

# MECA Planner 0.3

User Manual  
dbucki01@tufts.edu

May 7, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The MECA Planner</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Building</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Demo</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Output</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The depl planning language</b>	<b>2</b>
5.1	Example depl . . . . .	2
5.2	Structure . . . . .	4
5.3	types . . . . .	5
5.4	objects . . . . .	5
5.5	agents . . . . .	5
5.6	passive . . . . .	6
5.7	fluents . . . . .	6
5.8	constants . . . . .	6
5.9	initially . . . . .	6
5.10	goals . . . . .	7
5.11	actions . . . . .	7
<b>6</b>	<b>Environment agent models</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Testing a planning problem</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1 The MECA Planner

A planning problem includes a single system agent and zero or more environment agents.

We also admit so-called passive agents. Passive agents do not act, but the planner will maintain representations of their mental states within epistemic-doxastic states.

We assume discrete turn-taking.

Our search algorithm is described in detail in ??.

## 2 Building

You can build the MECA Planner with

```
$ make
```

Intermediate generated files will be put into the `/build` directory. The compiled planner will be encapsulated within a `mecaPlanner.jar`, which will be put into the top level directory, along with one or more executable scripts. The `meca` executable takes a `.depl` planning problem file as its single argument and runs the planner.

### 3 Demo

```
$ meca problems/example.depl
```

### 4 Output

As the planner performs iterated depth first search, it prints out the current search depth limit. For the example problem, the planner searches to depth 1 before finding a solution.

The solution is a conditional plan.

### 5 The `depl` planning language

Planning problems for the MECA Planner are specified in the doxastic/epistemic planning language: *depl*. A single `depl` file describes both a planning domain and a planning problem. The grammar of `depl` is defined with the ANTLR meta-language in the file: `src/deplParser/Depl.g4`.

We will use an example `depl` file, which is located at `problems/example.depl`.

This describes a planning domain involving two agents: a robot, whose behavior will be determined by the planner, and a human, whose behavior is estimated by a predictive model. There are two rooms and two hallways: each hallway connects the two rooms. The first room contains a coin and both agents, the second room contains a pizza. The robot does not know whether the coin lies heads- or tails-up, although the human does. The robot knows about the pizza in the second room, but the human is unaware of the pizza. The robot's goal is to know how the coin lies without the human knowing that the robot knows this. The robot can determine how the coin lies by looking at it, but if the human is in the same room, she will observe this and know that the robot knows. The human is hungry, and would leave for the second room if she know about the pizza (according to the predictive model). A succesful plan is for the robot to announce to the human that there is pizza in the other room, wait for the human to leave by either of the halls, and then observe the coin. Here is the example `depl` file describing this problem:

#### 5.1 Example `depl`

```
types{
  Robot-Actor,
  Human-Actor,
  Actor-Locatable,
  Food-Locatable,
  Locatable-Object,
  Location-Object
}

objects{
  robot1-Robot,
  human1-Human,
  pizza-Food,
  roomA - Location,
  roomB - Location,
  hall1 - Location,
  hall2 - Location,
```

```

}

agents{
  robot1,
  human1{ExampleModel},
}

fluents{
  at(Locatable, Location),
  heads(),
  hungry()
}

constants{
  !connected(Location, Location),
  connected(roomA, hall1),
  connected(roomA, hall2),
  connected(hall1, roomB),
  connected(hall2, roomB),
}

initially{
  *w1 <- {
    at(robot1, roomA),
    at(human1, roomA),
    at(pizza, roomB),
    heads()
  }
  *w2 <- {
    at(robot1, roomA),
    at(human1, roomA),
    at(pizza, roomB),
  }
  w3 <- {
    at(robot1, roomA),
    at(human1, roomA),
    heads()
  }
  w4 <- {
    at(robot1, roomA),
    at(human1, roomA),
  }

  B[robot1] <- {(w1,w1),(w1,w2),(w2,w2),(w2,w1),
               (w3,w3),(w3,w4),(w4,w4),(w4,w3)},
  K[robot1] <- {(w1,w1),(w1,w2),(w2,w2),(w2,w1),
               (w3,w3),(w3,w4),(w4,w4),(w4,w3)},
  B[human1] <- {(w1,w3),(w2,w4),(w3,w3),(w4,w4)},
  K[human1] <- {(w1,w1),(w1,w3),(w3,w3),(w3,w1),(w2,w2),(w2,w4),(w4,w4)
               ,(w4,w2)},
}

goals{(B[robot1]heads() & !B[human1]B[robot1]heads()) |
      (B[robot1]!heads() & !B[human1]B[robot1]!heads())}

