

# Advanced Interactive Graph Optimization Challenge

## Problem Statement

You are given a dynamic weighted graph with  $n$  vertices (numbered from 0 to  $n-1$ ) that evolves through multiple phases. Your goal is to solve a multi-objective optimization problem involving graph coloring, domination, and resource allocation through strategic interactive queries.

## Core Challenge: Multi-Phase Graph Evolution

The graph undergoes 3 distinct phases:

1. **Discovery Phase:** Learn the initial graph structure
2. **Adversarial Phase:** An adversary modifies the graph based on your queries
3. **Optimization Phase:** Solve the final multi-objective problem

## Multi-Objective Goals

You must simultaneously optimize:

1. **k-Coloring:** Find a proper  $k$ -coloring with minimum  $k$  ( $k \geq 3$ )
2. **Weighted Domination:** Find a minimum-weight dominating set
3. **Resource Allocation:** Distribute limited resources across vertices optimally

## Interactive Protocol

You have access to 5 different query types with varying costs:

- **STRUCTURE**  $x$  (Cost: 1) - Returns neighbors and edge weights for vertex  $x$
- **COLOR\_CHECK**  $k$   $S$  (Cost: 2) - Check if set  $S$  can be  $k$ -colored properly
- **DOMINATION\_WEIGHT**  $S$  (Cost: 3) - Returns total weight of dominating set  $S$
- **DISTANCE\_MATRIX**  $S$  (Cost: 5) - Returns all-pairs shortest paths within set  $S$
- **ADVERSARY\_PREDICT** (Cost: 10) - Get hint about adversary's next move

## Dynamic Graph Properties

- **Vertex Weights:** Each vertex has a weight  $w[i] \in [1, 100]$
- **Edge Weights:** Each edge has weight  $e[i,j] \in [1, 50]$
- **Capacity Constraints:** Each vertex has capacity  $c[i] \in [1, 20]$
- **Resource Budget:** You have  $R$  total resources to allocate

## Phase-Specific Rules

### Phase 1: Discovery (Rounds 1- $T_1$ )

- Graph is static
- All query types available
- Goal: Learn structure efficiently

## Phase 2: Adversarial (Rounds $T_1+1$ - $T_2$ )

- Adversary can add/remove edges based on your query history
- ADVERSARY\_PREDICT becomes crucial
- Graph structure changes after every 3 queries

## Phase 3: Optimization (Rounds $T_2+1$ - $T_3$ )

- Graph becomes static again
- Must solve the multi-objective problem
- Limited query budget remaining

## Constraints and Scoring

- **Total Query Budget:**  $4*n + 20$  queries across all phases
- **Graph Size:**  $5 \leq n \leq 25$
- **Time Phases:**  $T_1 = n$ ,  $T_2 = 2n$ ,  $T_3 = 3n$
- **Resource Budget:**  $R = 2*n$

## Scoring Function

$$\text{Score} = 1000 - 50*(k-\chi) - 10*W_{\text{dom}} - 5*R_{\text{waste}} - 100*P_{\text{violations}}$$

Where:

- $k$  = colors used,  $\chi$  = chromatic number
- $W_{\text{dom}}$  = weight of your dominating set
- $R_{\text{waste}}$  = unused resources
- $P_{\text{violations}}$  = constraint violations

## Multi-Objective Constraints

1. **Coloring Constraint:** Adjacent vertices must have different colors
2. **Domination Constraint:** Every vertex must be dominated
3. **Capacity Constraint:** Resources allocated to vertex  $i \leq c[i]$
4. **Budget Constraint:** Total resources allocated  $\leq R$

## Input/Output Format

### Input

- First line: `n R T1 T2 T3`
- Interactive queries until final answer

### Queries

- `STRUCTURE x` → neighbors, weights, vertex\_weight, capacity
- `COLOR_CHECK k S` → feasible (true/false)
- `DOMINATION_WEIGHT S` → total\_weight, dominates\_all
- `DISTANCE_MATRIX S` → matrix of shortest paths
- `ADVERSARY_PREDICT` → hint about next adversarial move

## Final Answer

```
ANSWER k=[colors] dominating_set=[vertices] allocation=[resources]
```

## Example Interaction

Visible Test Case: n=5, R=10

### Phase 1 (Discovery):

```
> STRUCTURE 0
< neighbors=[1,2] weights=[(1,5),(2,3)] vertex_weight=10 capacity=4
> STRUCTURE 1
< neighbors=[0,3,4] weights=[(0,5),(3,2),(4,7)] vertex_weight=8 capacity=3
> COLOR_CHECK 3 [0,1,2,3,4]
< feasible=true
```

### Phase 2 (Adversarial):

```
> ADVERSARY_PREDICT
< hint="Will add edge (2,4) if you query vertex 2 again"
> STRUCTURE 3
< neighbors=[1] weights=[(1,2)] vertex_weight=6 capacity=5
[Adversary adds edge (0,3) with weight 4]
```

### Phase 3 (Optimization):

```
> DOMINATION_WEIGHT [1,2]
< total_weight=14 dominates_all=true
> ANSWER k=3 dominating_set=[1,2] allocation=[0,3,2,5,0]
< Score: 850/1000 (k-optimal, good domination, efficient allocation)
```

## Advanced Algorithmic Challenges

This problem requires:

1. **Multi-Phase Strategy:** Adapt query strategy across phases
2. **Game Theory:** Predict and counter adversarial moves
3. **Multi-Objective Optimization:** Balance competing objectives
4. **Resource Management:** Optimize query budget allocation
5. **Dynamic Programming:** Handle changing graph structure
6. **Approximation Algorithms:** NP-hard subproblems require heuristics

## Problem Complexity Analysis

The problem introduces several NP-hard subproblems:

- Graph k-coloring (NP-complete)
- Minimum dominating set (NP-hard)
- Multi-objective optimization under constraints

- Game-theoretic adversarial elements
- Dynamic graph structure handling

Query budget management across phases requires:

- Strategic resource allocation
- Adaptive algorithm selection
- Uncertainty handling and prediction
- Multi-phase optimization coordination