A Small Modulo Lemma

David Awad and Dylan Lesko

This is a small lemma that seemed to be true that came up while studying Modular arithmatic with a friend. It resulted from doing this simple homework question.

Is this true?
$$4^{1536} - 9^{4824} \mod 35 \equiv 0$$

How would you reason about this problem?

Messing with this the last couple days it turns out there's an interesting pattern that begins to appear.

Given that 7*5=35. The way that you're supposed to solve this problem, is to use the fact that (7-1)*(5-1)=24 and 1536 and 4824 are multiples of 24, that means they will both result in a remainder of 1.

$$4^{1536} (mod35) \equiv 1$$

$$9^{4825} (mod35) \equiv 1$$

So the solution then becomes:

$$1 - 1(mod35) \equiv 0$$

But this is really interesting, as it turns out you can do this for any set of numbers when using modulo. Let's make a generalization, to see if this is in fact a true property that we've used here.

We've know that for some n and m, x; that $x^{nm}\%nm \equiv 0$

What we're saying is that we can raise x to the power of (n-1)*(m-1) instead, and

know that if we modulo by \$\$\$nm\$\$, that the result will be 1.

$$\forall n \forall m [x^{(m)(n)} \% nm \equiv 0]$$

$$\forall n \forall m [x^{(m-1)(n-1)} \% nm \equiv 1]$$

$$\forall n \forall m [x^{m+n-1} \% nm \equiv 1]$$