

# **DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS DESIGN**

## **ASSIGNMENT 5**

**DAVID GOMEZ CAMARGO**

## I. UPDATE ANOMALIES

- **INSERTION ANOMALY:** In the given relation *BOOK\_PUBLISHER*, if a new publisher needs to be entered in the relation without any *Book\_Id* or *Title*, this publisher cannot be inserted into the table, therefore, it will result in database inconsistencies.
- **UPDATE ANOMALY:** If a *Publisher\_Name* is wrongly entered and needs to be updated, this name needs to be updated in all the records from said publisher, otherwise that results in data inconsistencies.
- **DELETE ANOMALY:** If *Publisher\_Name* for a book needs to be deleted from the table, then all records for the given publisher will be deleted and that can cause inconsistencies.

## II. FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCY

A *functional dependency (FD)* is a constraint between two sets of attributes in a relation. Given a relation *R*, a functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$  holds if, for each instance of *R*, the values of attributes in *X* uniquely determine the values of attributes in *Y*.

Possible sources of information that define functional dependencies in a relation schema include:

- **DOMAIN CONSTRAINTS:** Rules derived from the nature of the attributes and their relationships, based on the real-world context.
- **BUSINESS RULES:** Policies and regulations that govern how the data should be organized and managed.
- **DATA SEMANTICS:** The meaning of the data and the relationships between different attributes.

## III. NORMALIZATION - PATIENT/DOCTOR

*R(Doctor#, Patient#, Date, Diagnosis, Treat\_code, Charge)*

I'd infer the following functional dependencies:

- $\{Doctor\#, Patient\#, Date\} \rightarrow \{Diagnosis, Treat\_code, Charge\}$
- $\{Treat\_code\} \rightarrow \{Charge\}$

Since there are no partial dependencies, the given relation *R* is in 2NF already, meaning the relation is in First Normal Form and every non-primary-key attribute is fully functionally dependent on the primary key.

However, relation  $R$  is not in 3NF because the  $Charge$  is a non-prime attribute that is determined by another non-prime attribute,  $Treat\_code$ . Therefore,  $R$  needs to decompose:

- $R1(Doctor\#, Patient\#, Date, Diagnosis, Treat\_code)$
- $R2(Treat\_code, Charge)$

I could further infer that  $R1$  and  $R2$  relations are in First and Second Normal Form and no non-primary-key attribute is transitively dependent on the primary key.

#### IV. KEY - SUPERKEY - NON-PRIME ATTRIBUTES

$R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J)$

Functional dependencies:

- $F \rightarrow A, B$
- $C, D \rightarrow E$
- $C \rightarrow F, G$
- $H \rightarrow I, J$
- $D \rightarrow H$

- a. Yes,  $CDE$  is a superkey of  $R$  because if we take the closure of  $CDE$  it will be:  
 $\{C, D, E\}^+ = \{C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, A, B\}$  which includes all the attributes in the relation  $R$ .
- b. No,  $CDE$  is not a key of  $R$  because if we take the closure of  $CD$ :  
 $\{C, D\}^+ = \{C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, A, B\}$  we get all the attributes. Hence  $E$  is not required,  $CD$  alone is enough to determine all the attributes as  $CD$  itself determines  $E$ .
- c.  $CD$  is the only key because when we try to add any other attribute in  $CD$  it becomes a superkey. Therefore, the prime attributes of  $R$  are  $C$  and  $D$  and  $A, B, E, F, G, H, I, J$  are non-prime attributes.