

# Taller 08

David Gómez



VIGILADA MINEDUCACIÓN

---

## UNIVERSIDAD

# Índice

|            |   |
|------------|---|
| 1. Punto 1 | 2 |
| 2. Punto 2 | 2 |
| 3. Punto 3 | 2 |
| 4. Punto 4 | 3 |
| 5. Punto 5 | 3 |
| 6. Punto 6 | 4 |
| 7. Punto 7 | 5 |
| 8. Punto 8 | 6 |

## 1. Punto 1

$\vdash_{DS} (\neg false)$

0.  $((\neg false) \equiv true)$  Teo 4.15.2
1.  $(\neg false)$  Identidad (p0)

## 2. Punto 2

$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau))$

0.  $((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv (\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)))$  Teo 4.6.3
1.  $((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \neq \tau)))$  Def( $\neq$ )
2.  $((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\neg \phi) \equiv ((\neg \psi) \equiv \tau))$  Def( $\neq$ ), Leibniz ( $\phi = ((\neg \phi) \equiv p)$ )
3.  $((\neg \phi) \equiv ((\neg \psi) \equiv \tau)) \equiv ((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\neg \tau)))$  Teo 4.15.5, Leibniz ( $\phi = ((\neg \phi) \equiv p)$ )
4.  $((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\neg \tau))) \equiv (((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi) \equiv (\neg \tau))$  Asociativa( $\equiv$ )
5.  $((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi) \equiv (\neg \tau) \equiv ((\neg ((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi)) \equiv \tau)$  Teo 4.15.5
6.  $((\neg ((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi)) \equiv \tau) \equiv ((\neg (\phi \neq \psi)) \equiv \tau)$  Def( $\neq$ )
7.  $((\neg (\phi \neq \psi)) \equiv \tau) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \equiv \tau)$  Def( $\equiv$ )
8.  $((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \equiv \tau))$  Transitividad(p7,p6,p5,p4,p3,p2,p1,p0)

## 3. Punto 3

$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau))$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neg) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \neq \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neq), \text{Leibniz } (\phi = ((\neg \phi) \equiv p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \equiv ((\neg \psi) \equiv \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.5, Leibniz } (\phi = ((\neg \phi) \equiv p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg \phi) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\neg \tau))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & (((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi) \equiv (\neg \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.5} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg ((\neg \phi) \equiv \psi)) \equiv \tau) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neq) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg (\phi \neq \psi)) \equiv \tau) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neq) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau)
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que

$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \neq (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \neq \psi) \neq \tau))$

## 4. Punto 4

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee true) \equiv true)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi \vee true) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2} \rangle \\ & (\phi \vee (true \equiv true)) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Distribución } (\vee, \equiv) \rangle \\ & ((\phi \vee true) \equiv (\phi \vee true)) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2} \rangle \\ & true \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que  
 $\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee true) \equiv true)$

## 5. Punto 5

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee (\neg\psi)) \equiv \phi))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi \vee \psi) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Identidad} \rangle \\ & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv true) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.6.2} \rangle \\ & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \equiv \phi)) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\ & (((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv \phi) \equiv \phi) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Identidad}(\vee) \rangle \\ & (((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee false)) \equiv \phi) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Distribución}(\vee, \equiv) \rangle \\ & ((\phi \vee (\psi \equiv false)) \equiv \phi) \\ \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neg) \rangle \\ & ((\phi \vee (\neg\psi)) \equiv \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que  
 $\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee (\neg\psi)) \equiv \phi))$

## 6. Punto 6

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\neg(\phi \vee \psi)) \equiv ((\neg\phi) \wedge (\neg\psi)))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\neg(\phi \vee \psi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.19.4} \rangle \\
 & (\neg((\phi \vee (\neg\psi)) \equiv \phi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
 & ((\neg(\phi \vee (\neg\psi))) \equiv \phi) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.5} \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee (\neg\psi)) \equiv (\neg\phi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \equiv (\phi \vee (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz } (\phi = ((\neg\phi) \equiv \phi)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \vee \phi)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.19.4, Leibniz } (\phi = ((\neg\phi) \equiv p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \equiv (((\neg\psi) \vee (\neg\phi)) \equiv (\neg\psi))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz } (\phi = ((\neg\phi) \equiv p)) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \vee (\neg\phi)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz } (\phi = (\phi = ((\neg\phi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \equiv p)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \equiv ((\neg\psi) \equiv ((\neg\phi) \vee (\neg\psi)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\wedge) \rangle \\
 & ((\neg\phi) \wedge (\neg\psi))
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\neg(\phi \vee \psi)) \equiv ((\neg\phi) \wedge (\neg\psi)))$$

