

## Quiz #1

Spring 2013

*Please write your name below, then complete the exam in the space provided. There are FOUR questions. You may refer to one page of notes: standard paper, both sides, any content you wish.*

---

(Name and signature)

1. *Moments, cumulants, and generating functions (20 points).* Consider an arbitrary random variable  $x$ .
  - (a) Define the moment generating function of  $x$ . How is the cumulant generating function related to it? (5 points)
  - (b) How is the cumulant generating function of  $y = \alpha + \beta x$  related to the cgf of  $x$ ? (5 points)
  - (c) What is the second central moment  $\mu_2$  of  $x$ ? How is it connected to the moment generating function? (5 points)
  - (d) What is the third cumulant  $\kappa_3$  of  $x$ ? How is it connected to the cumulant generating function? (5 points)

**Solution:**

- (a) The mgf  $h$  is defined by:  $h(s) = E(e^{sx})$ . The cgf is its log:  $k(s) = \log h(s)$ .
- (b) The mgf of  $y$  is  $h_y(s) = E(e^{sy}) = E(e^{s(\alpha + \beta x)}) = e^{s\alpha} h_x(\beta s)$ . The cgf is its log:  $k_y(s) = s\alpha + k_x(\beta s)$ .
- (c) The second central moment is the variance. If the mean is  $\bar{x}$ , it's  $\text{Var}(x) = E(x - \bar{x})^2 = E(x^2) - \bar{x}^2$ .  $E(x^2)$  is the second raw or noncentral moments, and  $\bar{x}$  is the first. They are the second and first derivatives, resp, of the mgf, evaluated at  $s = 0$ .
- (d) The third cumulant is the third derivative of the cgf, also evaluated at  $s = 0$ . It's also the third central moment.

2. *Risk and return (30 points).* Consider an agent with utility

$$U = E[u(c)]$$

where  $u(c) = c^{1-\alpha}/(1-\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . She invests one and consumes the gross return  $r$ .

- (a) What is her expected utility if she invests everything in a riskfree asset whose (gross) return is 1.1? (Her consumption is therefore 1.1 in every state.) What is the certainty equivalent of this outcome? (10 points)
- (b) What is her expected utility if she invests in an asset whose return is lognormal:  $\log r \sim \mathcal{N}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ ? What is her certainty equivalent? (10 points)
- (c) For what values of  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  is the risky asset preferred? (10 points)

**Solution:**

- (a) The certainty equivalent of a sure thing  $\bar{c}$  is  $\bar{c}$ . More formally, if consumption is  $\bar{c}$  in all states, then the certainty equivalent  $\mu$  solves

$$U(\bar{c}, \bar{c}, \dots, \bar{c}) = U(\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu),$$

so  $\mu = \bar{c}$ . So the certainty equivalent here is 1.1.

- (b) We're using properties of lognormal random variables here. We know  $E(r^{1-\alpha}) = \exp[(1-\alpha)\kappa_1 + (1-\alpha)^2\kappa_2/2]$ . The certainty equivalent is  $\mu = E(r^{1-\alpha})^{1/(1-\alpha)} = \exp[\kappa_1 + (1-\alpha)\kappa_2/2]$ .
- (c) Evidently we need  $\kappa_1 + (1-\alpha)\kappa_2/2 > \log 1.1$ . So large  $\kappa_1$  helps. If  $\alpha > 1$ , small  $\kappa_2$  helps, too, otherwise the reverse.

3. *Securities and returns (30 points)*. Consider an economy with two assets and two equally likely states. The assets have dividends

Asset	State 1	State 2
1 ("bond")	1	1
2 ("equity")	2	5

The prices of the two assets are  $q^1 = 0.7$  and  $q^e = 2$ .

- (a) What is the mean return on Asset 1? Asset 2? The risk premium on Asset 2? (15 points)
- (b) How can you decompose each asset into Arrow securities? What are the implied prices of Arrow securities? (15 points)

**Solution:**

- (a) The bond has a sure return  $r^1 = 1/0.7 = 1.43$ . Equity has returns

$$r^e(z) = \begin{cases} 2/2 = 1 & \text{for } z = 1 \\ 5/2 = 2.5 & \text{for } z = 2 \end{cases}$$

The states have probability one-half each ("equally likely"), so its expected return is 1.75. Its risk premium is  $1.75 - 1.43 = 0.32$ .

- (b) The bond pays one in each state, so it consists of the two Arrow securities. Equity pays 2 in state 1 and 5 in state 2, so it consists of 2 units of the state-1 Arrow securities and 5 units of the state-2 Arrow security. If the prices of Arrow securities are denoted by  $Q(z)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0.7 &= Q(1) + Q(2) \\ 2 &= 2Q(1) + 5Q(2). \end{aligned}$$

That gives us  $Q(1) = 0.5$  and  $Q(2) = 0.2$ .

4. *Saving and investment (20 points)*. Consider the Pareto problem of choosing  $(c_0, k)$  to maximize

$$U = u(c_0) + \beta \sum_z p(z) u[c_1(z)],$$

subject to the resource constraints

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + k &\leq y_0 \\ c_1(z) &\leq zf(k). \end{aligned}$$

There is one of the second constraint for each state  $z$ . Here  $k$  is capital — plant and equipment — produced at date 0 and used to produce output  $zf(k)$  at date 1. The amount of output is random and depends on the state  $z$ .

- (a) What is the associated Lagrangian? (10 points)  
 (b) What are the first-order conditions for  $c_0$  and  $k$ ? (10 points)

**Solution:**

- (a) If we use  $q_0$  and  $q_1(z)$  as multipliers on the constraints, the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = u(c_0) + \beta \sum_z p(z) u[c_1(z)] + q_0(y_0 - c_0 - k) + \sum_z q_1(z)[zf(k) - c_1(z)].$$

- (b) The first-order conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 : \quad & u'(c_0) - q_0 = 0 \\ k : \quad & -q_0 + \sum_z q_1(z)zf'(k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$