# Data Model for Lexicography (DMLex), Version 1.0

### **Working Draft 01**

### 6 November 2021

### **Specification URIs**

#### This version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/dmlex-v1.0-wd01.html (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/dmlex-v1.0-wd01.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/dmlex-v1.0-wd01.xml

#### **Previous version:**

http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/N/A/dmlex-v1.0-N/A.html (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/N/A/dmlex-v1.0-N/A.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/N/A/dmlex-v1.0-N/A.xml

#### Latest version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/dmlex-v1.0.html (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/dmlex-v1.0.pdf http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/dmlex-v1.0.xml

#### **Technical Committee:**

OASIS Lexicographic Infrastructure Data Model and API (LEXIDMA) TC

### Chair:

Tomaž Erjavec (tomaz.erjavec@ijs.si), Jozef Stefan Institute

#### **Editors:**

David Filip (david.filip@adaptcentre.ie), Trinity College Dublin (ADAPT) Simon Krek (simon.krek@ijs.si), Jozef Stefan Institute

### **Additional artifacts:**

NONE AT THE MOMENT

#### **Related Work:**

This specification is related to:

No related specifications.

#### **Declared namespaces:**

This specification declares one or more namespaces. Namespace isn't considered an XML specific feature in this serialization independent specification.

#### The core namespace

http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/dmlex-1.0

#### Module namespaces:

TBD

TBD

#### **Key words:**

The key words MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] and [RFC8174] if, and only if, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

#### **Abstract:**

This document defines the 1st version of a data model in support of the high priority technical goals described in the LEXIDMA TC's charter, including:

- Serialization independent Data Model for Lexicography (DMLex)
- XML serialization of DMLex
- JSON serialization of DMLex
- RDF serialization of DMLex
- Informative Ontolex-Lemon mapping
- Informative TEI Lex-0 mapping

#### Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the LEXIDMA TC on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document. Any other numbered Versions and other technical work produced by the Technical Committee (TC) are listed at https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc\_home.php?wg\_abbrev=lexidma#technical.

TC members should send comments on this document to the TC's email list. Others should send comments to the TC's public comment list, after subscribing to it by following the instructions at the "Send A Comment" button on the TC's web page at https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/lexidma/.

This specification is provided under the Non-Assertion Mode of the OASIS IPR Policy, the mode chosen when the Technical Committee was established. For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the TC's web page (https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/lexidma/ipr.php).

Note that any machine-readable content (Computer Language Definitions) declared Normative for this Work Product is provided in separate plain text files. In the event of a discrepancy between any such plain text file and display content in the Work Product's prose narrative document(s), the content in the separate plain text file prevails.

#### **Citation format:**

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

[DMLex-1.0]

Data Model for Lexicography Version 1.0. Edited by David Filip and Simon Krek. 6 November 2021. OASIS Working Draft 01. http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/dmlex-v1.0-wd01.html. Latest version: http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/dmlex-v1.0.html.

### **Notices**

Copyright © OASIS Open 2021. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <a href="https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark">https://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark</a> for above guidance.

### **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction		
	1.1 Glossary		
	1.1.1 Definitions		6
	1.1.2 Key concepts		
	Conformance		
3	The Core Specification		9
	3.1 LexicographicResource object type		9
	3.2 Entry object type		9
	3.3 Sense object type		9
	3.4 The difference between entries and senses	. 1	0
	3.5 PartOfSpeech object type	. 1	0
	3.6 Usage object type		
	3.7 Pronunciation object type		
	3.8 InflectedForm object type		
	3.9 Example object type		
4	Bilingual Module		
•	4.1 Extensions to LexicographicResource object type		
	4.2 Extensions to Sense object type		
	4.3 HeadwordTranslation object type		
	4.4 TranslationPartOfSpeech object type		
	4.5 TranslationUsage Object type		
	4.6 TranslationPronunciation Object type		
	4.7 TranslationInflectedForm Object type		
	, ,,		
	4.8 HeadwordTranslation object type		
	4.9 Extensions to Example object type		
_	4.10 ExampleTranslation object type		
5	Entry Structuring Module		
	5.1 SenseGroupRelation relation type		
	5.2 SubsenseRelation relation type		
_	5.3 SubentryRelation relation type		
6	Crossreferencing Module		
	6.1 VariantRelation relation type		
	6.2 OppositionRelation relation type		
	6.3 SimilarityRelation relation type		
	6.4 RelevanceRelation relation type		
7	Dictionary Linking Module	. 1	7
	7.1 SameRelation relation type		
	7.2 SubsumptionRelation relation type	. 1	7
	7.3 OverlapRelation relation type	. 1	7
8	Inline Markup Module	. 1	8
	8.1 PlaceholderMarker marker type	. 1	8
	8.2 HeadwordMarker marker type	. 1	8
9	Controlled Vocabularies Module		
	9.1 Extensions to LexicographicResource object type		
	9.2 PartOfSpeechLabel object type		
	9.3 TranslationPartOfSpeechLabel object type		
	9.4 UsageLabel object type		
	9.5 TranslationUsageLabel object type		
	9.6 InflectedFormLabel object type		
	9.7 TranslationInflectedFormLabel object type		
	9.8 LabelMapping object type		
11	9.6 LabetMapping Object type		
1 (	10.1 Introduction		
	10.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its XML serialization		
	10.3 Conformance to the XML serialization of DMLex Version 1.0		
	TOTAL CONTINUING TO THE ATTEMPT OF THE POST OF THE STATE		_

