

Practice Final Examination 1

Revised: April 20, 2009

You have 100 minutes to complete this exam. Please answer each question in the space provided. You may consult one page of notes and a calculator, but devices capable of wireless transmission are prohibited.

I understand that the honor code applies: I will not lie, cheat, or steal to gain an academic advantage, or tolerate those who do.

(Name and Signature)

Most of these questions come from old exams and may include old data as a result.

1. *US monetary policy (25 points).* After its April 2007 meeting, the US Federal Open Market Committee stated:

Recent indicators have been mixed. ... Nevertheless, the economy seems likely to continue to expand at a moderate pace over coming quarters. Recent readings on core inflation have been somewhat elevated. Although inflation pressures seem likely to moderate over time, the high level of resource utilization has the potential to sustain those pressures. In these circumstances, the Committee's predominant policy concern remains the risk that inflation will fail to moderate as expected. Future policy adjustments will depend on the evolution of the outlook for both inflation and economic growth, as implied by incoming information.

- (a) Do you agree with the Committee's "predominant policy concern"? Why or why not? (5 points)
- (b) Use the aggregate supply and demand framework to illustrate how the FOMC might think about monetary policy. Consider each of the following questions in turn: (i) How would an increase in the money supply affect output and prices in the short run? (ii) In the long run? (iii) How does the phrase "high level of resource utilization" affect your answer? (15 points)
- (c) If future information suggests higher inflation, what would you expect to happen to interest rates? (5 points)

Answer.

- (a) Inflation is the predominant concern, although they mention economic growth (“expand at a moderate pace”). My take: inflation is rightly the Fed’s primary concern in most situations, esp now given the inflation of the last two years. Why? Because it has limited control over growth, and experience tells us that if inflation rises substantially, we tend to have below-average growth.
 - (b) Draw a diagram with AS, AD, and AS^* . Assume for the time being that we start at a long-run equilibrium (where AS crosses AD and AS^* at the same time). (i) AD shifts right/up. In the short run, we move to where AS and AD cross (and ignore AS^*). This raises output and prices (inflation). (ii) In the long-run, AS also shifts, to the point where AD and AS^* cross. Why? Because the sticky wages eventually adjust. The result: prices rise, but output stays the same, relative to our starting point. This illustrates the difference between the short-run and long-run effects of monetary policy: In the long run, all we get is inflation. In the short-run, we get a combination of higher prices and higher output. Which sends us back to (a): one of the questions the Fed must address is how large the short-run increase in output is. That, in turn, depends on how steep the AS curve is: the steeper the curve, the smaller the increase in output. [For practice, contrast this analysis with one where we start to the left of AS^* .] (iii) If you review our earlier answer, you’ll see that the long-run impact depends on where the short-term equilibrium is relative to AS^* . This statement suggests that the FOMC sees the economy as close to AS^* .
 - (c) If inflation rises, the Fed would typically raise the target fed funds rate. You can see this in the Taylor rule, for example. This also brings us back to (a), since ultimately the FOMC policy will depend on both inflation and output news.
2. *Prospects for Spain (30 points)*. While much of Europe struggles, Spain has been a continuing economic success, with growth rates well above the EU average. As an analyst in Deutsche Bank’s London office, you wonder whether this trend is likely to continue. You look at the data:
- Note: Variables marked by * are ratios to GDP (nominal to nominal).
- (a) What do you see as the likely source(s) of GDP growth over the recent past? (5 points)
 - (b) Does the budget balance concern you? Why or why not? (10 points)
 - (c) The current account deficit is currently one of the largest among developed countries. Does that concern you? Be as specific as possible in your answer. (10 points)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Real GDP Growth	5.0	3.6	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.9
Population Growth	0.6	1.1	1.2	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.2
TFP Growth	-0.1	-0.4	-0.4	-0.7	-0.5	-1.1	-0.2
Interest rate (3m)	4.1	4.4	3.3	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.8
Inflation	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.4	3.5
Investment*	25.9	26.2	26.2	27.1	27.4	28.4	29.1
Saving*	22.3	22.4	23.4	23.9	23.0	22.2	21.8
Budget Balance*	-0.9	-0.5	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	1.1	1.4
Primary Balance*	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.7	2.8
Public Debt*	59.2	55.6	52.5	48.8	46.2	43.1	39.8
Current Account*	-4.0	-3.9	-3.2	-3.5	-5.3	-7.4	-8.8
Net Foreign Assets*	-74.9	-77.1	-92.4	-97.2	-90.1	-86.3	-81.3

- (d) What is your overall assessment of the prospects for Spain for the next 2-3 years? Please mention any issues that you think might call for a closer look. (5 points)

Answer.