```

```

actions{
  move(?a-Actor,?f-Location,?t-Location){
    owner{?a},
    precondition{at(?a, ?f)},
    precondition{connected(?f,?t)|connected(?t,?f)},
    observes(?o-Actor){?o if at(?o,?f) | at(?o,?t)},
    causes{at(?a, ?t)},
    causes{~(at(?a, ?f))},
  }

  eat(?l-Location){
    owner{human1},
    precondition{at(human1, ?l)},
    precondition{at(pizza, ?l)},
    observes(?o-Actor){?o if at(?o,?l)},
    causes{~hungry()},
  }

  announcePizza(){
    owner{robot1},
    observes(?o-Actor){?o},
    announces{at(pizza,roomB)},
  }

  look(){
    owner{robot1},
    precondition{at(robot1, roomA)},
    observes(?o-Actor){?o if at(?o, roomA)},
    determines{heads()}
  }

  wait(?a - Actor,?l-Location) {
    owner{?a},
    precondition{at(?a, ?l)},
    observes(?o-Actor){?o if at(?a,?l)},
  }
}

```

## 5.2 Structure

A depl file contains the following sections, which must occur in the correct order, and are required unless specified as optional:

- types
- objects
- agents
- passive (optional)
- fluents
- constants (optional)
- initially

- goals
- actions

Each section begins with the section name, followed by “{”, then the section contents, and then “}”.

Whitespace and newlines are ignored, as are, C-style inline comments (anything following “//”) and block comments (“/\*...\*/”). Any “&” (and) can instead be written as “&&”. Any “|” (or) can instead be written as “||”. Any “!” (not) can instead be written as “~”.

### 5.3 types

The *types* section defines a type heirarchy relating all objects in the planning problem. The content of the *types* section consists of a comma-separated list of type definitions. A type definition takes the form “Subtype - Supertype”. The type “Object” is built-in, and the type heirarchy must be constructed such that every type is a subtype of “Object”. A type name begins with an uppercase letter, followed by any number of upper- or lower-case letters, integers, and underscores.

The depl parser will use the type heirarchy defined in this section for two purposes. First, it will provide type checking of objects as a guard against errors. Second, it will automatically expand some statements that contain types into a set of statements instead containing objects of those types, providing a convenient short-hand. The type heirarchy is a strictly parse-time entity: no type information will be available to the planner.

### 5.4 objects

The *objects* section defines the objects in the planning problem. The content of the *objects* section consists of a comma-separated list of object definitions. An object definition takes the form “object - Type”. Each type must either be “Object”, or be defined in the *types* section. An object name begins with a lowercase letter, followed by any number of upper- or lower-case letters, integers, and underscores. Objects will be used as arguments to predicates to build a set of atomic boolean fluents (propositions).

### 5.5 agents

The MECA planner uses three types of *agents*. The single *system* agent and any number of *environment* agents are specified in this section. The third type, *passive* agents, are specified in the next section. An *agent* must be an object that has been defined in the *objects* section. An agent can have any type, but it is generally convenient to create a type for objects that will be agents, for example as we do with the “actor” type in our running example.

The *agents* section consists of a comma-separated list of agent definitions. There must be at least one agent definition. There must be exactly one *system* agent, definitions, the rest must be *environment* agent definitions. A system agent definition consists of only an agent name. An environment agent definition takes the form “name{Model}”, where **name** is an agent name and **Model** is the name of a model class. The model class name must begin with an uppercase letter, followed by any number of lower- and upper-case characters, integers, and underscores. The model name must be the same as the name of a java class that extends `mecaPlanner.models.Model`, and the class should be defined in a .java file `src/mecaPlanner/models/`.

This example defines a system agent, `robot1`, and a single environment agent, `human1`. The order in which agents are defined determines the order in which they will act. thus `robot1` will act first, followed by `human1`, and then `robot1` again, etc. The planner will query `ExampleModel` to determine the predicted actions of `human1`, and will attempt to construct a plan that specifies the actions of `robot1`.