10.4 Other XML serialization provisions	23
10.5 XML serialization elements	23
10.5.1 Diagram	23
10.6 XML serialization attributes	23
10.7 Example file	24
11 DMLex JSON serialization	25
11.1 Introduction	
11.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its JSON serialization	25
11.3 Conformance to the JSON serialization of DMLex Version 1.0	25
11.4 Other JSON serialization provisions	26
11.5 JSON serialization objects	26
11.5.1 Diagram	
11.6 JSON serialization properties	26
11.7 Example file	
12 DMLex RDF serialization	
12.1 Introduction	
12.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its RDF serialization	
12.3 Conformance to the RDF serialization of DMLex Version 1.0	
12.4 Other RDF serialization provisions	
12.5 RDF serialization objects	
12.5.1 Diagram	
12.6 RDF serialization attributes	
12.7 Example file	30
Appendixes	
A References	31
A.1 Normative references	31
A.2 Informative references (Informative)	32
B Machine Readable Validation Artifacts (Informative)	33
C Security and privacy considerations	
D Specification Change Tracking (Informative)	
E Acknowledgements (Informative)	36

### 1 Introduction

Data Model for Lexicography (DMLex) is a serialization independent Object Model designed by a group of lexicographers, lexicographic software providers, and researchers. It is intended to provide a simple, modular, and easy to adopt data model for use by all lexicographic industry actors across companies and academia as well as geographic locations. Adoption of this data model will facilitate exchange of lexicographic and linguistic corpus data globally and enable effective interchange with adjacent industries such as language services, terminology management, and technical writing. Semantic interoperability of lexicographic data will help lexicographers to surpass linguistically and geographically demarcated approaches and create a truly global market for lexicographic data across and among languages and locales.

All text is normative unless otherwise labeled. The following common methods are used for labeling portions of this specification as informative and hence non-normative:

Appendices and sections marked as "(Informative)" or "Non-Normative" in title,

Notes (sections with the "Note" title),

Warnings (sections with the "Warning" title),

Examples (mainly example code listings, tree diagrams, but also any inline examples or illustrative exemplary lists in otherwise normative text),

Schema and other validation artifacts listings (the corresponding artifacts are normative, not their listings).

### 1.1 Glossary

### 1.1.1 Definitions

#### Agent

any application or tool that generates (creates), reads, edits, writes, processes, stores, renders or otherwise handles documents, messages, or any other instantiations of the DMLex.

Agent is the most general application conformance target that subsumes all other specialized user agents disregarding whether they are defined in this specification or not.

#### Enrich, Enriching

the process of associating metadata and resources with DMLex based lexicographic data.

#### Enricher, Enricher Agent

any Agent that performs the Enriching process.

### Modify, Modification

the process of changing core and module DMLex based structural and inline objects that were previously created by other Writers

### Processing Requirements

LIOM objects MAY be Modified and Enriched at the same time.

#### Modifier, Modifier Agent

an Agent that performs the Modification process.

#### Writer, Writer Agent

an Agent that creates, generates, or otherwise writes a DMLex based document for whatever purpose, including but not limited to Creator, Modifier, and Enricher Agents.

### **Note**

DMLex intends to define lossless interchange between and among different pipelines that can be based on arbitrary DMLex conformant specifications (public) or even private

informally DMLex based formats (that could evolve into DMLex conformant public specifications over time). Details of interchange using a given serialization are to be found in this specifications normative and informative appendices on various serializations and mappings.

### 1.1.2 Key concepts

#### **DMLex Core**

The core of DMLex 1.0 consists of the minimum set of data objects required to (a) create a DMLex Instance that contains interoperable lexicographic data ...[ELABORATE]

The namespace that corresponds to the core subset of DMLex is http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/dmlex-1.0.

#### DMLex-defined (elements and attributes)

The following is the list of allowed schema URI prefixes for DMLex-defined elements and attributes:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/

Elements and attributes from other namespaces are not DMLex-defined.

#### **DMLex Conformant Specification**

A publicly available specification that normatively defines a serialization (document, fragment or message payload format) that conforms to the DMLex defined in this specification.