- (a) Lack of TFP growth is a concern. Growth must be coming from increases in K and/or L .
- (b) The government is running not only a primary surplus, but a total surplus, with the result that the debt-to-GDP ratio has fallen dramatically. You could formalize this with our usual relation, but the answer is clear.
- (c) This is worth a closer look: the deficit and net foreign assets are both large. Are they getting worse? Consider the relation,

$$\frac{NFA_{t+1}}{Y_{t+1}} = \left(\frac{1+i}{1+g} \right) \frac{NFA_t}{Y_t} + \frac{NX_t}{Y_t}.$$

We don't have net exports, but we can try the closely related current account. With $i = 2.8\%$ and $g = 3.9 + 3.5 = 7.4\%$, the numbers tell us that next year's ratio of net foreign assets to GDP will fall to about:

$$(1.028/1.074) \times (-81.3) - 8.8 = -86.6.$$

In short, foreign borrowing is going up. (If we had NX data, the change would probably be less, because the current account includes interest payments.) The question is why. A deeper analysis would look into the kinds of borrowing (equity or debt? firms or housing?) and its origins.

- (d) Personally, I think they're good. However, lack of TFP growth is an obvious concern. Ditto the current account deficit, which could reflect either good or bad news, depending on the source.

3. *Miscellany (50 points).*

- (a) *Chinese crisis?* An analyst suggested that China may suffer a currency crisis along the lines of Mexico in 1994-95, in which the peso fell sharply when the Banco de Mexico ran out of foreign currency reserves. Give two reasons why this scenario is likely or unlikely. (10 points)
- (b) *Government deficits.* Can a country run a fiscal (government) deficit forever? Why or why not? (10 points)
- (c) *Canadian inflation.* In Canada over the last year, inflation has been 2.3% and money growth has been 11.8%. Do you find the difference between the two numbers surprising? Why or why not? (10 points)
- (d) *Leading indicators.* Explain what a leading indicator is and give an example for the US. (10 points)
- (e) *Monetary policy mechanics.* Use the central bank's balance sheet to describe how it maintains the short-term interest rate at a specific level. (10 points)

Answers.

- (a) (i) China has enormous foreign exchange reserves: they won't run out any time soon. (ii) The renminbi seems to be undervalued: people want to buy it, not sell it, which results in the central bank accumulating reserves, not losing them.
- (b) The present value of future primary surpluses has to equal the current debt. Thus past deficits must be balanced by future surpluses — you can't run a primary deficit forever. The key word is primary: you can run a primary surplus and an overall deficit at the same time, as we see in (for example) Turkey.
- (c) Under the quantity theory, inflation equals money growth minus real GDP growth. Unless real GDP growth is 9%, something's wrong. What's wrong is that this relation is well-known not to work in the short run. Over periods of several years, however, it typically works pretty well.
- (d) A leading indicator (of the economy) is an observable economic variable whose ups and downs precede those in (say) real GDP. You can see this in the cross-correlation function, for example. Common examples: housing starts, stock market indexes, interest rate spread (long minus short).
- (e) Central banks manage short-term interest rates through "open market operations": buying and selling government securities. Selling securities,

for example, reduces the amount of currency in private circulation, which generally increases short-term interest rates. [Insert T-accounts here.] The story we tell is that this reduces the liquidity of capital markets by reducing the quantity of currency in circulation.

Practice Final Examination 2

Revised: April 20, 2009

You have 100 minutes to complete this exam. Please answer each question in the space provided. You may consult one page of notes and a calculator, but devices capable of wireless transmission are prohibited.

I understand that the honor code applies: I will not lie, cheat, or steal to gain an academic advantage, or tolerate those who do.

(Name and Signature)

Most of these questions come from old exams and may include old data as a result.

1. *Chinese foreign exchange intervention (30 points).* Joseph Yam, Chief Executive of the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, wrote about the foreign exchange activities of the People's Bank of China (PBC) in January 2007:

The accumulation of foreign exchange reserves involves the PBC buying foreign assets through creating renminbi. As a result, the monetary base is increased, creating a need to “sterilise.” This is done by issuing paper [short-term notes] to the banks. The PBC obviously has to pay interest on the money borrowed. Currently the yield of, for example, three-month paper issued by the PBC is about 2.5%. This is lower than the yield on foreign assets held as reserves — the yield on US treasuries is about 4 to 5% — so theoretically reserve accumulation can be profitable. The problem, however, is the continuing appreciation of the renminbi, which gradually reduces the value of those foreign assets in renminbi terms.

- (a) Use the central bank's balance sheet to show how purchases of foreign currency increase the monetary base (think: supply of currency). (10 points)
- (b) Show how sterilization can be used to reverse the impact on the supply of currency. (10 points)
- (c) You may note that interest rates have now flipped, with Chinese interest rates above US interest rates. What does this imply for the returns on the PBC's balance sheet? How might it avoid this outcome?

Answer.

