

INF3710- Bases de données

Groupe 02

Travail pratique #6

Index et déclencheurs

Présenté à Manel Grichi

David TREMBLAY 1748125 Dominique PICHÉ 1766981

Département de génie informatique et génie logiciel

Le 27novembre 2017 École polytechnique de Montréal

Les index

Voici une capture d'écran de la création et du peuplement de la table Employees:

```
[root@localhost ~] # su - postgres
-bash-4.2$ createuser -d -P DominiquePiche
Enter password for new role:
Enter it again:
 -bash-4.2$ createdb -O DominiquePiche labo6
 -bash-4.2$ psql labo6
psql (9.6.4)
Type "help" for help.
labo6=# \d
 No relations found.
labo6=# CREATE TABLE employees (
labo6(# employee_id Integer NOT NULL,
labo6(# first_name VARCHAR(1000) NOT NULL,
labo6(# last_name VARCHAR(1000) NOT NULL,
labo6(# date_of_birth_DATE
labo6(# phone_number VARCHAR(1000) NOT NULL,
labo6(# junk CHAR(1000) ,
labo6(# CONSTRAINT employees_pk PRIMARY KEY (employee_id)
labo6(#);
CREATE TABLE
labo6=# CREATE FUNCTION random_string(minlen NUMERIC, maxlen NUMERIC)
labo6-# RETURNS VARCHAR(1000)
labo6-# AS
 labo6-# $$
labo6$# DECLARE
labo6$# rv VARCHAR(1000) := '';
labo6$# i INTEGER := 0;
labo6$# len INTEGER := 0;
labo6$# BEGIN
labo6$# IF maxlen < 1 OR minlen < 1 OR maxlen < minlen THEN
 labo6$#
              RETURN rv;
labo6$#
             END IF;
labo6$#
labo6$#
             len := floor(random()*(maxlen-minlen)) + minlen;
labo6$#
labo6$#
             FOR i IN 1..floor(len) LOOP
               rv := rv || chr(97+CAST(random() * 25 AS INTEGER));
            END LOOP;
 labo6$#
labo6$# RETURN rv;
labo6$# END;
labo6$# $$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
CREATE FUNCTION
labo6=# INSERT INTO employees (employee_id, first_name, labo6(# last_name, date_of_birth,
                                         phone_number, junk)
labo6(#
labo6-# SELECT GENERATE SERIES
labo6-# , initcap(lower(random_string(2, 8)))
labo6-# , initcap(lower(random_string(2, 8)))
labo6-# , CURRENT_DATE - CAST(floor(random() * 365 * 10 + 40 * 365) AS NUMERIC) * INTERVAL '1 DAY'
labo6-# , CAST(floor(random() * 9000 + 1000) AS NUMERIC)

labo6-# 'innk'
labo6-#
 labo6-#
            FROM GENERATE SERIES(1, 1000);
```

1) Il y a 1000 entrées insérées:

```
labo6=# SELECT COUNT(*) FROM employees;
count
-----
1000
(1 row)
```

2) Un index est créé automatiquement sur la CONSTRAINT employees_pk qui spécifie que l'attribut employee_id est la clé primaire. Pour chaque contrainte impliquant qu'un attribut est unique, un index est créé automatiquement.

3) Voici la structure de la table:

```
Table "public.employees"

Column | Type | Modifiers | Storage | Stats target | Description

employee_id | integer | not null | plain | |
first_name | character varying(1000) | not null | extended | |
last_name | character varying(1000) | not null | extended | |
date_of_birth | date | | plain | |
phone_number | character varying(1000) | not null | extended | |
junk | character(1000) | extended | |
Indexes:
    "employees_pk" PRIMARY KEY, btree (employee_id)
```

4)

a) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.210 ms:

```
labo6=# Explain analyze SELECT date_of_birth FROM employees WHERE first_name='Bbk' AND last_name='Feqlh';

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on employees (cost=0.00..158.00 rows=1 width=4) (actual time=0.009..0.197 rows=1 loops=1)

Filter: (((first_name)::text = 'Bbk'::text) AND ((last_name)::text = 'Feqlh'::text))

Rows Removed by Filter: 999

Planning time: 0.059 ms

Execution time: 0.210 ms
(5 rows)
```

b) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.486 ms:

```
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT COUNT (employee_id), date_of_birth FROM employees WHERE date_of_birth >= '1977-01-0
1' GROUP BY date_of_birth;

QUERY PLAN

HashAggregate (cost=155.90..156.69 rows=79 width=12) (actual time=0.423..0.432 rows=75 loops=1)
Group Key: date_of_birth

-> Seq Scan on employees (cost=0.00..155.50 rows=80 width=8) (actual time=0.009..0.391 rows=82 loops=1)
Filter: (date_of_birth >= '1977-01-01'::date)
Rows Removed by Filter: 918

Planning time: 0.266 ms
Execution time: 0.486 ms
(7 rows)
```

c) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.280 ms:

```
labof=# Explain analyse SELECT COUNT(employee id) FROM employees WHERE date_of_birth >= '1977-01-01' GROUP BY date_of_birth HAVING COUNT(employee_id) >= 2 ORDER BY date_of_birth DESC;

OUERY PLAN

Sort (cost=159.38..159.58 rows=79 width=12) (actual time=0.249..0.250 rows=7 loops=1)
Sort Key: date_of_birth DESC
Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
-> HashAggregate (cost=156.10..156.89 rows=79 width=12) (actual time=0.239..0.245 rows=7 loops=1)
Group Key: date_of_birth
Filter: (count(employee_id) >= 2)
Rows Removed by Filter: 68
-> Seq Scan on employees (cost=0.00..155.50 rows=80 width=8) (actual time=0.009..0.209 rows=82 loops=1)

Filter: (date_of_birth >= '1977-01-01'::date)
Rows Removed by Filter: 918
Planning time: 0.103 ms
Execution time: 0.280 ms
(12 rows)
```

e) <u>Le temps d'exécution est de 0.349 ms:</u>

```
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT first_name, last_name FROM employees WHERE first_name > 'Rp' AND last_name > 'Th';

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on employees (cost=0.00..158.00 rows=75 width=10) (actual time=0.013..0.334 rows=81 loops=1)

Filter: (((first_name)::text > 'Rp'::text) AND ((last_name)::text > 'Th'::text))

Rows Removed by Filter: 919

Planning time: 0.083 ms

Execution time: 0.349 ms
(5 rows)
```

f) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.347 ms:

```
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT COUNT(*) FROM employees WHERE date_of_birth BETWEEN '1974-01-01' AND '1976-12-31'
AND phone_number BETWEEN '5000' AND '6000';

QUERY PLAN

Aggregate (cost=163.10..163.11 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.323..0.323 rows=1 loops=1)

-> Seq Scan on employees (cost=0.00..163.00 rows=40 width=0) (actual time=0.013..0.316 rows=40 loops=1)

Filter: ((date_of_birth >= '1974-01-01'::date) AND (date_of_birth <= '1976-12-31'::date) AND ((phone_number)::text >= '5000'::text) AND ((phone_number)::text <= '6000'::text))

Rows Removed by Filter: 960

Planning time: 0.110 ms

Execution time: 0.347 ms
(6 rows)
```

5)

a) L'index est créé sur first name et last name, avec une clause WHERE:

Le temps d'exécution est de 0.04 ms.

b) L'index est créé sur date of birth et employee id avec un WHERE:

Le temps d'exécution est de 0.150 ms.

c) L'index est sur date_of_birth et employee_id, avec le même WHERE qu'en b) mais avec date_of_birth DESC:

```
labo6=# CREATE INDEX after_1976_desc ON employees(employee_id, date_of_birth DESC) WHERE date_of_birth >= '1977-0
1-01';
CREATE INDEX
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT COUNT(employee_id) FROM employees WHERE date_of_birth >= '1977-01-01' GROUP BY dat
e_of_birth HAVING COUNT(employee_id) >= 2 ORDER BY date_of_birth DESC;

QUERY PLAN

GroupAggregate (cost=130.56.132.15 rows=79 width=12) (actual time=0.106..0.128 rows=7 loops=1)
Group Key: date_of_birth
Filter: (count(employee_id) >= 2)
Rows Removed by Filter: 68
-> Sort (cost=130.56..130.76 rows=80 width=8) (actual time=0.099..0.104 rows=82 loops=1)
Sort Key: date_of_birth DESC
Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 28kB
-> Index Only Scan using after_1976_desc on employees (cost=0.14..128.03 rows=80 width=8) (actual time=0.026..0.078 rows=82 loops=1)
Heap Fetches: 82
Planning time: 0.230 ms
Execution time: 0.154 ms
(11 rows)
```

Le temps d'exécution est 0.154 ms.