Currently known conformant serializations and mappings are defined in appendices to this standard: [olinks to appendices, including their normative status]

#### **DMLex Instance**

A document, fragment or message payload that is a valid instance of any DMLex Conformant Specification.

#### **Note**

Documents, fragments or message payloads cannot conform directly to DMLex, as DMLex itself doesn't define serializations and an ad hoc format cannot be reliably checked against the complex requirements of the DMLex. Currently known LIOM instances include: [Could define and register media tyoes based on some of the appendices].

#### **DMLex Module**

A module is an OPTIONAL set of data and metadata categories that were designed to store information about a process applied to a DMLex Instance and the data incorporated into a DMLex Instance as result of that process.

Each official module defined for DMLex Version 1.0 has its data categories and structure defined within this specification and corresponds to at least one dedicated namespace, see Normatively Used Namespaces.

### 2 Conformance

#### DMLex Instances Conformance

- a. Conformant DMLex Instances MUST be well formed and valid instances according to one of DMLex Serialization Specifications.
- b. Another Instance conformance clause.
- C. ...
- d. DMLex Instances MAY contain custom extensions, as defined in the Extension Mechanisms section. Extensions MUST be serialized in a way conformant with the pertaining DMLex Serialization Specifications.

### 2. Application Conformance

- a. DMLex Writers MUST create conformant DMLex Instances to be considered DMLex compliant.
- b. Agents processing conformant DMLex Instances that contain custom extensions are not REQUIRED to understand and process non-DMLex objects or attributes. However, conformant applications SHOULD preserve existing custom extensions when processing conformant DMLex Instances, provided that the objects that contain custom extensions are not removed according to DMLex Processing Requirements or the extension's own processing requirements.
- c. All Agents MUST comply with Processing Requirements for otherwise unspecified Agents or without a specifically set target Agent.
- d. Specialized Agents defined in this specification this is Writer, Modifier, and Enricher Agents
   MUST comply with the Processing Requirements targeting their specifically defined type of Agent on top of Processing Requirements targeting all Agents as per point c. above.
- e. DMLex is an object model explicitly designed for exchanging data among various Agents. Thus, a conformant DMLex application MUST be able to accept DMLex Instances Created, Modified, or Enriched by a different application, provided that:
  - i. The processed files are conformant DMLex Instances according to the same DMLex Serialization Specification,
  - ii. in a state compliant with all relevant Processing Requirements.

#### 3. Backwards Compatibility

a. N/A.

#### Note

DMLex Instances cannot be conformant to this specification w/o being conformant to a specific serialization.

### 3 The Core Specification

The DMLex Core is for monolingual lexical resources, where headwords, definitions, examples etc. are all in one and the same language.

### 3.1 LexicographicResource object type

A data set which can be viewed and used by humans as a dictionary and - simultaneously - ingested, processed and understood by software agents as a machine-readable database. Terminological note: lexicographic resource, not lexical.

#### Properties:

- language (optional, IETF language code)
  - The language of headwords, definitions, examples.
- transcriptionScheme (optional, IETF language code, eg. en-fonipa for English IPA)
  - The scheme (e.g. IPA) in which the transcription property of Pronunciation objects is given.

#### Children:

• Entry (one or more)

### 3.2 Entry object type

A part of a lexicographic resource which contains information related to exactly one headword.

#### Child of:

• LexicographicResource

#### Properties:

- headword (non-empty string)
  - The headword can be a single word, a multi-word expression, or any expression in the source language which is being described by the entry in the lexicographic resource.
- homographNumber (number, optional)

Note: entries do not have any explicit listing order. An application can imply a listing order from a combination of the headword and the homograph number.

#### Children:

- PartOfSpeech (zero or more)
- Pronunciation (zero or more)
- InflectedForm (zero or more)
- Sense (zero or more)

### 3.3 Sense object type

A part of an entry which groups together information relating to one of the (possibly multiple) meanings (or meaning potentials) of the entry's headword.

### Child of:

• Entry

#### Properties:

- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.
- indicator (optional, non-empty string)
  - A short statement that indicates the meaning of a sense and permits its differentiation from other senses in the entry.
- definition (optional, non-empty string)
  - A long statement that describes and or explains the meaning of a sense.

#### Children:

- Usage (zero or more)
- Example (zero or more)

### 3.4 The difference between entries and senses

An **entry** is a container for "formal" properties of the headword such as orthography, morphology, syntax and pronunciation. A **sense** is a container for those of the headword's properties which are statements about semantics and pragmatics.

### 3.5 PartOfSpeech object type

Any of the word classes to which a lexical item may be assigned, e.g. noun, verb, adjective, etc.

#### Child of:

• Entry

#### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### 3.6 Usage object type

An indication of some pragmatic restriction on the use of the lexical item: time, region, register, domain...

#### Child of:

- Sense
- Pronunciation
- InflectedForm

### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- listingOrder
  - · Can be implicit from the serialization.