- (a) A typical central bank balance sheet looks something like this:

Assets		Liabilities	
FX Reserves	100	Monetary Base	200
Bonds	100		

Monetary base is (roughly) another term for currency. Suppose, now, that the PBC has to purchase another 100 worth of foreign currency (or foreign-currency denominated bonds) and issues currency in return. Then the balance sheet becomes

Assets		Liabilities	
FX Reserves	200	Monetary Base	300
Bonds	100		

This is the impact of the accumulation of reserves on the monetary base described by Yam.

- (b) To undo the increase in the monetary base, the PBC will issue 100 worth of bonds and accept money in return:

Assets		Liabilities	
FX Reserves	200	Monetary Base	200
Bonds	0		

This operation is referred to as sterilization. For the PBC, this process has continued to the extent that they have had to issue bonds as liabilities, so that their balance sheet now looks something like this:

Assets		Liabilities	
FX Reserves	800	Monetary Base	200
Bonds	0	Bonds	600

The bond liabilities (so-called “sterilization bonds”) are issued primarily to Chinese commercial banks, as outlined above. Note, as Yam does, that assets and liabilities are equal.

- (c) The PBC is now likely losing money on its portfolio for two reasons: (i) because the rate it’s paying on liabilities is greater than the rate received on assets and (ii) continued increases in the value of the renminbi raise the value of its liabilities relative to its assets. That’s one of the reasons they have set up a sovereign wealth fund: to generate higher returns in assets. In a sense, they have the wrong side of the carry trade.

How could it avoid this outcome? Let the currency float and stop buying foreign currency. This is entirely the byproduct of managing the exchange rate.

2. *Globalization and inflation (20 points)*. Fed Chairman Bernanke said recently (March 2007):

As national markets become increasingly integrated and open, sellers of goods, services, and labor may face more competition and have less market power than in the past. These linkages suggest that, at least in the short run, globalization and trade may affect the course of domestic inflation.

- (a) Use aggregate supply and demand to describe how expansionary monetary policy affects output and inflation in the short run. (10 points)
- (b) Back to Bernanke: How would you represent the impact of globalization (think: imports from China) in the aggregate supply and demand diagram? How does globalization change the impact of expansionary monetary policy in this model? Do you find the model persuasive in this respect? (10 points)

Answer.

- (a) This would increase both output and prices (inflation, loosely speaking). Your answer should show a diagram with AS, AD, and AS*. AD shifts out, with the stated result.
- (b) The typical argument is that AS has become flatter. As a result, expansionary monetary policy has a smaller impact on inflation, and larger impact on output.

3. *Miscellany (50 points)*.

- (a) *Exchange rates*. *The Economist* reports that a Big Mac costs \$2.90 in the US, \$3.28 in the eurozone, and \$2.33 in Japan. (These prices are averages for the various regional markets, expressed in US dollars using current spot exchange rates.) What does this suggest about the likely change in value of the euro and yen v. the dollar over the coming 6 months? 6 years? (10 points)
- (b) *Inflation*. Milton Friedman once said: inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon. Do you agree or disagree? Why or why not? (10 points)
- (c) *Employment report*. At 8:30 am on April 6, 2006, the US Bureau of Labor Statistics released its closely-watched employment report, *The Employment Situation*. Firms reported an increase of 180,000 jobs in March, well above the consensus of 135,000. Treasury yields immediately rose 5-10 basis points for maturities from 2 to 30 years. Why? (10 points)
- (d) *ECB policy*. The European Central Bank has kept short-term interest rates in the Euro Zone well above those in the US. Why? (10 points)

- (e) *Cross-correlation function.* Describe the cross-correlation function and show how it can be used to identify promising leading indicators. (10 points)

Answers.

- (a) PPP suggests that exchange rates will adjust to make prices the same across countries. In this case, that means the dollar will rise against the euro, fall against the yen. Is this right? Over short periods of time, exchange rates are close to unpredictable by any means, PPP included. Over longer periods of time (5-20 years) PPP is a reasonable indicator.
- (b) It has lots of truth in it, but I'd disagree for two reasons. First, it's an incomplete statement for high inflations: it's true, but high money growth itself typically stems from a government deficit. Second, over short periods of time, the quantity theory doesn't work that well. It's entirely possible, as our AS/AD analysis implies, that money can have only a modest short-run impact on inflation, and that other demand and supply factors play a role, too.
- (c) I'd start with the Taylor rule: indicators of high output lead to high interest rates. The deeper question is why this shows up in long yields. Certainly it will take some time to affect the Fed's choice of target interest rate, but the impact on the very long end is a typical, if somewhat mysterious, result.
- (d) One reason is that the ECB, by design, places greater weight on inflation: its primary goal is price stability. Another is that they have not had the kind of financial turmoil that has afflicted US markets and driven the Fed to largely abandon its own devotion to stable prices.
- (e) The cross-correlation function is a plot of the correlation of the correlation of two variables at different leads and lags against the lead or lag. Formally, the ccf for two variables x and y is a plot of

$$\text{ccf}(k) = \text{corr}(x_t, y_{t-k}).$$

against k .