

## Quiz #2

(Spring 2012)

*Please write your name below. Then complete the exam in the space provided. There are THREE questions. You may refer to one page of notes: standard paper, both sides, any content you wish.*

---

(Name and signature)

1. (Sharpe ratios) (40 points) We'll look at Sharpe ratios in a two-period representative agent economy. Endowment growth  $g$  is Bernoulli:

$$g = \begin{cases} 1.00 & \text{with probability } 1 - \omega \\ 1.10 & \text{with probability } \omega \end{cases}$$

with  $\omega = 0.3$ . The representative agent has power utility with discount factor  $\beta = 0.98$  and risk aversion  $\alpha = 5$ . Equity is a claim to  $g$ .

- (a) What is the pricing kernel for this economy? What are the state prices? (10 points)
- (b) What are the price and return of a one-period riskfree bond? (10 points)
- (c) What is the price of equity? What are the mean and standard deviation of its excess return? What is its Sharpe ratio? (10 points)
- (d) What is the maximum Sharpe ratio for this economy? (10 points)

### Solution:

- (a) The pricing kernel is  $m(z) = \beta g(z)^{-\alpha}$ . Here we get  $m = [0.9800, 0.6085]$ . State prices are  $q(z) = p(z)m(z)$  or  $q = [0.6860, 0.1826]$ .

- (b) The price of the bond is

$$q^1 = \sum p(z)m(z) = 0.8686,$$

which implies  $r^1 = 1/q^1 = 1.1513$ .

- (c) The price of equity is

$$q^1 = \sum p(z)m(z)g(z) = 0.8868.$$

The returns are  $r^e = [1.1276, 1.2404]$ . The mean and standard deviation follow either from a brute-force calculation [ $Var(x) = E(x^2) - E(x)^2$ ] or related formulas for Bernoulli random variables. The mean and standard deviation of the excess return are 0.0102 and 0.0517. The Sharpe ratio is the ratio of the two:  $0.0102/0.0517 = 0.1960$ .

- (d) This is an application of the Hansen-Jagannathan bound. The maximum Sharpe ratio for this pricing kernel is the ratio of the standard deviation of the pricing kernel to its mean. Here we get  $0.1702/0.8686 = 0.1960$ . Our asset therefore hits the bound. That's something of an accident, it works because of the two-state structure, which means all returns are linear functions of the pricing kernel. Don't worry if that seems obscure to you.

Matlab program:

2. (entropy bound revisited) (30 points) The idea here is to derive the entropy bound from a maximization problem. We'll do this in an arbitrary two-period economy with a finite set of states. Each state  $z$  has probability  $p(z)$  and pricing kernel  $m(z)$ . An asset has returns  $r(z)$  that satisfy the pricing relation

$$\sum_z p(z)m(z)r(z) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Our mission is to characterize the asset with the highest expected log return,

$$\sum_z p(z) \log r(z).$$

We'll refer to this as the "high-return asset."

- (a) What is the entropy of the pricing kernel? Express it in terms of  $m(z)$  and  $p(z)$ . (10 points)
- (b) Use Lagrangian methods to find the returns  $r(z)$  (one number for each state) that maximize the expected log return while satisfying the pricing relation (1). How is the return on the high-return asset related to the pricing kernel? (10 points)
- (c) Show that the high-return asset attains the entropy bound. (10 points)

**Solution:**

- (a) Entropy is defined by

$$H(m) = \log E(m) - E \log m = \log \sum_z p(z)m(z) - \sum_z p(z) \log m(z).$$

- (b) The idea is to maximize the expected log return with the pricing relation as a constraint. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_z p(z) \log r(z) + \lambda \left( 1 - \sum_z p(z)m(z)r(z) \right).$$

The first-order condition for  $r(z)$  is

$$p(z)/r(z) = \lambda p(z)m(z).$$

You can see here that there's an inverse relation between  $r(z)$  and  $m(z)$ , but we need to eliminate the multiplier  $\lambda$ . If we multiply both sides by  $r(z)$  and sum over  $z$ , we see that the left side is one and the right side is  $\lambda$ , so we must have  $\lambda = 1$ . That gives us the maximizing return

$$r(z) = 1/m(z).$$

We did this in class using Jensen's inequality, but this is more constructive.

(c) The entropy bound says

$$E(\log r - \log r^1) \leq H(m) = \log E(m) - E \log m.$$

All we need to do is substitute. We have  $r = 1/m$ , so  $E \log r = -E \log m$ . The one-period rate is  $r^1 = 1/E(m)$ , so  $\log r^1 = -\log E(m)$ . That gives us

$$E(\log r - \log r^1) = -E \log m + \log E(m) = H(m).$$

(The  $E$  in front of  $r^1$  is irrelevant here, because  $r^1$  is a constant.)

3. (put and call options) (30 points) We'll look, once again, at the prices of one-year options when the risk-neutral distribution of the underlying is lognormal. If the future value of the underlying is  $s_{t+1}$ , then  $\log s_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ . We've seen, in this case, that the price of a European put option with strike price  $k$  is

$$\begin{aligned} q_t^p &= q_t^1 k N(d) - q_t^1 e^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2/2} N(d - \kappa_2^{1/2}) \\ d &= (\log k - \kappa_1)/\kappa_2^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) What is the price of a call option with the same strike price? (15 points)  
 (b) What is the no-arbitrage condition for this environment? Use it to derive the BSM formula for call options from your answer to (a). (15 points)

**Solution:** Axelle says there's a sign error here somewhere, but I haven't had the time to fix it.

(a) The key input here is put-call parity, solved for the call price:

$$q_t^c = s_t - q_t^1 k + q_t^p$$

That gives us a call price of

$$\begin{aligned} q_t^c &= q_t^1 k [1 - N(d)] + s_t - q_t^1 e^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2/2} N(d - \kappa_2^{1/2}) \\ &= q_t^1 k N(-d) + s_t - q_t^1 e^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2/2} N(d - \kappa_2^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from the symmetry of the normal distribution:  $1 - N(d) = N(-d)$ .

(b) The no-arb condition here is  $s_t = q_t^1 e^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2/2}$  or

$$\kappa_1 = \log(s_t/q_t^1) - \kappa_2/2.$$

That allows us to simplify the call price to

$$q_t^c = q_t^1 k N(-d) + s_t N(-d + \kappa_2^{1/2}),$$

with

$$d = \frac{\log(q_t^1 k/s_t) - \kappa_2/2}{\kappa_2^{1/2}}.$$

If we change the sign, we end up with the BSM formula.