### 3.7 Pronunciation object type

Information about the pronunciation of its parent.

### Child of:

- Entry
- InflectedForm

### Properties:

- · At least one of:
  - transcription (non-empty string)
  - recording (string: name or URL of a sound file)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

#### Children:

• Usage (zero or more)

### 3.8 InflectedForm object type

An inflected headword is a form of the inflectional paradigm of its parent.

#### Child of:

• Entry

#### Properties:

- label (non-empty string) e.g. 'plural'
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- value (non-empty string)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### Children:

- Usage (zero or more)
- Pronunciation (zero or more)

### 3.9 Example object type

An instance of a lexical item's usage in a specific sense.

#### Child of:

• Sense

### Properties:

- text (non-empty string)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### 4 Bilingual Module

Extends DMLex Core to support the encoding of bilingual lexicographic resources.

## 4.1 Extensions to LexicographicResource object type

#### Additional properties:

- translationLanguage (optional, IETF language code)
- translationTranscriptionScheme (optional, reference to some external authority which?)
  - The scheme (e.g. IPA) in which the transcription property of TranslationPronunciation objects is given.

### 4.2 Extensions to Sense object type

#### Additional children:

• HeadwordTranslation (zero or more)

### 4.3 HeadwordTranslation object type

The translation equivalent of the headword in one of its senses.

#### Child of:

• Sense

#### Properties:

- text (non-empty string)
  - Can be a single word, a multi-word expression, or indeed any expression in the target language.
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

#### Children:

- TranslationPartOfSpeech (zero or more)
- TranslationUsage (zero or more)
- TranslationPronunciation (zero or more)
- TranslationInflectedForm (zero or more)

### 4.4 TranslationPartOfSpeech object type

Any of the word classes to which the translation may be assigned, e.g. noun, verb, adjective, etc.

#### Child of:

• HeadwordTranslation

### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### 4.5 TranslationUsage object type

An indication of some restriction on the use of its parent. The restriction can be pragmatic (time, region, register), semantic (domain, semantic type) or formal ('no plural').

#### Child of:

- HeadwordTranslation
- TranslationPronunciation
- TranslationInflectedForm

#### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
  - Its type (eg. whether register, temporal, geographic etc) can be specified by the by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### 4.6 TranslationPronunciation object type

Information about the pronunciation of its parent.

#### Child of:

- HeadwordTranslation
- TranslationInflectedForm

### Properties (at least one):

- transcription (non-empty string)
- recording (string: name or URL of a sound file)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

#### Children:

• TranslationUsage (zero or more)

### 4.7 TranslationInflectedForm object type

A form of the inflectional paradigm of its parent.

### Child of:

• HeadwordTranslation

### Properties:

- label (non-empty string) e.g. 'plural'
  - Can be constrained by the DMLex Controlled Vocabularies Module.
- value (non-empty string)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

#### Children:

• TranslationUsage (zero or more)

• TranslationPronunciation (zero or more)

### 4.8 HeadwordTranslation object type

The translation equivalent of the headword in one of its senses.

#### Child of:

• Sense

### Properties:

- text (non-empty string)
  - Can be a single word, a multi-word expression, or indeed any expression in the target language.
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

#### Children:

- TranslationPartOfSpeech (zero or more)
- TranslationUsage (zero or more)
- TranslationPronunciation (zero or more)
- TranslationInflectedForm (zero or more)

### 4.9 Extensions to Example object type

### Additional children:

• ExampleTranslation (zero or more)

### 4.10 ExampleTranslation object type

The translation of an example.

### Child of:

• Example

#### Properties:

- text (non-empty string)
- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### **5 Entry Structuring Module**

### 5.1 SenseGroupRelation relation type

Represents the fact that a group of senses (all belonging to the same entry) should be grouped when presented to a human user. Typically, when an entry has a large number of senses, it is a convenience to the human user to group them into a smaller number of groups by some broad criterion, such as by part of speech or by semantic similarity.

### Participants:

• Sense (two or more)

### Properties:

- indicator (optional, non-empty string)
  - A short statement that indicates the broad meaning that unites the senses in this group and permits their differentiation from other senses in the entry.

### 5.2 SubsenseRelation relation type

Represents the fact that one sense (the subordinate sense) should be treated as a subsense of another sense (the subordinate). Both senses belong to the same entry.

### Participants:

- the superordinate Sense (exactly one)
- the subordinate Sense (exactly one)

### 5.3 SubentryRelation relation type

Represents the fact that one entry (= the subordinate entry) should be treated as a subentry inside the sense (= the superordinate sense) of another entry.

### Participants:

- the superordinate Sense (exactly one)
- the subordinate Entry (exactly one)

### Properties:

- listingOrder
  - Can be implicit from the serialization.

### **6 Crossreferencing Module**

Used to construct clickable (or otherwise navigable) hyperlinks between objects within the same LexicographicResource.

