guarantees allows writers to wait for all pre-existing RCU **read-side critical section**s to complete.

```
2
        CPU 0 (reader)
                                           CPU 1 (writer)
3
                                           p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p));
                                           p->a=a;
        rcu_read_lock();
                                           spin_lock(&update_lock);
                                           old_p = gp;
8
                                           rcu_assign_pointer(gp, p);
                                           spin_unlock(&update_lock);
10
        if (p)
                                           synchronize_rcu();
11
             do_something_with(p->a);
12
13
14
15
        rcu_read_unlock();
16
17
                                           kfree(old p):
```

RCU read-side critical sections

An RCU read-side critical section

- begins with the marker rcu_read_lock()
- ends with the marker rcu_read_unlock()
- these markers may be nested

RCU treats a nested set as one big RCU read-side critical section.

RCU read-side critical sections

An RCU read-side critical section

- begins with the marker rcu_read_lock()
- ends with the marker rcu_read_unlock()
- these markers may be nested

RCU treats a nested set as one big RCU read-side critical section.

Note: these markers don't have any parameter.

Track Data Access in RCU Read-Side Critical Sections

- An Introduction to RCU
 - What is RCU?
 - RCU read-side critical sections
- Why Tracking Data Accesses in RCU
- Design and Implementation
 - Basics: lockdep
 - Design
 - Implementation

Data and critical sections

A lock used to belong to a data structure, but RCU?

```
struct dentry *dget_parent(struct dentry *dentry)
2
3
           struct dentry *ret:
           repeat:
              rcu read lock(): <-----+
              ret = dentry->d_parent;
                                          what is this critical section protecting?
              spin_lock(&ret->d_lock); <-----+
              if (unlikely(ret != dentry->d_parent)) { the critical section to protect @ret
                  spin_unlock(&ret->d_lock); <----+
10
                  rcu_read_unlock(); <-----+
11
12
              rcu_read_unlock();
13
              sin_unlock(&ret->d_lock);
14
15
              goto repeat;
16
17
```

• Ingo Molnar: http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - ▶ But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - ▶ But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ▶ And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them
 - and used lockdep to associate rcu_assign_pointer() with the locks protecting it.

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them
 - and used lockdep to associate rcu_assign_pointer() with the locks protecting it.
 - We could easily find the set of rcu_dereference() calls accessing that same RCU-protected pointer,

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them
 - and used lockdep to associate rcu_assign_pointer() with the locks protecting it.
 - We could easily find the set of rcu_dereference() calls accessing that same RCU-protected pointer,
 - and then associate the update-side locks with the RCU read-side critical sections.

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them
 - and used lockdep to associate rcu_assign_pointer() with the locks protecting it.
 - We could easily find the set of rcu_dereference() calls accessing that same RCU-protected pointer,
 - and then associate the update-side locks with the RCU read-side critical sections.

- Ingo Molnar:
 - http://thread.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/1930157/focus=1930821
 - add a parameter for rcu_read_lock() and rcu_read_unlock().
 - But there are more than 1000 callsites of these primitives
 - ► And it's normal to put two RCU-protected data into one critical section.
- Paul Mckenney:
 - if we could do a lockdep-like association of the rcu_dereference() calls with the rcu_read_lock() calls that protected them
 - and used lockdep to associate rcu_assign_pointer() with the locks protecting it.
 - We could easily find the set of rcu_dereference() calls accessing that same RCU-protected pointer,
 - and then associate the update-side locks with the RCU read-side critical sections.

And here comes the lockdep-like association of step 1.



Track Data Access in RCU Read-Side Critical Sections

- An Introduction to RCU
 - What is RCU?
 - RCU read-side critical sections
- Why Tracking Data Accesses in RCU
- Design and Implementation
 - Basics: lockdep
 - Design
 - Implementation

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

 held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep_map.

Basic Idea:

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep_map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock_class.

Basic Idea:

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep_map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock_class.
- lock_class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock class.
- lock class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

• Track the 'state' of lock-classes and dependencies between different lock-classes.



Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock class.
- lock class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

- Track the 'state' of lock-classes and dependencies between different lock-classes.
- Prove that the state and the dependencies are correct.

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock class.
- lock class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

- Track the 'state' of lock-classes and dependencies between different lock-classes.
- Prove that the state and the dependencies are correct.

Suit for

Finding dead locks

Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock class.
- lock class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

- Track the 'state' of lock-classes and dependencies between different lock-classes.
- Prove that the state and the dependencies are correct.

- Finding dead locks
- Recording stacks/chains of critical sections



Lockdep is the runtime locking correctness validator in kernel. Concepts:

- held_lock: describe a task is holding some locks, pointers to a lockdep map.
- lockdep_map: one per lock instance, presenting the instance in lockdep framework, pointers to a lock class.
- lock class: a group of lock instances, grouped by users.