## 7. Punto 7

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \wedge (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \wedge \psi) \neq (\phi \wedge \tau)))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\phi \wedge \psi) \neq (\phi \wedge \tau)) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\neq) \rangle \\
& ((\neg(\phi \wedge \psi)) \equiv (\phi \wedge \tau)) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
& (\neg((\phi \wedge \psi) \equiv (\phi \wedge \tau))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\wedge), \text{Lbz}(\phi = (\neg(p \equiv (\phi \wedge \tau)))), \text{Lbz}(\phi = (\neg((\phi \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi))) \equiv p))) \rangle \\
& (\neg((\phi \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi))) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\tau \equiv (\phi \vee \tau))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg p)) \rangle \\
& (\neg(\phi \equiv ((\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi)) \equiv (\phi \equiv (\tau \equiv (\phi \vee \tau))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg(\phi \equiv p))) \rangle \\
& (\neg(\phi \equiv ((\phi \equiv (\tau \equiv (\phi \vee \tau))) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg(\phi \equiv p))) \rangle \\
& (\neg(\phi \equiv (\phi \equiv ((\tau \equiv (\phi \vee \tau)) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg p)) \rangle \\
& (\neg((\phi \equiv \phi) \equiv ((\tau \equiv (\phi \vee \tau)) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\phi \vee \psi))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.6, Teo 4.6.4} \rangle \\
& (\neg(true \equiv ((\tau \equiv ((\neg(\neg\phi)) \vee \tau)) \equiv (\psi \equiv (\neg(\neg\phi)) \vee \psi)))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Distribución}(\vee, \equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\neg(true \equiv p))) \rangle \\
& (\neg(true \equiv ((\tau \vee (\neg\phi)) \equiv (\psi \vee (\neg\phi))))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.15.2, Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
& (false \equiv ((\tau \vee (\neg\phi)) \equiv (\psi \vee (\neg\phi)))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Def}(\neg), \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv) \rangle \\
& (\neg((\tau \vee (\neg\phi)) \equiv (\psi \vee (\neg\phi)))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Distribución}(\vee, \equiv) \rangle \\
& (\neg((\neg\phi) \vee (\tau \equiv \psi))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Teo 4.25.4, Teo 4.16.6} \rangle \\
& (\phi \wedge (\neg(\tau \equiv \psi))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\equiv), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\phi \wedge (\neg p))) \rangle \\
& (\phi \wedge (\neg(\psi \equiv \tau))) \\
\equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\equiv), \text{Teo 4.15.4} \rangle \\
& (\phi \wedge (\psi \neq \tau))
\end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 y por conmutatividad de  $(\equiv)$  se demuestra que

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \wedge (\psi \neq \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \wedge \psi) \neq (\phi \wedge \tau)))$$

## 8. Punto 8

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee (\psi \wedge \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \wedge (\phi \vee \tau)))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\phi \vee (\psi \wedge \tau)) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\wedge), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = (\phi \vee p)) \rangle \\
 & (\phi \vee (\psi \equiv (\tau \equiv (\psi \vee \tau)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Distribución}(\vee, \equiv) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee (\tau \equiv (\psi \vee \tau)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Idempotencia}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (p \vee (\psi \vee \tau))))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \tau) \equiv ((\phi \vee \phi) \vee (\psi \vee \tau)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv p))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee (\phi \vee (\psi \vee \tau))))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee p)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee ((\phi \vee \psi) \vee \tau)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Conmutativa}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee p)))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv (\phi \vee (\tau \vee (\phi \vee \psi))))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Asociativa}(\vee), \text{Leibniz}(\phi = ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv p))) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \equiv ((\phi \vee \tau) \vee (\phi \vee \psi)))) \\
 \equiv & \langle \text{Def.}(\wedge) \rangle \\
 & ((\phi \vee \psi) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi))
 \end{aligned}$$

Por MT 4.21 se demuestra que

$$\vdash_{DS} ((\phi \vee (\psi \wedge \tau)) \equiv ((\phi \vee \psi) \wedge (\phi \vee \tau)))$$