The planner considers two main things with *system* and *environment* agents. First, as discussed above, it considers their actions (as specified by the planner for *system* agents, as predicted by a model for *environment* agents. Second, it models their beliefs and knowledge, which are represented as part of the states over which the planner searches.

The depl parser and the Meca planner will use the information defined in this section for three purposes. First, agents will be associated with actions. Second, and the model assigned to each environment agent will be queried to predict that agent’s actions. Third, the epistemic and doxiastic state and action systems will maintain representations of agents’ mental states.

## 5.6 passive

This optional section defines *passive* agents, whose beliefs and knowledge are modeled by the planner (as with system and environment agents), but who do not act (unlike system and environment agents). The passive section consists of a comma-separated list of passive agent definitions. A passive agent definition takes the same form as a system agent definition: an agent name.

## 5.7 fluents

The *fluents* section defines all fluent atoms that will be available to the planner. A fluent atom consists of a predicate and a (possibly empty) ordered list of arguments. Arguments are objects, as defined in the *objects* section.

This section contains a comma-delimited list of fluent definitions. A fluent definition takes the form **name**(**p1**, . . . , **pn**), where **name** is the predicate, which begins with a lowercase letter, followed by any number of upper- and lower-case letters, integers, and underscores, and each element of (**p1**, . . . , **pn**) is an argument. An argument is *either* an object name *or* a type name. If all arguments of a fluent definition are object names, a single fluent is defined. If any arguments are type names, the fluent definition is automatically expanded, substituting all objects that are of the specified type(s), in all combinations, to construct multiple fluents.

## 5.8 constants

This optional section defines constant (either true or false) atoms. This *constants* section consists of a comma-delimited list of constant definitions, where a constant definition takes either the form **name**(**p1**, . . . , **pn**) (true), or **!name**(**p1**, . . . , **pn**) (false). Automatic type-expansion is allowed as with fluent definitions.

If a constant is defined multiple times, its previously-defined values will be overridden. Thus, we could use type-expansion to construct a large number of false constants, and then override some of them to be true. As an example, separate from our running pizza-robot example (which does not use constants), consider a domain having many rooms, some (but not most) of which are connected to each other. A constants section specifying these constraints might look like this:

```
constants{
    !connected(Room, Room),
    connected(room1,room2),
    connected(room1,room3),
    connected(room3,room4),
    connected(room4,room5),
}
```

Similarly to the type hierarchy, constant definitions are a parse-time entity. The planner does not have access to them. Wherever a defined constant is found within a depl file, it is replaced with a *true* literal or a *false* literal. Constants can be used to simplify and clarify some definitions, especially action definitions. For example, with the given example *constants* section, we might define a *move* action that transitions between two rooms (called, perhaps, **?from** and **?to**, see the *actions* section below) only if they are connected, specifying as a precondition that **connected(?from,?to) | connected(?to,?from)**. If this were done using fluents instead of constants, the parser would generate (and give to the planner) an action for every pair of rooms. As the planner searched for a plan, it would repeatedly consider each of these move actions, only to discover that the preconditions are never satisfied for the vast majority of them. If the **connected** constraints are defined as constants, the parser determines that the preconditions for most of the possible *move* actions are constantly false, and only generates (and passes to the planner) actions for movement between connected rooms.

## 5.9 initially

This section defines the start state or the set of start states. The state representations used by the planner are presented only in outline here. For a complete description of these state representations, please consult

David Buckingham et al. (2021). “A Multi-Agent Epistemic and Doxastic Action Language with Belief Revision and Local Dynamic Observability”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*. Vol. 18 (*under review*).

A state definition has two parts. If there are multiple start states, they represent plan-time uncertainty of the of the planner about the start state: the planner will search for find a conformant plan that can achieve its goals from any of the start states. First, worlds and their valuations are defined. Then, per-agent knowledge and belief relations are defined over worlds.

Each world definition takes the form  $w \leftarrow \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  where  $w$  is a world name and each of  $f_1 \dots f_n$  is a fluent that is true in that world. Unlisted fluents are false. Designated worlds are prepended by a star (\*). There must be at least one designated world. If there are mutiple designated worlds, the state willl be replicated to construct multiple pointed states, one taking each of those worlds as its designated world. This is equivalent to defining multiple start states that differ only in the selection of the designated world.

