

Taller 09

David Gómez



VIGILADA MINEDUCACIÓN

UNIVERSIDAD

Índice

1. Punto 1	2
1.1. Teo 4.29.3	2
1.2. Teo 4.30.2	2
1.3. Teo 4.31.2	3
1.4. Teo 4.33.3	3
2. Punto 2	4
2.1. Teo 4.15.5	4
2.2. Teo 4.16.1	4
2.3. Teo 4.24.1	5

1. Punto 1

1.1. Teo 4.29.3

Teo 4.29.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (true \rightarrow \phi) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.28.1} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg true) \vee \phi) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.2} \rangle \\
 & (false \vee \phi) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Identidad}(\vee) \rangle \\
 & \phi
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que
 $\vdash_{DS} ((true \rightarrow \phi) \equiv \phi)$

1.2. Teo 4.30.2

Teo 4.30.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\phi \rightarrow (\psi \vee \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.28.1} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \vee (\psi \vee \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Idempotencia}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (p \vee \tau)) \rangle \\
 & (((\neg \phi) \vee (\neg \phi)) \vee (\psi \vee \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \vee ((\neg \phi) \vee (\psi \vee \tau))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee), \text{Conmutativa}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\neg \phi) \vee p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \vee (\tau \vee ((\neg \phi) \vee \psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee), \text{Conmutativa}(\vee) \rangle \\
 & (((\neg \phi) \vee \psi) \vee ((\neg \phi) \vee \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.28.1} \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\phi \vee \tau))
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que
 $\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \rightarrow (\psi \vee \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\phi \vee \tau)))$

1.3. Teo 4.31.2

Teo 4.31.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.28.1, Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg((\neg\phi) \vee \psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Dist.}(\neg, \vee) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\neg\phi)) \wedge (\neg\psi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.6, Leibniz}(\phi = (p \wedge (\neg\psi))) \rangle \\
 & (\phi \wedge (\neg\psi))
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que
 $\vdash_{\text{DS}} (((\neg(\phi \rightarrow \psi))) \equiv (\phi \wedge (\neg\psi)))$

1.4. Teo 4.33.3

Teo 4.33.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\rightarrow), \text{Teo 4.28.2} \rangle \\
 & (((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv \psi) \wedge ((\psi \wedge \phi) \equiv \psi)) \\
 \Rightarrow & \langle \text{Transitividad}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\psi \wedge \phi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def}(\wedge) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \equiv (\psi \vee \phi)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\psi \equiv \phi) \equiv (\psi \vee \phi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Asociativa}(\equiv), \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & (((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee \psi)) \equiv (\phi \equiv \psi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2, Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Identidad}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & (\phi \equiv \psi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 5.5.1 se demuestra que
 $\models_{\text{DS}} (((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \phi)) \rightarrow (\phi \equiv \psi))$

2. Punto 2

2.1. Teo 4.15.5

Teo 4.15.5

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (((\neg\phi) \equiv \psi) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.14.4, Leibniz}(\phi = (p \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\phi \equiv \psi)) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\neg p) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\psi \equiv \phi)) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.14.4, Leibniz}(\phi = (p \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)))) \rangle \\
 & (((\neg\psi) \equiv \phi) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (p \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2} \rangle \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21, y Identidad(\equiv) se demuestra que
 $\vdash_{\text{DS}} (((\neg\phi) \equiv \psi) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\neg\psi)))$

2.2. Teo 4.16.1

Teo 4.16.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def}(\neq) \rangle \\
 & (((\neg\phi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \equiv \tau)) \equiv ((\neg((\neg\phi) \equiv \psi)) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\phi \equiv (\neg(\psi \equiv \tau)))) \equiv ((\neg(\neg(\phi \equiv \psi))) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\phi \equiv (\neg(\psi \equiv \tau)))) \equiv ((\neg(\neg(\phi \equiv \psi))) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.6} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\phi \equiv (\neg(\psi \equiv \tau)))) \equiv ((\phi \equiv \psi) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.5, Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\neg(\phi \equiv (\psi \equiv \tau)))) \equiv ((\phi \equiv \psi) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.14.6} \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \equiv (\psi \equiv \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \equiv \psi) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & (((\phi \equiv \psi) \equiv \tau) \equiv ((\phi \equiv \psi) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2} \rangle \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 y Identidad(\equiv) se demuestra que
 $\vdash_{\text{DS}} ((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau))$

2.3. Teo 4.24.1

Teo 4.24.1

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \tau)) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\wedge) \rangle \\ & ((\phi \equiv ())) \end{aligned}$$