### 6.1 VariantRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two entries are understood by the lexicographer as variants (for example masculine and feminine counterparts, spelling variants).

#### Participants:

• Entry (two or more, all in the same LexicographicResource)

### 6.2 OppositionRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two senses (typically - but not necessarily - belonging to two different entries) have opposite meanings. This includes antonyms, converses and so on.

#### Participants:

• Sense (exactly two, both in the same LexicographicResource)

### 6.3 SimilarityRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two or more senses (typically - but not necessarily - belonging to two different entries) have the same or similar meanings. This includes synonyms, near synonyms, close hypernyms/hyponyms and so on.

#### Participants:

Sense (two or more, all in the same LexicographicResource)

### 6.4 RelevanceRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two or more senses (typically - but not necessarily - belonging to two different entries) are relevant to each other, in ways other than opposition and similarity. This relation type is suitable for connecting words (more accurately: senses of words) which are connected to one another in experience (= one encounters them together) but are not any kind of "nyms", eg. money, pay, bill, charge...

### Participants:

Sense (two or more, all in the same LexicographicResource)

### 7 Dictionary Linking Module

Used to construct links between objects from different lexicographic resources.

### 7.1 SameRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two or more senses from two or more lexicographic resources are semantically the same.

#### Participants:

• Sense (two or more, no two of which belong to the same LexicographicResource)

### 7.2 SubsumptionRelation relation type

Represents the fact that one sense from one lexicographic resource is semantically wholly contained inside another sense from another lexicographic resource.

### Participants:

- the broader Sense (exactly one)
- the narrower Sense (exactly one)

The two senses must not be within the LexicographicResource.

### 7.3 OverlapRelation relation type

Represents the fact that two or more senses from two or more lexicographic resources overlap semantically, without either one being semantically wholly contained inside the other.

### Participants:

Sense (two or more, no two of which belong to the same LexicographicResource)

### 8 Inline Markup Module

### 8.1 PlaceholderMarker marker type

Marks up a substring inside a headword (or inside a headword translation) which is not part of the expression itself but stands for things that can take its place, or constitutes some kind of meta-notation. Examples:

- beat [sb.] up
- continue [your] studies

### Markup of:

- headword property of Entry
- text property of HeadwordTranslation

### 8.2 HeadwordMarker marker type

Marks up a substring inside an example (or inside an example translation) which corresponds to the headword (or to a translation of the headword).

### Markup of:

- text property of Example
- text property of ExampleTranslation

### 9 Controlled Vocabularies Module

This module makes it possible to describe constraints on the values of certain plain-text properties of objects defined in DMLex Core and in DMLex Bilingual Module.

## 9.1 Extensions to LexicographicResource object type

### Additional properties:

- labelLanguage (IETF language code)
  - The language of the display values of labels.

#### Additional children:

- PartOfSpeechLabel (zero or more)
- TranslationPartOfSpeechLabel (zero or more)
- UsageLabel (zero or more)
- TranslationUsageLabel (zero or more)
- InflectedFormLabel (zero or more)
- TranslationInflectedFormLabel (zero or more)

### 9.2 PartOfSpeechLabel object type

A PartOfSpeechLabel represents one of several allowed values for the value property of PartOfSpeech objects.

### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
- displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.3 TranslationPartOfSpeechLabel object type

A TranslationPartOfSpeechLabel represents one of several allowed values for the value property of TranslationPartOfSpeech objects.

### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
- displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.4 UsageLabel object type

A UsageLabel represents one of several allowed values for the value property of Label objects.

#### Properties:

value (non-empty string)

• displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.5 TranslationUsageLabel object type

A TranslationUsageLabel represents one of several allowed values for the value property of TranslationLabel objects.

#### Properties:

- type (one of: normative, register, temporal, geographic, sociocultural, domain, frequency, attitude)
- value (non-empty string)
- displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.6 InflectedFormLabel object type

An InflectedFormLabel represents one of several allowed values for the label property of InflectedForm objects.

#### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
- displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.7 TranslationInflectedFormLabel object type

A TranslationInflectedFormLabel represents one of several allowed values for the label property of TranslationInflectedForm Objects.

### Properties:

- value (non-empty string)
- displayValue (optional)

#### Children:

• LabelMapping (zero or more)

### 9.8 LabelMapping object type

Represents the fact that an item in the controlled vocabulary is equivalent to item provided by en external authority.

### Parents:

- PartOfSpeechLabel
- TranslationPartOfSpeechLabel

- UsageLabel
- TranslationUsageLabel
- InflectedFormLabel
- TranslationInflectedFormLabel

### Properties:

• sameAs (URI)

### 10 DMLex XML serialization

### 10.1 Introduction

This serialization defines ... ELABORATE

### **Note**

This serialization specification chiefly describes how... ELABORATE

### Warning

There is an important difference or similar ELABORATE

However, ... ELABORATE.

