NYU | STERN

Problem Set #1: Macroeconomic Data
Revised: October 8, 2014

You may do this assignment in a group. Whatever you hand in should be the work of your group and include the names of all of the contributors.

1. *National accounts in Margaritaville (40 points).* Jimmy Buffett has decided to apply for membership in the European Union on behalf of his newly sovereign nation, Margaritaville. As part of his application, he must provide the EU technocrats with a complete set of national accounts. You have been hired as the Chief National Accountant. Your first day on the job, you receive an official Coral Reefer Crew™ t-shirt and the following information about local economic activity:

   - Local Cheeseburger in Paradise™ cafes sold $63,000 worth of cheeseburgers to local consumers. Their expenses were: imported beef and sesame seeds ($10,000), locally produced catsup ($12,000), wages and benefits ($22,000), and rent ($3,000). Hint: you will need to compute the profit earned by the cafes.

   - Local tomato growers sold $8,000 worth of tomatoes to domestic catsup producers and exported another $3,000 to the US. They paid land rent ($1,000) and wages ($9,000).

   - Local producers of the Margaritaville Frozen Concoction Maker™ sold $100,000 worth of blenders; $50,000 were exported to Europe, the remainder were sold to local consumers. Their expenses were $15,000 worth of imported metal, $20,000 for a new CNC machine imported from Germany, and $90,000 in wages.

   - The domestic catsup industry sold $12,000 worth of product to local cafes. They purchased $8,000 worth of tomatoes from domestic growers and paid $4,000 in wages.

   - The newly-formed government collected $10,000 in taxes from its citizens and paid $10,000 to government regulators, who oversee food and beverage safety.

   You mission is to use this raw data to construct national income and product accounts for Magaritaville. Specifically:

   (a) Compute the value-added of each production unit. What is GDP? (10 points)

   (b) Compute GDP and its expenditure components (consumption, investment, government purchases of goods and services, exports, and imports). (10 points)

   (c) What are saving and investment? Why are they different? Where does the difference go? (10 points)

(d) Jimmy looks over your calculation in (a) and is worried that you made a mistake. Over a couple Land Shark Lagers$^{\text{TM}}$ you explain to him that GDP can be computed three different ways: the sum of value-added across production units (Gross Domestic Product), the sum of expenditure components (Gross Domestic Expenditure), and the sum of payments to labor and capital (Gross Domestic Income). You do the remaining one, payments to labor and capital, and show him that you get the same answer. He buys you a margarita to show his appreciation. (10 points)

Solution: It's easiest to do the whole thing on a spreadsheet — see the attached spreadsheet. To access it, download this pdf file, open it with the Adobe Reader or the equivalent, and click on the pushpin:
If you don't see a purple pushpin above, then you need to open the pdf in a different viewer. Adobe, Foxit, and Sumatra all work, but Apple's Preview does not.

(a) Here's a quick overview of value added by producer:

- Cafes: Value added comes from sales of 63 minus intermediate goods of 22, which gives you value-added of 41. On the income side this corresponds to 22 to labor, 3 in rent, and 16 of profit to the owner.

- Tomatoes: Value added is 11, which equals income of 11 (9 to labor, 1 to rent, 1 of profit).

- MFCM: Value added is sales of 100 minus the 15 of metal, for a total of 85. By convention, we do not include the 20 of new machines as an expense, because it's an investment in new plant and equipment. On the income side, that consists of wages of 90 and a profit of -5.

- Catsup: Revenue of 12 minus intermediate inputs of 8 gives us value-added of 4, which is paid as wages.

- Government. Wages of 10 count (by convention) as value added of 10, all of it income to government workers.

Adding it all up gives us GDP from the production side:

$$\text{Value added} \;=\; 41 + 11 + 85 + 4 + 10 \;=\; 151.$$

(b) Expenditures are

- Cafes: All of the sales revenue is final sales to consumers. How do we handle the input of imported beef and seeds? We put a 10 in imports, which therefore makes a negative contribution to expenditures on (our) GDP.

- Tomatoes: Only the exports of 3 counts as final sales, the rest is an input to the catsup producer.

- MFCM: Finals sales includes consumption of 50, exports of 50, imports of 35, and investment of 20. Note that the investment of 20 makes no contribution to GDP: the entries under investment and imports net to zero.

- Catsup: None of it counts as final sales, since it's sold to cafes and used by them as an intermediate product (an input).

- Government. Government purchases are 10: by convention, it "purchases" what it produces.

