## Quiz #2

Revised: November 19, 2013

Please write your name below. Then complete the exam in the space provided. There are THREE questions. You may refer to one page of notes: standard paper, both sides, any content you wish.

(Name and signature)

- 1. State prices and related objects (30 points). Consider a world with two dates (t = 0) and t = 1 and two states at date t = 1 (z = 1) and z = 2. The probabilities of the two states are p(1) = 1/4 and p(2) = 3/4. State prices are Q(1) = 1/3 and Q(2) = 2/3.
  - (a) What is the price of a one-period bond in this world? (5 points)
  - (b) What is the pricing kernel in each state? (5 points)
  - (c) If this were a representative agent economy, which state would be the good one for the agent? Why? (5 points)
  - (d) What are the risk-neutral probabilities? (5 points)
  - (e) What is the maximum Sharpe ratio these state prices can generate? (10 points)

## **Solution:**

- (a) A bond consists of one unit of each Arrow security. Its price is  $q^1 = Q(1) + Q(2) = 1$ .
- (b) The pricing kernel m(z) is m(z) = Q(z)/p(z), which gives us m(1) = 4/3 and m(2) = 8/9.
- (c) In the good state, the pricin kernel is low: payoffs have low value when consumption is high. Here that's state 2.
- (d) The risk-neutral probabilities are  $p^*(z) = Q(z)/q^1$ , which gives us back the state prices in this case:  $p^*(1) = 1/3$  and  $p^*(2) = 2/3$ .
- (e) The Hansen-Jagannathan bound gives us the maximum Sharpe ratio as the ratio of the standard deviation of the pricing kernel to its mean, the price of a (one-period) bond. Here the bond price is one, so all we need is the standard deviation. The usual calculations give us

$$Var(m) = E(m^2) - E(m)^2 = 4/9 + 16/27 - 1 = 0.03704.$$

The standard deviation is the square root, 0.1925, which is the largest Sharpe ratio possible in this world.

2. Entropy with gamma risks (30 points). Consider our usual representative agent economy with power utility and log consumption growth  $\log g = x$ . The log pricing kernel is therefore  $\log m(x) = \log \beta - \alpha x$ . Log consumption growth x has a gamma distribution with  $\operatorname{cgf} k(s;x) = -\theta \log(1-s/\lambda)$  and density function  $p(x) = x^{\theta-1}e^{-\lambda x}[\lambda^{\theta}/\Gamma(\theta)]$ . The parameters  $(\lambda, \theta)$  are positive.

You may recall from earlier work that x has mean and variance

$$\kappa_1 = \theta/\lambda$$

$$\kappa_2 = \theta/\lambda^2$$

and skewness and excess kurtosis

$$\gamma_1 = 2/\theta^{1/2}$$

$$\gamma_2 = 6/\theta.$$

- (a) What is the cgf of the log pricing kernel? (10 points)
- (b) What is the entropy of the pricing kernel? (10 points)
- (c) How does entropy compare to a lognormal benchmark with the same mean and variance? (10 points)

## Solution:

(a) Since it's a linear transformation of x, we have

$$k(s; \log m) = s \log \beta + k(-\alpha s; x) = s \log \beta - \theta \log(1 + \alpha s/\lambda).$$

[Recall: if 
$$y = a + bx$$
, then  $E(e^{sy}) = e^{sa}E(e^{sbx})$ .]

(b) The term  $\log E(m)$  is just the cgf of  $\log m$  evaluated at s=1, namely

$$\log E(m) = \log E(e^{\log m}) = \log \beta - \theta \log(1 + \alpha/\lambda).$$

The mean of  $\log m$  is  $\log \beta - \alpha \theta / \lambda$ . The difference is entropy:

$$H(m) = \log E(m) - E(\log m) = -\theta \log(1 + \alpha/\lambda) + \alpha\theta/\lambda.$$

(c) In the lognormal case, entropy is the variance of  $\log m$  over two, which here is  $\alpha^2\theta/(2\lambda^2)$ . The expressions aren't particularly friendly, but if you do a Taylor series expansion of the previous expression, you find

$$H(m) = -\theta \left[ (\alpha/\lambda) - (\alpha/\lambda)^2 / 2 + (\alpha/\lambda)^3 / 3! + \cdots \right] + \alpha \theta / \lambda$$
$$= -\theta \left[ -(\alpha/\lambda)^2 / 2 + (\alpha/\lambda)^3 / 3! + \cdots \right].$$

So the variance term corresponds to the lognormal case, but we have other terms after that.

3. Option on mixture of exponentials (40 points). Suppose the risk-neutral distribution of the future value of the underlying is a mixture of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ :

$$s_{t+1} = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{with probability } 1 - \omega \\ x_2 & \text{with probability } \omega \end{cases}$$

for some  $\omega$  between zero and one. Each  $x_j$  is exponential with density

$$p(x_i) = \lambda_i \exp(-\lambda_i x_i)$$

for  $x_j \ge 0$  and  $\lambda_j > 0$ . Each  $x_j$  has a mean of  $1/\lambda_j$ .

- (a) What is the no-arbitrage condition for this asset? (10 points)
- (b) Consider a put option giving the owner the right to sell the asset for price k at t+1. What cash flow is generated by this option? (10 points)
- (c) Suppose  $\omega = 0$ . What is the option's value? (10 points)
- (d) What is the option's value for some arbitrary value of  $\omega$ ? (10 points)

## Solution:

(a) We have

$$s_t = q_t^1 E^*(s_{t+1})$$
  
=  $q_t^1 [(1 - \omega)E^*(x_1) + \omega E^*(x_2)] = q_t^1 [(1 - \omega)/\lambda_1 + \omega/\lambda_2].$ 

- (b) The cash flow is (as usual)  $(k s_{t+1})^+$ .
- (c) If  $\omega = 0$  we can skip the second term. The put price is

$$q_t^p = q_t^1 E^*(k - s_{t+1})^+$$

$$= q_t^1 \int_0^k (k - x) \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx = q_t^1 [k - (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 k})/\lambda_1].$$

(d) Here we have, by the same logic,

$$q_t^p = q_t^1 \Big\{ (1-\omega)[k - (1-e^{-\lambda_1 k})/\lambda_1] + \omega[k - (1-e^{-\lambda_2 k})/\lambda_2] \Big\}.$$