Implementers who wish to better access... ELABORATE.

## 10.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its XML serialization

This normative/informative XML serialization of DMLex Version 1.0 makes use of all DMLex namespaces (both core and modules) namespaces: http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/dmlex-1.0, and urn:oasis:names:tc:lexidma:module\_01:1.0, and other namespace identifiers as necessary. NAMESPACE SUPPORT IN XML WILL NEED

Validation artifacts [specify type of artifacts if any available at all] for this RDF serialization are available at http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype1, http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename2.filetype1, and http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype2.

### **Note**

[Potential note content]

## 10.3 Conformance to the XML serialization of DMLex Version 1.0

#### **Note**

Some data categories.... Other data categories .... The below conformance statement is relevant for all data categories expressible in the XML serialization.. There is no interrelation between data categories/ data categories are related as explained in ....

### Processing Requirements

- Conformant Processors MUST be able to use and compute at least all the DMLex Core data categories encoded in DMlex XML Instances as per certain conformance clauses ELABORATE
  - Subrequirement, ELABORATE.
- Conformant Agents MUST be DMLex Conformant in the sense of DMLex Application Conformance and also ELABORATE.

### In particular:

- Conformant Creators MUST be capable of Creating DMlex XML Instances ELABORATE.
- Conformant Enrichers MUST be capable of Enriching DMlex XML Instances with at least ELABORATE...
- Conformant Modifiers MUST be capable of updating at least one data category according to its own Constraints and Processing Requirements as specified in ELABORATE.
- Etc. ELABORATE.

### 10.4 Other XML serialization provisions

Other provisions. ELABORATE

### Warning

ELABORATE.

### **Note**

**ELABORATE** 

Another informative pointer.

Processing Requirements

• Writers MUST ELABORATE in DMLex XML Instances.

### 10.5 XML serialization elements

DMLex XML serialization uses the following elements:

[comma separated list of element olinks]

### **10.5.1 Diagram**

Legend:

1 = one

+ = one or more

? = zero or one

\* = zero, one or more

programlisting code to display the diagram

### 10.6 XML serialization attributes

The XML serialization uses the following attributes:

[comma separated list of attribute olinks]

### 10.7 Example file

Example 1. Example of a couple of DMLex entries RDF serialized

The following example file includes markup related to several DMLex Core and Module data categories.

```
<!-- example file metadata -->
programlisting code
```

### 11 DMLex JSON serialization

### 11.1 Introduction

This serialization defines ... ELABORATE

### **Note**

This serialization specification chiefly describes how... ELABORATE

### Warning

There is an important difference or similar ELABORATE

However, ... ELABORATE.

Implementers who wish to better access... ELABORATE.

## 11.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its JSON serialization

This normative/informative JSON serialization of DMLex Version 1.0 makes use of all DMLex namespaces (both core and modules) namespaces: http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/dmlex-1.0, and urn:oasis:names:tc:lexidma:module\_01:1.0, and other namespace identifiers as necessary. NAMESPACE SUPPORT IN JSON WILL NEED

Validation artifacts [specify type of artifacts if any available at all] for this RDF serialization are available at http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype1, http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename2.filetype1, and http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype2.

### **Note**

[Potential note content]

## 11.3 Conformance to the JSON serialization of DMLex Version 1.0

### **Note**

Some data categories.... Other data categories .... The below conformance statement is relevant for all data categories expressible in the JSON serialization.. There is no interrelation between data categories/ data categories are related as explained in ....

### Processing Requirements

- Conformant Processors MUST be able to use and compute at least all the DMLex Core data categories encoded in DMlex JSON Instances as per certain conformance clauses ELABORATE
  - Subrequirement, ELABORATE.
- Conformant Agents MUST be DMLex Conformant in the sense of DMLex Application Conformance and also ELABORATE.

### In particular:

- Conformant Creators MUST be capable of Creating DMIex JSON Instances ELABORATE.
- Conformant Enrichers MUST be capable of Enriching DMlex JSON Instances with at least ELABORATE...
- Conformant Modifiers MUST be capable of updating at least one data category according to its own Constraints and Processing Requirements as specified in ELABORATE.
- Etc. ELABORATE.

### 11.4 Other JSON serialization provisions

Other provisions. ELABORATE

### Warning

ELABORATE.

### **Note**

**ELABORATE** 

Another informative pointer.

Processing Requirements

• Writers MUST ELABORATE in DMLex JSON Instances.

