

Lab Report #4: Asset Pricing Fundamentals

Revised: October 15, 2015

Due at the start of class. You may speak to others, but whatever you hand in should be your own work. Please include your Matlab code.

1. *State prices and related objects.* Consider an economy with three states. State prices and probabilities are

State z	State Price $Q(z)$	Probability $p(z)$	Dividend $d(z)$
1	1/3	1/2	1
2	1/3	1/4	2
3	1/3	1/4	3

- (a) What is the pricing kernel in each state?
 - (b) What is the price of a one-period bond? What is its return?
 - (c) What are the risk-neutral probabilities? Why are they different from the true probabilities?
 - (d) Suppose equity is a claim to the dividend in the last column. What is its price? What is the return on equity in each state?
 - (e) What is the expected return on equity? The risk premium?
2. *Pricing kernels and risk-neutral probabilities with geometric risk.* Consider a representative agent economy with a power utility agent. Utility is

$$u(c_0) + \beta \sum_z p(z) u[c_1(z)]$$

with $u(c) = c^{1-\alpha}/(1-\alpha)$ and risk aversion parameter $\alpha > 0$. Log consumption growth $z = \log g = \log c_1 - \log c_0$ is geometric: z takes on the values $0, 1, 2, \dots$ with probabilities $p(z) = (1-\omega)\omega^z$ and parameter $0 < \omega < 1$.

- (a) What is the pricing kernel $m(z)$ in each state z ?
 - (b) What are the state prices $Q(z)$?
 - (c) What are the risk-neutral probabilities $p^*(z)$? What is the risk-neutral distribution?
 - (d) How do the risk-neutral probabilities $p^*(z)$ differ from the true probabilities $p(z)$? Why?
 - (e) Set $\omega = 2/3$ and $\alpha = 1$ and plot $p(z)$ and $p^*(z)$ for z between zero and 10. How do they differ? Why?

Matlab mini-tutorial on bar charts. Suppose we have vectors z , p , and $pstar$. The order of inputs in Matlab plot commands is x variable first (horizontal axis), then the y variable (vertical axis): `plot(x,y)`, `bar(x,y)`, etc. We can plot probabilities against z with the commands

```
bar(z, p)           % just p
bar(z, [p pstar])   % p and pstar together
```

The second differs only in having two y's.

3. *Option pricing.* We're going to value an option and persuade ourselves that option valuation is just an application of the no-arbitrage theorem. We'll examine the structure of option prices in greater depth in a couple weeks.

A *call option* gives the owner the right to purchase an asset — which we refer to as the *underlying* — one period from now at a price k — the so-called *strike price*. As with other assets, we set the option price now.

The question is what that price is. One input is the current price of the underlying, which we label s_0 . We set $s_0 = 100$ here. Another input is the risk-neutral distribution of future prices of the underlying, which we label $s(z)$. The owner of a call option with strike price k will exercise the option and purchase the stock only if s is greater than (or equal to?) k . That gives rise to the option cash flow

$$d(z) = \max\{0, s(z) - k\}.$$

Given this cash flow, we value the option as we would any other asset. We'll use specifically the risk-neutral valuation equation

$$q^c = q^1 \sum_z p^*(z) d(z) = q^1 \sum_z p^*(z) \max\{0, s(z) - k\}, \quad (1)$$

where q^c is the price of the call option, q^1 is the price of a one-period riskfree bond, and $p^*(z)$ is the risk-neutral probability of state z .

The final input is the risk-neutral probabilities. We'll work with a discrete approximation to a standard normal distribution for z and connect the future price to it by $\log s(z) = \mu + \sigma z$. A discrete approximation is easier to work with than the real thing (sums are easy, but numerical integration is neither pretty nor efficient). In Matlab terms, we set up a grid of points for z and assign probabilities to them from the standard normal pdf:

```
zmax = 4;
dz = 0.1;
z = [-zmax:dz:zmax]';
pstar = exp(-z.^2/2)*dz/sqrt(2*pi);
```

We can make this approximation as close to the original as we want by shrinking dz .

- (a) What did we just do there with the discrete grid?

- (b) One check on the approximation is the sum of the probabilities. Do they sum to one?
- (c) Set up a related grid of values for $s(z)$: that is, for each point z we compute the related point $s(z)$ using the connection between them. When you do this, use $q^1 = 0.95$, $\sigma = 0.1$, and

$$\mu = \log(100/q^1) - \sigma^2/2.$$

More on this later. What value of μ do you get?

- (d) Compute the cash flows $d(z)$ for an option with strike price $k = 110$. Graph the cash flow $d(z)$ against the future price $s(z)$ of the underlying.

You may find these Matlab commands helpful:

```
d_positive = s >= k
d = d_positive.*(s-k);
```

The first line generates a vector that equals one if $s \geq k$ and zero otherwise.

- (e) Use the risk-neutral pricing equation (1) to compute the option's value.
- (f) *Optional, extra credit.* Compute the option price with $\sigma = 0.2$, making sure to update your value of μ . How does it compare to your earlier calculation? Can you guess why?