Adding it all up gives us GDP from the expenditure identity:

$$Y(\text{GDP} = 151) \quad = \quad C(113) + I(20) + G(10) + NX(53 - 45).$$

(c) From above, saving is $S = Y - C - G = 28$ and investment is $I = 20$. Net exports of $NX = -2$ accounts for the difference: $S = I + NX$. In this case, it means we are borrowing 2 in foreign capital markets: domestic saving is less than we need to finance domestic investment, so we make up the difference by raising money from foreign investors.

(d) We've calculated GDP from value-added of producers and expenditures. The only one we're missing is income, which (of course) is the same as value added. Summing again across production units in order of appearance:

$$\text{Gross Domestic Income} \quad = \quad 41 + 11 + 85 + 4 + 10 \quad = \quad 151.$$

This breaks down into wages (115), rent (4), and profit (32). Thus we have three ways to get the same number: value added, expenditures, and income. The numbers are all the same, so we can drink our margarita in peace.

---

2. *Inputs and outputs (20 points).* Specify the most likely direct impact of each of the following on the components of the production function. Don't make this more complicated than it is: we're concerned only with the impact on the components of the production function.

   (a) Investments in transportation infrastructure in India. (5 points)

   (b) A reduction in red tape for construction projects for New York hospitals. (5 points)

   (c) An improvement in education that increases the skill and effectiveness of workers. (5 points)

   (d) A reduction in tariffs in Brazil on imported computer equipment. (5 points)

Solution: You may recall that the production function links output Y to inputs of capital K and labor L and productivity A:

$$Y \;=\; AK^{1/3}L^{2/3}.$$

(a) This is an increase in capital, which should increase output through the production function.

(b) This should raise productivity and output.

(c) This raises the quality-adjusted amount of labor, therefore output goes up. If labor is measured without quality adjustment, then it shows up as an increase in productivity. As usual, productivity absorbs anything that's not accounted for explicitly.

(d) This makes computer equipment cheaper, which should increase the capital stock and thus output. It could also raise productivity by giving Brazilian firms cheaper/better access to the best computer technology.

Some history from a paper by Cole, Ohanian, Riascos, and Schmitz ("Latin America in the rearview mirror") (rough paraphrase):

> In 1977, Brazil embarked on a zero-quota policy that meant that only PCs and minicomputers produced by Brazilian-owned firms could be sold in Brazil. Moreover, the black market was not a practical choice for large firms. The policy insulated Brazilian computer producers from foreign competition and featured entry barriers to new Brazilian producers through a maze of bureaucratic requirements.
>
> When the quota was lifted by President Collor in 1992, productivity in Brazil's computer industry rose dramatically and 6 of the top 10 firms selling in Brazil in the mid-1990s were Brazilian. Productivity of computer users also increased, as firms got access to better equipment at lower prices.

---

3. *Saving, investment, and capital flows in China (40 points).* China is known for its unusually high saving and investment rates, and for its trade surplus. Are these things connected?

   We'll attack this question with the data in the spreadsheet attached below to the pdf of this document, attached below, linked on the course page, and posted at

   http://pages.stern.nyu.edu/~dbackus/2303/ps1_q3_f14.xlsx.

   The first sheet, labeled `Data`, includes everything you need: GDP and its expenditure components at current prices from 1952 to 2013. The second contains the

data exactly as it comes from CEIC, a commercial data vendor.

(a) What does "GDP at current prices" mean? Is it "real" or "nominal"? What do those words mean anyway? (10 points)

(b) Graph saving, investment, and net exports as ratios to GDP. How are these three variables related? As in class, we define saving as $S = Y - C - G$. (20 points)

(c) Looking at your figure, how much of domestic saving is used to finance domestic investment? What happens to the rest of it? (10 points)

Note. The data spreadsheet is embedded in the pdf file of this document. To access it, open the pdf file on your computer using the Adobe Reader or the equivalent and click on the pushpin: 　　　　If you can't get it to work — some pdf viewers won't show the attachments — use the link above.

Solution:

(a) When we measure something "at current prices" we say it's a *nominal* magnitude, measured in units of money. When we measure something "at constant prices," we say it's a *real* magnitude. Since the prices are the same at all dates, all of the variation over time in real magnitudes is in the quantities. So real GDP measures the quantity of goods and services produced and nominal GDP measures their value (prices times quantity) in units of currency.

(b) Here's the graph:

The variables are related by

$$S \; = \; Y - C - G \; = \; I + NX.$$

(Well, they would be if not for the discrepancy.)

(c) The point here is that net exports reflect international movements of capital. If $NX > 0$, we have more saving than investment and the extra funds are invested abroad as a "capital outflow." If $NX < 0$, we have more investment than saving and the difference is made up by raising money abroad — what we call a "capital inflow." In the recent past, China has experienced a capital outflow, largely because saving is so high. (Investment is high, too, by international standards, but saving is even higher.) The excess of saving over investment is channelled into foreign investments.