### 11.5 JSON serialization objects

DMLex JSON serialization uses the following objects:

[comma separated list of object olinks]

### **11.5.1 Diagram**

Legend:

1 = one

+ = one or more

? = zero or one

\* = zero, one or more

programlisting code to display the diagram

### 11.6 JSON serialization properties

The JSON serialization uses the following properties:

[comma separated list of properties olinks]

### 11.7 Example file

Example 2. Example of a couple of DMLex entries RDF serialized

The following example file includes markup related to several DMLex Core and Module data categories.

```
<!-- example file metadata -->
programlisting code
```

### 12 DMLex RDF serialization

### 12.1 Introduction

This serialization defines ... ELABORATE

### **Note**

This serialization specification chiefly describes how... ELABORATE

### Warning

There is an important difference or similar ELABORATE

However, ... ELABORATE.

Implementers who wish to better access... ELABORATE.

## 12.2 DMLex namespaces and validation artifacts for its RDF serialization

This normative/informative RDF serialization of DMLex Version 1.0 makes use of all DMLex namespaces (both core and modules) namespaces: http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/ns/dmlex-1.0, and urn:oasis:names:tc:lexidma:module\_01:1.0, and other namespace identifiers as necessary.

Validation artifacts [specify type of artifacts if any available at all] for this JSON serialization are available at http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype1, http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename2.filetype1, and http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/filename1.filetype2.

### **Note**

[Potential note content]

## 12.3 Conformance to the RDF serialization of DMLex Version 1.0

#### **Note**

Some Module 01 data categories.... Other data categories .... The below conformance statement is only relevant for data categories ... There is no interrelation between data categories/ data categories are related as explained in ....

### Processing Requirements

- Conformant Processors MUST be able to use and compute at least all the DMLex Core data categories encoded in DMlex RDF Instances as per certain conformance clauses ELABORATE
  - Subrequirement, ELABORATE.
- Conformant Agents MUST be DMLex Conformant in the sense of DMLex Application Conformance and also ELABORATE.

### In particular:

- Conformant Creators MUST be capable of Creating DMlex RDF Instances ELABORATE.
- Conformant Enrichers MUST be capable of Enriching DMlex Instances with at least one of the above specified Module 01 data categories.
- Conformant Modifiers MUST be capable of updating at least one of the above specified Module 01 data categories according to its own Constraints and Processing Requirements as specified in the Module 01 Module.
- Etc. ELABORATE.

### 12.4 Other RDF serialization provisions

Other provisions. ELABORATE

### Warning

ELABORATE.

### **Note**

**ELABORATE** 

Another informative pointer.

Processing Requirements

• Writers MUST ELABORATE in DMLex RDF Instances.

### 12.5 RDF serialization objects

DMLex RDF serialization uses the following objects:

[comma separated list of object olinks]

### **12.5.1 Diagram**

Legend:

1 = one

+ = one or more

? = zero or one

\* = zero, one or more

programlisting code to display the diagram

### 12.6 RDF serialization attributes

The RDF serialization uses the following attributes:

[comma separated list of object olinks]

### 12.7 Example file

Example 3. Example of a couple of DMLex entries RDF serialized

The following example file includes markup related to several DMLex Core and Module data categories.

```
<!-- example file metadata -->
programlisting code
```

### **Appendix A References**

This appendix contains the normative and informative references that are used in this document. Normative references are specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or Version number) and Informative references are either specific or non-specific.

While any hyperlinks included in this appendix were valid at the time of publication, OASIS cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

### A.1 Normative references

- [BCP 14] is a concatenation of [RFC 2119] and [RFC 8174]
- [RFC 2119] S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC 8174] B. Leiba, Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words, https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc8174.txt IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) RFC 8174, May 2017.
- [BCP 47] M. Davis, Tags for Identifying Languages, http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp47 IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force).
- [RFC 3552] R. Escrola, B. Korver, *Guidelines for Writing RFC Text on Security Considerations*, <a href="https://www.tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3552.txt">https://www.tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3552.txt</a> IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) RFC 3552, July 2003.
- [EXAMPLE\_ABBREV] N. Surname, A. Surname, Example Title, example.org/citetitleExample Citetitle, Month dd, yyyy.
- [ITS] David Filip, Shaun McCance, Dave Lewis, Christian Lieske, Arle Lommel, Jirka Kosek, Felix Sasaki, Yves Savourel Internationalization Tag Set (ITS) Version 2.0, http://www.w3.org/TR/its20/ W3C Recommendation 29 October 2013.
- [JSON] The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format, https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8259 IETF RFC 8259 December 2017.
- [NOTE-datetime] M. Wolf, C. Wicksteed, Date and Time Formats, <a href="http://www.w3.org/TR/NOTE-datetime">http://www.w3.org/TR/NOTE-datetime</a> W3C Note, 15th September 1997.
- [NVDL] International Standards Organization, ISO/IEC 19757-4, Information Technology Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) Part 4: Namespacebased Validation Dispatching Language (NVDL), http://standards.iso.org/ittf/ PubliclyAvailableStandards/c038615\_ISO\_IEC\_19757-4\_2006(E).zip [http://standards.iso.org/ ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c038615\_ISO\_IEC\_19757-4\_2006(E).zip] ISO, June 1, 2006.
- [RFC 3987] M. Duerst and M. Suignard, *Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)*, <a href="https://www.ieff.org/rfc/rfc3987.txt">https://www.ieff.org/rfc/rfc3987.txt</a> IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) RFC 3987, January 2005.
- [RFC 7303] H. Thompson and C. Lilley, XML Media Types, https://www.tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7303 [https://www.tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7303] IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) RFC 7303, July 2014.
- [Schematron] International Standards Organization, ISO/IEC 19757-3, Information Technology
   Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) Part 3: Rule-Based Validation
   Schematron (Second Edition), http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c055982\_ISO\_IEC\_19757-3\_2016.zip [http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c055982\_ISO\_IEC\_19757-3\_2016.zip] ISO, January 15, 2016.