Basic Idea:

- Track the 'state' of lock-classes and dependencies between different lock-classes.
- Prove that the state and the dependencies are correct.

- Finding dead locks
- Recording stacks/chains of critical sections
- Accounting usage of critical sections.



Lockdep for RCU

All RCU read critical sections of each flavor share a same lock class.

• For viewpoint of lockdep, RCU is the same as global read locks.

Lockdep for RCU

All RCU read critical sections of each flavor share a same lock class.

For viewpoint of lockdep, RCU is the same as global read locks.

IOW, lockdep can't build relation between data and RCU read-side critical sections.

Principles:

Don't change the API of RCU

Basic Ideas:

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.

Basic Ideas:

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

Basic Ideas:

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

Basic Ideas:

 An RCU lock+unlock pair(RCU context) can be identified by the callsite of rcu_read_lock().

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

- An RCU lock+unlock pair(RCU context) can be identified by the callsite of rcu read lock().
- Therefore, we can track the stack of current RCU contexts.

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

- An RCU lock+unlock pair(RCU context) can be identified by the callsite of rcu read lock().
- Therefore, we can track the stack of current RCU contexts.
 - Lockdep has done partial work.

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

- An RCU lock+unlock pair(RCU context) can be identified by the callsite of rcu read lock().
- Therefore, we can track the stack of current RCU contexts.
 - Lockdep has done partial work.
- Based on which, we can know which data is accessed in which RCU context.

Principles:

- Don't change the API of RCU
- Reuse lockdep framework as much as possible.
- Take potential users(other than RCU) into consideration.

- An RCU lock+unlock pair(RCU context) can be identified by the callsite of rcu read lock().
- Therefore, we can track the stack of current RCU contexts.
 - Lockdep has done partial work.
- Based on which, we can know which data is accessed in which RCU context.
 - ▶ The datas used for tracking are all static generated.



Implementation overview

- Static variables to indicate RCU contexts and data accesses
- Callbacks in lock instance to reuse the lockdep framework

Static variables indicating RCU contexts and data accesses

Introduce struct lock location and rcu context:

```
struct lock location {
            char *filename;
2
            long lineno;
        #define LOCK_LOCATION(type) { .filename = __FILE__, .lineno = __LINE__ }
7
        struct rcu_context {
            struct lock location *beain:
8
            struct lock_location *end;
9
10
11
        };
```

Static variables indicating RCU contexts and data accesses(cont.)

in rcu read lock() (switch back to macro):

```
static struct lock location begin = LOCK LOCATION(): \
static struct rcu context context = { .beain = &beain }: \
lock_acquire(map, 0, 0, 2, 0, NULL, (unsigned long)&context); \
```

in rcu dereference():

```
1
2
                static struct lock location loc = LOCK LOCATION(): \
                lock_access(&loc, ...);
```

Callbacks in struct lock_map to reuse the lockdep framework

Callback interfaces:

```
struct lock_access_ops {
2
            // called when a lock instance is acquired.
            int (*acquire)(struct lockdep_map *lock,
3
                            int subclass.
                            unsigned long ip);
            // called when a lock instance is released.
            int (*release)(struct lockdep map *lock.
                            int subclass,
                            unsigned long ip);
            // called when a data is accessed in the critical sections of the lock instance.
10
            int (*access)(struct lockdep_map *lock,
11
12
                           struct held_lock *hlock,
                           struct lock location *loc.
13
                           int type);
14
15
        };
```

Register into lock instances

Callbacks in struct lock_map to reuse the lockdep framework(cont.)

Result

Code mentioned by Ingo:

```
static int validate_change(struct cpuset *cur, struct cpuset *trial)
    rcu_read_lock();
    if (is_cpu_exclusive(cur) &&
        !cpuset_cpumask_can_shrink(cur->cpus_allowed,
                                     trial->cpus allowed))
        goto out:
    ret = 0:
out:
    rcu_read_unlock();
    return ret;
```

cat /proc/rcu_lock_access

```
dereference at kernel/sched/core.c 2256:
rcu context: kernel/sched/core.c 5525
rcu context: kernel/cpuset.c 459
. . .
```

Conclusion:

RCU read-side critical sections are now data oriented.

Conclusion:

- RCU read-side critical sections are now data oriented.
- Lockdep is a good start for recording accesses in critical sections.

Conclusion:

- RCU read-side critical sections are now data oriented.
- Lockdep is a good start for recording accesses in critical sections.

Conclusion:

- RCU read-side critical sections are now data oriented.
- Lockdep is a good start for recording accesses in critical sections.

Future Work:

- associate rcu_assign_pointer() with locks.
- associate rcu_assign_pointer() with rcu_dereference(). (Maybe hard but interesting)

Q & A

Thank you!