Recitation 6: Pretraining

Subword Embeddings, MLM, and Fine Tuning

Abby Bertics & Evan Hernandez

MIT 6.864 Spring 2021

Outline

1. Subword Embeddings

Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

3. Pretraining and Fine Tuning

4. Colab Demo: Fine Tuning BERT

berry, cranberry, strawberry, blueberry, blackberry, raspberry, gooseberry, boysenberry, lingonberry, huckleberry, chokeberry, elderberry, mullberry, ...

act:

- *morphology*
 - verb inflection
 - · prefixes (un, de, pre, etc.)
 - suffixes (ness, ly, ify, etc.)

English is generally morphologically WEAK:

· I/you/we/they eat, he eats

Other languages have way more forms:

- ex: Russian prefixes + cases + conjugations (>100 words that have to do with reading): читать, читаю, читаешь, читает, читаем, читаете, читают, вчитываться, вычитание, дочитать, дочитывать, дочитала, дочитал, дочитали, отчитывать, перечитать, перечитаю, итд.....
- · Agglutinative languages

Subword Embedding Methods

Goal: Chunk word into subwords (that hopefully represent meaningful morphemes)

Solutions:

- fastText
- · byte pair encoding
- · WordPiece Models

fastText

Steps:

- we have a word, w=
- generate all subwords of length 3 to 6:
- The main word vector \mathbf{u}_{w} for the word w is:

$$u_w = \sum_{g \in G_w} z_g$$

7

Byte Pair Encoding

Allows for variable-length subwords in a fixed-size vocabulary Greedy approach; iteratively merges the most frequent pair of consecutive symbols

BERT Tokenizer

uses ~30,000 token WordPiece model (variation on BPE)

```
ex:
text = "I love embeddings!"
marked text = "[CLS] " + text + " [SEP]"
# Tokenize our sentence with the BERT tokenizer.
tokenized text = tokenizer.tokenize(marked text)
# Print out the tokens.
print (tokenized text)
['[CLS]', 'i', 'love', 'em',
    '##bed', '##ding', '##s', '!', '[SEP]']
```

Subword Embedding Summary

- · Practically:
 - allows us to handle large vocabularies
 - · reduces memory and computation
 - · addresses out-of-vocabulary issue
- Philosophically:
 - · words are not the smallest unit of meaning!!!

Outline

1. Subword Embeddings

2. Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

3. Pretraining and Fine Tuning

4. Colab Demo: Fine Tuning BERT

Which is easiest?

"An elephant's brain is three times..."

"...than that of a human."

"An elephant's brain is three times.....than that of a human."

Idea: mask tokens in a sentence and predict them

kind of like how you use context to infer the meaning of an unknown word (ex: A summer *zephyr* gently stirred her hair.)

MLM vs LM

Language Model LSTM
$$P(w_i|w_1,...,w_{i-1})$$

Masked Language Model BERT $P(w_i|w_{j\neq i})^*$

*this is assuming one word masked at a time for simplicity

LM as a Generative Model

Intuitive, word-by-word sentence building.

At each time step, i, sample a word from the LM distribution, $P(w_i|w_1,...,w_{i-1})$

$$P(\text{sent}) = \prod_{w_i \in \text{sent}} P(w_i | w_1, ..., w_{i-1})$$

autoregressive LMs implicitly contain a distribution on sentence lengths

MLM as a Generative Model

Trying to use BERT to sample a sentence



Figure 1: Confusion

Why this doesn't work

- learns a distribution over sentences of a given length so you could technically compare with a chain rule type method
 - ex: "I eat cow" vs. "I eat pig"
- how would you compare probabilities of sequences with different lengths?
- · how would you generate a sentence?

Outline

1. Subword Embeddings

Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

3. Pretraining and Fine Tuning

4. Colab Demo: Fine Tuning BERT

Pretraining

- · Learn unsupervised representations
- · Train (M)LM on HUGE data
- · ex:
 - · word2vec
 - GloVe
 - fastText
 - BERT

(VERY LOOSELY) getting general "language knowledge"

Fine Tuning

- · ∼Transfer learning
- · Focus on specific downstream tasks
- · ex:
 - · Classification problems (e.g. sentiment analysis)
 - Sequence labeling (e.g. POS tagging)
 - · Natural language inference
 - · Grammaticality judgements
 - · Question Answering

(VERY LOOSELY) using general language knowledge to "solve specific problems"

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a **cardinal**. The bird is **red all over**.

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a **cardinal**.



The bird is **red all over**.



The left sentence **entails** (\Rightarrow) the right.

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a **cardinal**. This is a **bird of paradise**.

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a cardinal.



This is a bird of paradise.



The left sentence contradicts (\bot) the right.

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a **cardinal**. The bird is **perched on a branch**.

What is the relationship between these two sentences?

This bird is a cardinal.



The bird is **perched on a branch**.



Neither of these sentences entail nor contradict the other!

- These are **natural language inference** (NLI) problems.
- Goal: Given a **hypothesis** (left sentence) and a **premise** (right sentence), predict whether the hypothesis entails, contradicts, or shares no relationship with the premise.

- NLI is hard! It requires strong language proficiency and a lot of prior knowledge about the world.
- Datasets for tasks like NLI are also (relatively) small—models trained on these datasets alone will see orders of magnitude fewer tokens than a language model trained on unlabeled text.
- Pretraining and fine tuning allow us leverage pretrained language models to bridge the gap.

Outline

1. Subword Embeddings

2. Masked Language Modeling (MLM)

- 3. Pretraining and Fine Tuning
- 4. Colab Demo: Fine Tuning BERT

Useful Material

- All the pretrained transformers you could ever want: https://github.com/huggingface/transformers
- Playground featuring a real world NLI model: https://huggingface.co/roberta-large-mnli