- [UAX #9] M. Davis, A. Lanin, A. Glass, UNICODE BIDIRECTIONAL ALGORITHM, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr9/tr9-35.html Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm, May 18, 2016.
- [UAX #15] M. Davis, K. Whistler, UNICODE NORMALIZATION FORMS, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr15/tr15-44.html Unicode Normalization Forms, February 24, 2016.
- [Unicode] The Unicode Consortium, *The Unicode Standard*, <a href="http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode9.0.0/">http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode9.0.0/</a> Mountain View, CA: The Unicode Consortium, June 21, 2016.
- [XLIFF 2.1] David Filip, Tom Comerford, Soroush Saadatfar, Felix Sasaki, and Yves Savourel, eds. XLIFF Version 2.0, http://docs.oasis-open.org/xliff/xliff-core/v2.1/os/xliff-core-v2.1-os.html OASIS Standard 13 February 2018
- [XML] W3C, Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0, http://www.w3.org/TR/xml/ (Fifth Edition) W3C Recommendation 26 November 2008.
- [XML namespace] W3C, Schema document for namespace http://www.w3.org/XML/1998/namespace http://www.w3.org/2001/xml.xsd [http://www.w3.org/2009/01/xml.xsd]. at http://docs.oasis-open.org/lexidma/dmlex/v1.0/wd01/schemas/informativeCopiesOf3rdPartySchemas/w3c/xml.xsd in this distribution
- [XML Catalogs] Norman Walsh, XML Catalogs, https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/download.php/14809/xml-catalogs.html OASIS Standard V1.1, 07 October 2005.
- [XML Schema] W3C, XML Schema, refers to the two part standard comprising [XML Schema Structures] and [XML Schema Datatypes] (Second Editions) W3C Recommendations 28 October 2004.
- [XML Schema Datatypes] W3C, XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes, http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/(Second Edition) W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004.
- [XML Schema Structures] W3C, XML Schema Part 1: Structures, https://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/ (Second Edition) W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004.

### A.2 Informative references (Informative)

- [LDML] Unicode Locale Data Markup Language http://unicode.org/reports/tr35/
- [UAX #29] M. Davis, UNICODE TEXT SEGMENTATION, http://www.unicode.org/reports/tr29/ Unicode text Segmentation.

# **Appendix B Machine Readable Validation Artifacts (Informative)**

CURRENTLY NO VALIDATION ARTIFACTS FORESEEN FOR THE OM... JUST FOR SERIALIZATIONS

MAY LIST CONFORMANT ARTIFACTS FOR SPECIFIC SERILIZATIONS AT A LATER STAGE

# Appendix C Security and privacy considerations

### **Note**

OASIS strongly recommends that Technical Committees consider issues that might affect safety, security, privacy, and/or data protection in implementations of their work products and document these for implementers and adopters. For some purposes, you may find it required, e.g. if you apply for IANA registration.

While it may not be immediately obvious how your work product might make systems vulnerable to attack, most work products, because they involve communications between systems, message formats, or system settings, open potential channels for exploit. For example, IETF [RFC 3552] lists "eavesdropping, replay, message insertion, deletion, modification, and manin-the-middle" as well as potential denial of service attacks as threats that must be considered and, if appropriate, addressed in IETF RFCs.

In addition to considering and describing foreseeable risks, this section should include guidance on how implementers and adopters can protect against these risks.

We encourage editors and TC members concerned with this subject to read Guidelines for Writing RFC Text on Security Considerations, IETF [RFC 3552], for more information.

# **Appendix D Specification Change Tracking** (Informative)

This appendix will contain tracked changes after the csprd01 phase will have been reached.

### **Appendix E Acknowledgements (Informative)**

The following individuals have participated in the creation of this specification and are gratefully acknowledged:

- Erjavec, Tomaž JSI
- · Filip, David TCD, ADAPT Centre
- Jakubí#ek, Miloš Lexical Computing
- · Kernerman, Ilan K Dictionaries
- Kosem, Iztok JSI
- Krek, Simon JSI
- McCrae, John National University of Ireland Galway
- M#chura, Milan JSI