e) L'index est sur first name et last name:

```
labo6=# CREATE INDEX nom_prenom ON employees(first_name, last_name) WHERE first_name > 'Rp' AND last_name > 'Th';
CREATE INDEX
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT first_name, last_name FROM employees WHERE first_name > 'Rp' AND last_name > 'Th';

QUERY PLAN

----
Bitmap Heap Scan on employees (cost=8.54..133.07 rows=75 width=10) (actual time=0.031..0.105 rows=81 loops=1)
Recheck Cond: (((first_name)::text > 'Rp'::text) AND ((last_name)::text > 'Th'::text))
Heap Blocks: exact=69
-> Bitmap Index Scan on nom_prenom (cost=0.00..8.52 rows=75 width=0) (actual time=0.020..0.020 rows=81 loops=1)
Planning time: 0.300 ms
Execution time: 0.129 ms
(6 rows)
```

Le temps d'exécution est de 0.129 ms.

f) L'index sur employee_id, date_of_birth et phone_number avec WHERE:

Le temps d'exécution est de 0.080 ms.

En comparant la question 4 et la question 5, on remarque que les requête SQL sont bien plus rapides avec l'ajout de nos index. Ceci n'est pas surprenant puisque l'ajout d'index est le meilleur outil pour optimiser des requêtes SQL. On remarque une optimisation de plus de 75% pour certaines des requêtes à la question 5.

Question B

1) Suppression des index:

2)

a) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.231 ms.

```
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT e.name FROM entreprise e, employees y WHERE y.first_name = 'Rp' AND y.last_name =
'Th' AND e.NumEmployee = y.employee_id;

QUERY PLAN

Nested Loop (cost=0.00..171.15 rows=1 width=516) (actual time=0.020..0.214 rows=2 loops=1)
Join Filter: (e.numemployee = y.employee_id)
Rows Removed by Join Filter: 38
-> Seq Scan on employees y (cost=0.00..158.00 rows=1 width=4) (actual time=0.015..0.203 rows=1 loops=1)
    Filter: (((first_name)::text = 'Rp'::text) AND ((last_name)::text = 'Th'::text))
    Rows Removed by Filter: 999
-> Seq Scan on entreprise e (cost=0.00..11.40 rows=140 width=520) (actual time=0.003..0.004 rows=40 loops=1)
Planning time: 0.110 ms
Execution time: 0.231 ms
(9 rows)
```

b) Le temps d'exécution est de 0.453 ms.

```
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT e.Name FROM entreprise e, employees y WHERE y.date_of_birth BETWEEN '1975-01-01
ND '1977-12-31' AND y.employee_id = e.NumEmployee ORDER BY e.Name DESC;
                                                                OUERY PLAN
Sort (cost=173.76..173.86 rows=41 width=516) (actual time=0.430..0.430 rows=15 loops=1)
  Sort Key: e.name DESC
  Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
      Hash Join (cost=13.15..172.66 rows=41 width=516) (actual time=0.030..0.386 rows=15 loops=1)
         Hash Cond: (y.employee_id = e.numemployee)
         -> Seq Scan on employees y (cost=0.00..158.00 rows=294 width=4) (actual time=0.011..0.326 rows=295 loc
s=1)
         Filter: ((date_of_birth >= '1975-01-01'::date) AND (date_of_birth <= '1977-12-31'::date))
Rows Removed by Filter: 705

-> Hash (cost=11.40..11.40 rows=140 width=520) (actual time=0.014..0.014 rows=40 loops=1)
               Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 10kB
                -> Seq Scan on entreprise e (cost=0.00..11.40 rows=140 width=520) (actual time=0.003..0.007 rows
40 loops=1)
Planning time: 0.200 ms
(13 rows)
```

3)