A comma-separated list of belief and knowledge relations for each agent follow the world definitions. A belief relation takes the form  $B[i] \leftarrow \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  where  $i$  is an agent and each of  $e_1 \dots e_n$  is a pair of worlds, taking the form  $(w_1, w_2)$  where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are worlds. A knowledge relation takes the same form except replacing  $B$  with  $K$ .

The Belief relations must be serial, transitive, and Euclidean (the  $KD45_n$  properties). The Knowledge relations must be reflexive, transitive, and symmetric (the  $S5_n$  properties). The Belief and Knowledge relations must also satisfy the  $KB1$  property ( $B_i \subseteq K_i$ ), and the  $KB2$  property  $((u, v) \in K_i, (v, w) \in B_i \rightarrow (u, w) \in B_i)$  for every agent  $i$ .

## 5.10 goals

The *goals* section specifies the goals the planner tries to achieve. This section contains a comma-delimited list of goal formulae. The parser and’s these together to construct a single goal formula. A goal  $G$  takes the form:

```
G := f | (G) | M[a]G | G&G | G|G | !G | Timestep E i
M := B | K | P | C
E := == | != | < | <= | > | >=
```

where  $f$  is a fluent or a constant,  $a$  is an agent, and  $i$  is an integer.

$B[a]G$  means that agent  $a$  believes that formula  $G$  is true.  $P[a]G$  provides syntactic sugar for the dual, i.e. is equivalent to  $!B[i]!g$ .  $K[a]G$  means that agent  $a$  knows  $G$ .  $C[a]G$  means that all agents believe  $G$  (there is not infinite iteration of common knowledge).

## 5.11 actions

The *actions* section defines actions available to system and environment agents. An action definition takes the from  $\text{name}(p_1, \dots, p_n)\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ . Each of  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  is a parameter, taking the form  $?n - T$ , where  $?n$  is a variable name starting with  $?$  and  $T$  is a type. The action definition will be expanded into one or more ground actions by assigning each action parameter to each object having its type.

Each of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is an action definition. An action definition takes the form  $\text{name}(p_1, \dots, p_n)\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ .

For more information about action definitions, see Buckingham et al. 2021.

## 6 Environment agent models

Our example environment agnet model is ExampleModel, which is located at `/src/mecaPlanner/models/ExampleModel.dep1`

```
package mecaPlanner.models;

import java.util.Set;
import java.util.HashSet;

import mecaPlanner.state.*;
```

```

import mecaPlanner.formulae.localFormulae.Fluent;
import mecaPlanner.Action;
import mecaPlanner.Domain;

public class ExampleModel extends Model {

    public ExampleModel(String agent, Domain domain) {
        super(agent, domain);
    }

    public Set<Action> getPrediction(EpistemicState eState) {
        NDState ndState = eState.getBeliefPerspective(agent);
        Set<Action> allActions = getSafeActions(ndState);
        Set<Action> prediction = new HashSet<>();

        for (Action a : allActions) {
            if (a.getName().equals("eat")) {
                prediction.add(a);
                return prediction;
            }
        }

        if (ndState.necessarily(new Fluent("at", "pizza", "roomB"))) {
            if (ndState.necessarily(new Fluent("at", "human1", "roomA"))) {
                {
                    prediction.add(getSafeActionBySignature("move(human1,roomA
                        , hall1)", ndState));
                    prediction.add(getSafeActionBySignature("move(human1,roomA
                        , hall2)", ndState));
                    return prediction;
                }
            } else if (ndState.necessarily(new Fluent("at", "human1", "hall1
                "))) {
                {
                    prediction.add(getSafeActionBySignature("move(human1,hall1
                        , roomB)", ndState));
                    return prediction;
                }
            } else if (ndState.necessarily(new Fluent("at", "human1", "hall2
                "))) {
                {
                    prediction.add(getSafeActionBySignature("move(human1,hall2
                        , roomB)", ndState));
                    return prediction;
                }
            }
            throw new RuntimeException("Human model failed with pizza");
        }

        for (Action a : allActions) {
            if (a.getName().equals("wait")) {
                prediction.add(a);
                return prediction;
            }
        }
        throw new RuntimeException("Example model failed to determine
            action.");
    }
}

```



```
}  
}
```

## 7 Testing a planning problem

The `test` program allows you to manually interact with an instantiated planning domain. It allows you to display the start state, apply actions, and observe subsequent states.

You can build `test` with:

```
$ make test
```