a) Avec un index sur employee_id, first_name et last_name, le temps d'exécution est de 0.065 ms. L'index rp th2 ne semble pas être pertinent.

```
labo6=# CREATE INDEX rp_th ON employees(employee_id, first_name, last_name) WHERE first_name = 'Rp' AND last_name
= 'Th';

CREATE INDEX
labo6=# CREATE INDEX rp_th2 ON entreprise(Name, NumEmployee);

CREATE INDEX
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT e.name FROM entreprise e, employees y WHERE y.first_name = 'Rp' AND y.last_name =
'Th' AND e.NumEmployee = y.employee_id;

QUERY PLAN

Hash Join (cost=8.15..9.71 rows=1 width=516) (actual time=0.041..0.047 rows=2 loops=1)

Hash Cond: (e.numemployee = y.employee_id)

-> Seq Scan on entreprise e (cost=0.00..1.40 rows=40 width=520) (actual time=0.007..0.011 rows=40 loops=1)

-> Hash (cost=8.14..8.14 rows=1 width=4) (actual time=0.027..0.027 rows=1 loops=1)

Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB

-> Index Only Scan using rp_th on employees y (cost=0.12..8.14 rows=1 width=4) (actual time=0.024..0.0
25 rows=1 loops=1)

Heap Fetches: 1
Planning time: 0.335 ms
Execution time: 0.065 ms
(9 rows)
```

b) Index sur les date de naissances respectant la condition du WHERE de la requête et un temps d'exécution de 0.147 ms.

```
employees(employee id, date of birth) WHERE date of birth BETWEEN '1975-01-01' AND
abo6=# CREA
77-12-31';
CREATE INDEX
.abo6=# CREATE INDEX name_desc ON entreprise(NumEmployee, Name DESC);
CREATE INDEX
labo6=# Explain analyse SELECT e.Name FROM entreprise e, employees y WHERE y.date_of_birth BETWEEN '1975-01-01'
ND '1977-12-31' AND y.employee_id = e.NumEmployee ORDER BY e.Name DESC;
                                                           QUERY PLAN
Sort (cost=160.62..160.65 rows=12 width=516) (actual time=0.126..0.128 rows=15 loops=1)
  Sort Key: e.name DESC
  Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
  -> Nested Loop (cost=0.15..160.40 rows=12 width=516) (actual time=0.045..0.088 rows=15 loops=1)
         -> Seq Scan on entreprise e (cost=0.00..1.40 rows=40 width=520) (actual time=0.007..0.010 rows=40 loop
        -> Index Only Scan using dob on employees y (cost=0.15..3.96 rows=1 width=4) (actual time=0.002..0.002
rows=0 loops=40)
              Index Cond: (employee_id = e.numemployee)
             Heap Fetches: 15
Planning time: 0.393 ms
Execution time: 0.147 ms
```

Les Déclencheurs

1)

```
1)
lesDeclencheurs=# CREATE FUNCTION increment_namis() RETURNS trigger AS $i_namis$
Begin
UPDATE MEMBRE SET nAmis = nAmis + 1 WHERE NumM = NEW.NumM;
END;
$i_namis$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
CREATE FUNCTION
lesDeclencheurs=# CREATE TRIGGER i_namis AFTER INSERT ON AMI FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE increment_namis();
CREATE TRIGGER
```

2)

Ajout du déclencheur pour incrémenter les abonnés:

```
lesDeclencheurs=# CREATE FUNCTION increment_abonnes() RETURNS trigger as $i_abonnes$
lesDeclencheurs$# Begin
lesDeclencheurs$# UPDATE MEMBRE SET nAbonnes = nAbonnes + 1 WHERE NumM = NEW.NumM;
lesDeclencheurs$# END;
lesDeclencheurs$# $i_abonnes$ LANGUAGE plpgsq1;
CREATE FUNCTION
lesDeclencheurs=# CREATE TRIGGER i_abonnes AFTER INSERT ON ABONNE FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE increment_abonnes();
CREATE TRIGGER
```

Ajout du déclencheur pour décrémenter les abonnés:

```
LesDeclencheurs=# CREATE FUNCTION decrement_abonnes() RETURNS trigger as $d_abonnes$

Begin

UPDATE MEMBRE SET nAbonnes = nAbonnes - 1 WHERE NumM = OLD.NumM;

END;

$d_abonnes$ LANGUAGE plpgsq1;

CREATE FUNCTION

LesDeclencheurs=# CREATE TRIGGER d_abonnes AFTER DELETE ON ABONNE FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE decrement_abonnes();

CREATE TRIGGER
```

3)

```
lesDeclencheurs=# CREATE FUNCTION p_v() RETURNS trigger AS $p_v$

Begin

if NEW.typeProfil = 'p' then NEW.typeProfil = 'P'; end if;

if NEW.typeProfil = 'v' then NEW.typeProfil = 'V'; end if;

END

$p_v$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;

CREATE FUNCTION

LesDeclencheurs=# CREATE TRIGGER p_v BEFORE INSERT OR UPDATE ON MEMBRE FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE p_v();

CREATE TRIGGER
```